# Section 05: Solutions

# 1. GCD

(a) Calculate gcd(100, 50).

## **Solution:**

50

(b) Calculate gcd(17, 31).

## **Solution:**

1

(c) Find the multiplicative inverse of 6 (mod 7).

## **Solution:**

6

(d) Does 49 have an multiplicative inverse (mod 7)?

## **Solution:**

It does not. Intuitively, this is because 49x for any x is going to be 0 mod 7, which means it can never be 1.

# 2. Extended Euclidean Algorithm Application: Multiplicative Inverse

(a) Find the multiplicative inverse y of 7 mod 33. That is, find y such that  $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ . You should use the extended Euclidean Algorithm. Your answer should be in the range  $0 \le y < 33$ .

### **Solution:**

First, we find the gcd:

$$\gcd(33,7) = \gcd(7,5) \qquad 33 = \boxed{7} \bullet 4 + 5 \qquad (1)$$

$$= \gcd(5,2) \qquad 7 = \boxed{5} \bullet 1 + 2 \qquad (2)$$

$$= \gcd(2,1) \qquad 5 = \boxed{2} \bullet 2 + 1 \qquad (3)$$

$$= \gcd(1,0) \qquad 2 = 1 \bullet 2 + 0 \qquad (4)$$

$$= 1 \qquad (5)$$

Next, we re-arrange equations (1) - (3) by solving for the remainder:

$$1 = 5 - \boxed{2} \bullet 2 \tag{6}$$

$$2 = 7 - \boxed{5} \bullet 1 \tag{7}$$

$$5 = 33 - \boxed{7} \bullet 4 \tag{8}$$

(9)

Now, we backward substitute into the boxed numbers using the equations:

So,  $1 = 33 \cdot 3 + \boxed{7} \cdot -14$ . Thus, 33 - 14 = 19 is the multiplicative inverse of 7 mod 33.

(b) Now, solve  $7z \equiv 2 \pmod{33}$  for all of its integer solutions z.

### **Solution:**

We already computed that 19 is the multiplicative inverse of 7 mod 33. That is,  $19 \cdot 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ .

If z is a solution to  $7z \equiv 2 \pmod{33}$ , then multiplying by 19 on both sides, we have  $19 \cdot 7 \cdot z \equiv 19 \cdot 2 \pmod{33}$ .

Substituting  $19 \cdot 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$  into this on the left gives  $1 \cdot z \equiv z \equiv 19 \cdot 2 \equiv 38 \equiv 5 \pmod{33}$ .

This shows that every solution z is congruent to 5. In other words, the set of solutions is  $\{5+33k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

# 3. Euclid's Lemma<sup>1</sup>

(a) Show that if an integer p divides the product of two integers a and b, and gcd(p, a) = 1, then p divides b.

### Solution:

Suppose that  $p \mid ab$  and gcd(p, a) = 1 for integers a, b, and p. By Bezout's theorem, since gcd(p, a) = 1, there exist integers r and s such that

$$rp + sa = 1$$
.

Since  $p \mid ab$ , by the definition of divides there exists an integer k such that pk = ab. By multiplying both sides of rp + sa = 1 by b we have,

$$rpb + s(ab) = b$$

$$rpb + s(pk) = b$$

$$p(rb + sk) = b$$

Since r, b, s, k are all integers, (rb + sk) is also an integer. By definition we have  $p \mid b$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>these proofs aren't much longer than proofs you've seen so far, but it can be a little easier to get stuck – use these as a chance to practice how to get unstuck if you do!

(b) Show that if a prime p divides ab where a and b are integers, then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ . (Hint: Use part (a))

#### **Solution:**

```
Suppose that p \mid ab for prime number p and integers a, b. There are two cases. Case 1: \gcd(p,a)=1 In this case, p \mid b by part (a). Case 2: \gcd(p,a) \neq 1 In this case, p and a share a common positive factor greater than 1. But since p is prime, its only positive factors are 1 and p, meaning \gcd(p,a)=p. This says p is a factor of a, that is, p \mid a. In both cases we've shown that p \mid a or p \mid b.
```

# 4. Modular Arithmetic

(a) Prove that if  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ , where a and b are integers, then a = b or a = -b.

