Wrap-up Number Theory CSE 311 Au Lecture 13

CSE 311 Autumn 2024 Lecture 13



A few last thoughts from Monday How does RSA work, though?

What's the difference?

What's the difference between proof by contrapositive and proof by contradiction?

Show $p o q$	Proof by contradiction	Proof by contrapositive
Starting Point	$\neg(p \to q) \equiv (p \land \neg q)$	$\neg q$
Target	Something false	$\neg p$

Show p	Proof by contradiction	Proof by contrapositive
Starting Point	$\neg p$	
Target	Something false	

When do we use proof by contradiction

In general...

- proofs by contradiction are harder to read and write, so they tend to be a second or third attempt.
- they are more flexible, and can prove a wide variety of statements.

So don't reach for it *first*, but also don't reach for it never!



"For all integers x, if x^2 is even, then x is even."

"For all integers x, if x^2 is even, then x is even."

Suppose for the sake of contradiction, there is an integer x, such that x^2 is even and x is odd.

• • •

[] is a contradiction, so for all integers x, if x^2 is even, then x is even.

"There is not an integer k such that for all integers $n, k \ge n$.

. . .

"There is not an integer k such that for all integers $n, k \ge n$."

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is an integer k such that for all integers $n, k \ge n$.

[] is a contradiction! So there is not an integer k such that for all integers $n, k \ge n$.





If x is prime then x^2 is odd or 2|x.

We need two different arguments – one for 2 and one for all the other primes...

Proof By Cases

Let x be an arbitrary prime number

We divide into two cases.

Case 1: x is even

If x is even then x = 2k for some integer k, this is the definitions of 2|x.

Case 2: x is odd

If x is odd, then x = 2j + 1 for some integer j. Squaring, we get $x^2 = 4j^2 + 4j + 1 = 2(2j^2 + 2j) + 1$. Since j is an integer $2j^2 + 2j$ is as well, so x^2 is odd by definition.

In either case, x met the condition of 2|x or x^2 is odd, so the claim is true.

Proof By Cases

Make it clear how you decide which case your in.

It should be obvious your cases are "exhaustive"

Reach the same conclusion in each of the cases, and you can say you've got that conclusion no matter what (outside the cases).

Advanced version: sometimes you end up arguing a certain case "can't happen"



Suppose I claim that for all integers, if x is even then $8|x^2$.

That...doesn't look right.

How do you prove me wrong?

Want to show: $\exists x(Even(x) \land \neg [8|x^2])$

Consider x = 6. Then x is even (since $6 = 3 \cdot 2$), but 8 does not divide 36 (as 36%8 = 4).

Proof By [Counter]Example

To prove an existential statement (or disprove a universal statement), provide an example, and demonstrate that it is the needed example.

You don't have to explain where it came from! (In fact, you **shouldn't**) Computer scientists and mathematicians like to keep an air of mystery around our proofs.

(or more charitably, we want to focus on just enough to believe the claim)

Skeleton of an Exists Proof





Consider x = [the value that will work]

[Show that x does cause P(x) to be true.]

So [value] is the desired x.

You'll probably need some "scratch work" to determine what to set x to. That might not end up in the final proof!



More proofs

Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

Step 1: What do the words mean?

Step 2: What does the statement as a whole say?

Step 3: Where do we start?

Step 4: What's our target?

Step 5: Now prove it.

Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

Let a, b, c, d, n be integers, $n \ge 0$ and suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$.

 $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$

Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

Let a, b, c, d, n be integers, $n \ge 0$ and suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. n|(b-a) and n|(d-c) by definition of mod. nk = (b-a) and nj = (d-c) for integers j, k by definition of divides.

n?? = bd - acn|(bd - ac) $ac \equiv bd(mod n)$

Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$. Let a, b, c, d, n be integers, $n \ge 0$ and suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. n|(b-a) and n|(d-c) by definition of mod. nk = (b-a) and nj = (d-c) for integers j, k by definition of divides. nknj = (d-c)(b-a) by multiplying the two equations nknj = (bd - bc - ad + ac)

n?? = bd - ac

. . .

n|(bd - ac)

 $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$

Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

Let a, b, c, d, n be integers, $n \ge 0$ and suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$.

n|(b-a) and n|(d-c) by definition of mod.

nk = (b - a) and nj = (d - c) for integers j, k by definition of divides.

nknj = (d - c)(b - a) by multiplying the two equations

nknj = (bd - bc - ad + ac)And then a miracle occurs

n?? = bd - ac

n|(bd - ac)

 $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$



Uh-Oh

We hit (what looks like) a dead end.