#### **Solution:**

Suppose that  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ , where a, b are integers. By the definition of divides, we have  $a \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and b = ka, a = jb for some integers k, j. Combining these equations, we see that a = j(ka).

Then, dividing both sides by a, we get 1 = jk. So,  $\frac{1}{j} = k$ . Note that j and k are integers, which is only possible if  $j, k \in \{1, -1\}$ . It follows that b = -a or b = a.

(b) Prove that if  $n \mid m$ , where n and m are integers greater than 1, and if  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , where a and b are integers, then  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .

### **Solution:**

Suppose  $n \mid m$  with n,m>1, and  $a\equiv b\pmod{m}$ . By definition of divides, we have m=kn for some  $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ . By definition of congruence, we have  $m\mid a-b$ , which means that a-b=mj for some  $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Combining the two equations, we see that a-b=(knj)=n(kj). By definition of congruence, we have  $a\equiv b\pmod{n}$ , as required.

# 5. Prime Checking

You wrote the following code, is Prime(int n) which you are confident returns true if and only if n is prime (we assume its input is always positive).

```
public boolean isPrime(int n) {
    int potentialDiv = 2;
    while (potentialDiv < n) {
        if (n % potentialDiv == 0)
            return false;
        potentialDiv++;
    }
    return true;
}</pre>
```

Your friend suggests replacing potentialDiv < n with potentialDiv <= Math.sqrt(n). In this problem, you'll argue the change is ok. That is, your method still produces the correct result if n is a positive integer.

We will use "nontrivial divisor" to mean a factor that isn't 1 or the number itself. Formally, a positive integer k being a "nontrivial divisor" of n means that k|n,  $k \neq 1$  and  $k \neq n$ .

**Claim**: For every positive integer n, if n has a nontrivial divisor, then it has a nontrivial divisor at most  $\sqrt{n}$ .

(a) Let's try to break down the claim and understand it through examples. Show an example (a specific n and k) of a nontrivial divisor, of a divisor that is not nontrivial, and of a number with only trivial divisors. **Solution:** 

Some examples of "trivial" divisors: (1 of 15), (3 of 3) Some examples of nontrivial divisors: (3 of 15), (9 of 81)

A number with only trivial divisor is just a prime number: it has no factors.

(b) Prove the claim. Hint: we recommend a proof by contradiction. **Solution:** 

(proof by contradiction): Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is an n such that n has a non-trivial divisor and all its nontrivial divisors are greater than  $\sqrt{n}$ .

Let k be a nontrivial divisor of n. Since k is a divisor, n = kc for some integer c. Observe that c is also nontrivial, since if c were 1 or n then k would have to be n or 1.

Since both k and n are non-trivial divisors, we have that  $k > \sqrt{n}$  and  $c > \sqrt{n}$ . Then  $kc > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n$ . But by assumption we have kc = n, so this is a contradiction. Thus we conclude our original claim—that if a positive integer n has a nontrivial divisor, then it has a nontrivial divisor at most  $\sqrt{n}$ —is true.

(alternative proof): Let k be a nontrivial divisor of n. Since k is a divisor, n = kc for some integer c. Observe that c is also nontrivial, since if c were 1 or n then k would have to be n or 1.

We now have two cases:

Case 1:  $k \leq \sqrt{n}$ 

If  $k < \sqrt{n}$ , then we're done because k is the desired nontrivial divisor.

Case 2:  $k > \sqrt{n}$ 

If  $k > \sqrt{n}$ , then multiplying both sides by c we get  $ck > c\sqrt{n}$ . But ck = n so  $n > c\sqrt{n}$ . Finally, dividing both sides by  $\sqrt{n}$  gives  $\sqrt{n} > c$ , so c is the desired nontrivial factor.

In both cases we find a nontrivial divisor at most  $\sqrt{n}$ , as required.

(c) Informally explain why the fact about integers proved in (b) lets you change the code safely.

# **Solution:**

The new code makes a subset of "checks" that the old code makes, thus the only concern would be that a non-prime number we found in the later checks would "slip through" without the extra checks. However, if a number has any nontrivial divisor, it will have one that is  $\leq \sqrt{n}$ , so even if we exit the loop early after  $\sqrt{n}$  instead of n checks, our method is still guaranteed to always work.