But how did I know we hit a dead end? Because I knew exactly where we needed to go. If you didn't, you'd have been staring at that for ages trying to figure out the magic step.

(or worse, assumed you lost a minus sign somewhere, and just "fixed" it....)

Let's try again. This time, let's **separate** *b* from *a* and *d* from *c* before combining.

Another Approach

Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

Let a, b, c, d, n be integers, $n \ge 0$ and suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. n|(b-a) and n|(d-c) by definition of mod. nk = (b-a) and nj = (d-c) for integers j, k by definition of divides. b = nk + a, d = nj + c

n?? = bd - acn|(bd - ac) $ac \equiv bd(mod n)$

Another Approach

Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$. Let a, b, c, d, n be integers, $n \ge 0$ and suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. n|(b-a) and n|(d-c) by definition of mod. nk = (b - a) and nj = (d - c) for integers j, k by definition of divides. b = nk + a, d = nj + c $bd = (nk + a)(nj + c) = n^2kj + anj + cnk + ac$ $bd - ac = n^2kj + anj + cnk = n(nkj + aj + ck)$ n?? = bd - acn|(bd - ac) $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$

Another Approach

Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$. Let a, b, c, d, n be integers, $n \ge 0$ and suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. n|(b-a) and n|(d-c) by definition of mod. nk = (b - a) and nj = (d - c) for integers j, k by definition of divides. Isolating, b and d, we have: b = nk + a, d = nj + cMultiplying the equations, and factoring, $bd = (nk + a)(nj + c) = n^2kj + anj + cnk + ac$ Rearranging, and facoring out n: $bd - ac = n^2kj + anj + cnk = n(nkj + aj + ck)$ Since all of n, j, k, a, and c are integers, we have that bd - ac is n times an integer, so n|(bd - ac), and by definition of mod $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$



Plan For Number Theory Wrap up

We don't expect you to fully absorb the content in this section of the slides.

Our goals are:

1. See some definitions (these we do expect you to understand!)

2. Introduce an algorithm (that you'll practice in section tomorrow)

3. See that number theory results can make code faster in unexpected ways.

4. See a bit of code analysis (a preview of 332). \leq

5. Hopefully say "oh neat, I understand a *little bit* about how secure online communication works"

GCD and LCM Greatest Common Divisor The Greatest Common Divisor of *a* and *b* (gcd(a,b)) is the largest integer *c* such that *c*|*a* and *c*|*b*

Least Common Multiple

The Least Common Multiple of a and b (lcm(a,b)) is the smallest positive integer c such that a|c and b|c.

Try a few values...

gcd(100,125) gcd(17,49) gcd(17,34) gcd(13,0)

lcm(7,11) lcm(6,10)

How do you calculate a gcd?

You could:

Find the prime factorization of each

Take all the common ones. E.g.

 $gcd(24,20)=gcd(2^3 \cdot 3, 2^2 \cdot 5) = 2^{min(2,3)} = 2^2 = 4.$

(Icm has a similar algorithm – take the maximum number of copies of everything)

But that's....really expensive. Mystery finds gcd.



GCD facts

1. gcd(a,0)=a

Pf: *a* is a common divisor ($a = 1 \cdot a$; $0 = 0 \cdot a$); larger numbers don't divide *a* (for positive numbers, if x | y then $x \le y$)

2. If *a* and *b* are positive integers, then gcd(a,b) = gcd(b, a % b)

Why is 2 true? The proof isn't easy, it's at the end of this deck.

Why should you care?



What number can we pick?

The next two slides are going to get more abstract...we're listing out the facts we need to solve that equation.

Bézout's Theorem Bézout's Theorem If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that gcd(a,b) = sa + tb

We're not going to prove this theorem...

But it turns out Mystery can be extended to find them.

We'll discuss that in the optional video.

So...what's it good for? Suppose I want to solve $7x \equiv 3(mod n)$ \leq Just multiply both sides by $\frac{1}{7}$... Oh wait. We want a number to multiply by 7 to get 1. If the gcd(7,n) = 1

Then $s \cdot 7 + tn = 1$, so 7s - 1 = -tn i.e. n|(7s - 1) so $7s \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. So the *s* from Bézout's Theorem is what we should multiply by!
Ok...how am I supposed to find s, t?

It turns out that while you're calculating the gcd (using the Mystery algorithm), you can keep some extra information recorded, and end up with the *s*, *t*

This is called the "extended Euclidian algorithm"

Examples in these slides.

Try it

Solve the equation $7y \equiv 3 \pmod{26}$

What do we need to find?

The multiplicative inverse of 7(mod 26)



Finding the inverse...

gcd(26,7) = gcd(7, 26%7) = gcd(7,5)= gcd(5, 7%5) = gcd(5,2) = gcd(2, 5%2) = gcd(2, 1) = gcd(1, 2\%1) = gcd(1,0) = 1. $26 = 3 \cdot 7 + 5 ; 5 = 26 - 3 \cdot 7$

$$1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$$

= 5 - 2(7 - 5 \cdot 1)
= 3 \cdot 5 - 2 \cdot 7
= 3 \cdot (26 - 3 \cdot 7) - 2 \cdot 7
= 3 \cdot 26 - 11 \cdot 7

-11 is a multiplicative inverse of 7 for (mod 26) arithmetic!
We'll write that as 15, since we're working mod 26.

 $5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1; 1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$

 $7 = 5 \cdot 1 + 2$; $2 = 7 - 5 \cdot 1$

Try it

Solve the equation $7y \equiv 3 \pmod{26}$

What do we need to find? The multiplicative inverse of 7 (*mod* 26). We found it's 15.

 $\begin{array}{l} 15 \cdot 7 \cdot y \equiv 15 \cdot 3 \pmod{26} \\ y \equiv 45 \pmod{26} \\ \text{Or } y \equiv 19 \pmod{26} \\ \text{So } 26 | 19 - y, \text{ i.e. } 26k = 19 - y \ (\text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}) \ \text{i.e. } y = 19 - 26 \cdot k \ \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{Solutions: } \{ \dots, -7, 19, 45, \dots 19 + 26k, \dots \} \ \text{i.e. } \{ x : x = 19 + 26k \ \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \end{array}$



Key Steps in RSA

Given two numbers, we can find their gcd quickly.

If we have an equation

 $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ And gcd(a,n) = 1 then we can quickly find a number to multiply the equation by to solve for x.

We're going to give you enough background to (mostly) understand the RSA encryption system.

Key generation [edit]

The keys for the RSA algorithm are generated in the following way:

- 1. Choose two distinct prime numbers p and q.
 - For security purposes, the integers *p* and *q* should be chosen at random and should be similar in magnitude but differ in length by a few digits to make factoring harder.^[2] Prime integers can be efficiently found using a primality test.
 - p and q are kept secret.
- 2. Compute n = pq.
 - n is used as the modulus for both the public and private keys. Its length, usually expressed in bits, is the key length.
 - n is released as part of the public key.
- 3. Compute $\lambda(n)$, where λ is Carmichael's totient function. Since n = pq, $\lambda(n) = \text{Icm}(\lambda(p), \lambda(q))$, and since p and q are prime, $\lambda(p) = \varphi(p) = p 1$, and likewise $\lambda(q) = q 1$. Hence $\lambda(n) = \text{Icm}(p 1, q 1)$.
 - $\lambda(n)$ is kept secret.
 - The lcm may be calculated through the Euclidean algorithm, since lcm(a, b) = |ab|/gcd(a, b).
- 4. Choose an integer e such that $1 \le e \le \lambda(n)$ and $gcd(e, \lambda(n)) = 1$; that is, e and $\lambda(n)$ are coprime.
 - e having a short bit-length and small Hamming weight results in more efficient encryption the most commonly chosen value for e is 2¹⁶ + 1 = 65 537. The smallest (and fastest) possible value for e is 3, but such a small value for e has been shown to be less secure in some settings.^[15]
 - e is released as part of the public key.
- 5. Determine *d* as $d \equiv e^{-1} \pmod{\lambda(n)}$; that is, *d* is the modular multiplicative inverse of *e* modulo $\lambda(n)$.
 - This means: solve for *d* the equation *d*·*e* = 1 (mod λ(*n*)); *d* can be computed efficiently by using the extended Euclidean algorithm, since, thanks to *e* and λ(*n*) being coprime, said equation is a form of Bézout's identity, where *d* is one of the coefficients.
 - *d* is kept secret as the *private key exponent*.

The *public key* consists of the modulus *n* and the public (or encryption) exponent *e*. The *private key* consists of the private (or decryption) exponent *d*, which must be kept secret. *p*, *q*, and $\lambda(n)$ must also be kept secret because they can be used to calculate *d*. In fact, they can all be discarded after *d* has been computed.^[16]

We're going to give you enough background to (mostly) understand the RSA encryption system.

Key generation [edit]

Prime Numbers

The keys for the RSA algorithm are genera

1. Choose two distinct prime numbers p and q.

• For security purposes, the integers p and q should be chosen at random and should be similar in magnitude but differ in length by a few digits to make factoring harder.^[2] Prime integers can be efficiently found using a primality

test.

• p and q are kept secret.

Modular Arithmetic

2. Compute n = pq.

- n is used as the modulus for both the public and private keys. Its length, usually expressed in bits, is the key length.
- n is released as part of the public key.
- 3. Compute $\lambda(n)$, where λ is Carmichael's totient function. Since n = pq, $\lambda(n) = \text{Icm}(\lambda(p), \lambda(q))$, and since p and q are prime, $\lambda(p) = \varphi(p) = p 1$, and likewise $\lambda(q) = q 1$. Hence $\lambda(n) = \text{Icm}(p 1, q 1)$.
 - $\lambda(n)$ is kept secret.
 - The lcm may be calculated through the Euclidean algorithm, since lcm(a, b)
- 4. Choose an integer e such that $1 \le e \le \lambda(n)$ and $gcd(e, \lambda(n)) = 1$; that is, e and $\lambda(n)$ are con-
 - *e* having a short bit-length and small Hamming weight results in more efficient end for *e* has been shown to be less secure in some settings.^[15]
 - e is released as part of the public key.
- 5. Determine *d* as $d \equiv e^{-1} \pmod{\lambda(n)}$; that is, *d* is the modular multiplicative inverse of *e* modulo $\lambda(n)$.

• This means: solve for *d* the equation *d*·*e* = 1 (mod λ(*n*)); *d* can be computed efficiently by using the extended Euclidean algorithm, since, thanks to *e* and λ(*n*) being coprime, said equation is a form of Bézout's identity, where *d* is one of the coefficients.

• *d* is kept secret as the *private key exponent*.

The *public key* consists of the modulus *n* and the public (or encryption) exponent *e*. The *private key* consists of the private (or decryp used to calculate *d*. In fact, they can all be discarded after *d* has been computed.^[16]

Modular Multiplicative Inverse

me most commonly chosen value for e is 2¹⁶ + 1 = 65 537. The smallest (and fastest) possible value for e is 3, but such a small value

Bezout's Theorem

Extended Euclidian Algorithm

also be kept secret because they can be

We're going to give you enough background to (mostly) understand the RSA encryption system.

Encryption [edit]

After Bob obtains Alice's public key, he can send a message $M {\rm to}$ Alice.

To do it, he first turns M (strictly speaking, the un-padded plaintext) into an integer m (strictly speaking, the padded plaintext), such that $0 \le m \le n$ by using an agreed-upon reversible protocol known as a padding scheme. He then computes the ciphertext c, using Alice's public key e, corresponding to

 $c\equiv m^e\pmod{n}.$

This can be done reasonably quickly, even for very large numbers, using modular exponentiation. Bob then transmits c to Alice. Note that at least nine values of m will yield a ciphertext c equal to m,^[22] but this is very unlikely to occur in practice.

Decryption [edit]

Alice can recover m from c by using her private key exponent d by computing

 $c^d\equiv (m^e)^d\equiv m\pmod{n}.$

Given $\ensuremath{\textit{m}}\xspace$, she can recover the original message M by reversing the padding scheme.

We're going to give you enough background to (mostly) understand the RSA encryption system.

Encryption [edit]

After Bob obtains Alice's public key, he can send a message $M {\rm to}$ Alice.

To do it, he first turns M (strictly speaking, the un-padded plaintext) into an integer m (strictly speaking, the padded plaintext), such that $0 \le m \le n$ by using an agreed-upon reversible protocol known as a padding scheme. He then computes the ciphertext c, using Alice's public key e, corresponding to

 $c\equiv m^e\pmod{n}.$

This can be done reasonably quickly, even for very large numbers, using modular exponentiation. Bob then transmits *c* to Alice. Note that at least nine values of *m* will yield a ciphertext *c* equal to *m*,^[22] but this is very unlikely to occur in practice.

Decryption [edit]

Alice can recover m from c by using her private key exponent d by computing

 $c^d\equiv (m^e)^d\equiv m\pmod{n}.$

Given m, she can recover the original message $M \, {\rm by}$ reversing the padding scheme.

Modular Exponentiation

An application of all of this modular arithmetic

Amazon chooses random 512-bit (or 1024-bit) prime numbers p,q and an exponent e (often about 60,000). Amazon calculates n = pq. They tell your computer (n, e) (not p, q) You want to send Amazon your credit card number a. You compute $C = a^e \% n$ and send Amazon C. Amazon computes d, the multiplicative inverse of e (mod [p-1][q-1]) Amazon finds $C^d \% n$

Fact: $a = C^d \% n$ as long as 0 < a < n and $p \nmid a$ and $q \nmid a$

How big are those numbers?



How do we accomplish those steps?

That fact? You can prove it in the extra credit problem on a future homework. It's a nice combination of lots of things we've done with modular arithmetic.

Let's talk about finding $C = a^e \% n$. *e* is a BIG number (about 2¹⁶ is a common choice) int total = 1; for (int i = 0; i < e; i++) { total = (a * total) % n;



Let's build a faster algorithm.

```
Fast exponentiation – simple case. What if e is exactly 2^{16}?
int total = 1;
for (int i = 0; i < e; i++) {
     total = a * total % n;
Instead:
int total = a;
for(int i = 0; i < log(e); i++) {</pre>
     total = total^2 % n;
```

What if *e* isn't exactly a power of 2?

Step 1: Write *e* in binary.

Step 2: Find $a^c \% n$ for c every power of 2 up to e.

Step 3: calculate a^e by multiplying a^c for all c where binary expansion of e had a 1.

Find 4¹¹%10

Step 1: Write *e* in binary.

Step 2: Find $a^c \% n$ for c every power of 2 up to e.

Step 3: calculate a^e by multiplying a^c for all c where binary expansion of e had a 1.

Start with largest power of 2 less than e (8). 8's place gets a 1. Subtract power

Go to next lower power of 2, if remainder of *e* is larger, place gets a 1, subtract power; else place gets a 0 (leave remainder alone).

 $11 = 1011_2$

Find 4¹¹%10

Step 1: Write *e* in binary.

Step 2: Find $a^c \% n$ for c every power of 2 up to e.

Step 3: calculate a^e by multiplying a^c for all c where binary expansion of e had a 1.

 $4^{1}\%10 = 4$ $4^{2}\%10 = 6$ $4^{4}\%10 = 6^{2}\%10 = 6$ $4^{8}\%10 = 6^{2}\%10 = 6$

Find 4¹¹%10

Step 1: Write *e* in binary.

Step 2: Find $a^c \% n$ for c every power of 2 up to e.

Step 3: calculate a^e by multiplying a^c for all c where binary expansion of e had a 1.

$$4^{1}\%10 = 4$$

$$4^{1}\%10 = 4$$

$$4^{2}\%10 = 6$$

$$4^{4}\%10 = 6^{2}\%10 = 6$$

$$4^{8}\%10 = 6^{2}\%10 = 6$$

$$4^{8}\%10 = 6^{2}\%10 = 6$$

$$4^{8}\%10 = 6^{2}\%10 = 6$$

$$4^{8}\%10 = 6^{2}\%10 = 6$$

Is it...actually fast?

The number of multiplications is between $\log_2 e$ and $2 \log_2 e$. That's A LOT smaller than e





One More Example for Reference

Find 3²⁵%7 using the fast exponentiation algorithm.

Find 25 in binary:

16 is the largest power of 2 smaller than 25. (25 - 16) = 9 remaining 8 is smaller than 9. (9 - 8) = 1 remaining.

4s place gets a 0.

2s place gets a 0

1s place gets a 1

110012

One More Example for Reference

Find 3²⁵%7 using the fast exponentiation algorithm.

Find $3^{2^{l}}\%7$: $3^{1}\%7 = 3$ $3^{2}\%7 = 9\%7 = 2$ $3^{4}\%7 = (3^{2} \cdot 3^{2})\%7 = (2 \cdot 2)\%7 = 4$ $3^{8}\%7 = (3^{4} \cdot 3^{4})\%7 = (4 \cdot 4)\%7 = 2$ $3^{16}\%7 = (3^{8} \cdot 3^{8})\%7 = (2 \cdot 2)\%7 = 4$

One More Example for Reference

Find 3²⁵%7 using the fast exponentiation algorithm.

 $3^{1}\%7 = 3$ $3^{2}\%7 = 2$ $3^{4}\%7 = 4$ $3^{8}\%7 = 2$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$ $3^{16}\%7 = 4$

A Brief Concluding Remark

Why does RSA work? i.e. why is my credit card number "secret"?

Raising numbers to large exponents (in mod arithmetic) and finding multiplicative inverses in modular arithmetic are things computers can do quickly.

But factoring numbers (to find p, q to get d) or finding an "exponential inverse" (not the real term) directly are not things computers can do quickly. At least as far as we know.

An application of all of this modular arithmetic

Amazon chooses random 512-bit (or 1024-bit) prime numbers p, q and an exponent e (often about 60,000).

Amazon calculates n = pq. They tell your computer (n, e) (not p, q)

You want to send Amazon your credit card number *a*.

You compute $C = a^e \% n$ and send Amazon C.

Amazon computes d, the multiplicative inverse of e (mod [p-1][q-1]) Amazon finds $C^d \% n$

Fact: $a = C^d \% n$ as long as 0 < a < n and $p \nmid a$ and $q \nmid a$



Correctness of an algorithm

The key to the Euclidian Algorithm being correct is that each time through the loop, you don't change the gcd of the variables m, n.

To prove the code correct, you really want an induction proof (it's good practice to think about it!). The inductive step relies on the fact we stated but didn't prove:

gcd(a,b) = gcd(b, a%b).

Let's prove it!

GCD fact

If a and b are positive integers, then gcd(a,b) = gcd(b, a % b)

How do you show two gcds are equal? Call a = gcd(w, x), b = gcd(y, z)

If b|w and b|x then b is a common divisor of w, x so $b \le a$ If a|y and a|z then a is a common divisor of y, z, so $a \le b$ If $a \le b$ and $b \le a$ then a = b

gcd(a,b) = gcd(b, a % b)

Let x = gcd(a, b) and y = gcd(b, a% b).

We show that y is a common divisor of a and b.

By definition of gcd, y|b and y|(a%b). So it is enough to show that y|a.

Applying the definition of divides we get b = yk for an integer k, and (a%b) = yj for an integer j.

By definition of mod, a%b is a = qb + (a%b) for an integer q.

Plugging in both of our other equations:

a = qyk + yj = y(qk + j). Since q, k, and j are integers, y|a. Thus y is a common divisor of a, b and thus $y \le x$.

gcd(a,b) = gcd(b, a % b)

Let x = gcd(a, b) and y = gcd(b, a% b).

We show that x is a common divisor of b and a%b.

By definition of gcd, x|b and x|a. So it is enough to show that x|(a% b).

Applying the definition of divides we get b = xk' for an integer k', and a = xj' for an integer j'.

By definition of mod, a%b is a = qb + (a%b) for an integer q

Plugging in both of our other equations:

xj' = qxk' + a%b. Solving for a%b, we have a%b = xj' - qxk' = x(j' - qk'). So x|(a%b). Thus x is a common divisor of b,a%b and thus $x \le y$.

gcd(a,b) = gcd(b, a % b)

Let x = gcd(a, b) and y = gcd(b, a% b).

We show that x is a common divisor of b and a%b.

We have shown $x \le y$ and $y \le x$. Thus x = y, and gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a% b).



Extended Euclidian Algorithm

Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.

Step 3 substitute backward

gcd(35,27)

Extended Euclidian Algorithm

Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.

Step 3 substitute backward

Extended Euclidian Algorithm

Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.

Step 3 substitute backward

$$35 = 1 \cdot 27 + 8$$

$$27 = 3 \cdot 8 + 3$$

$$8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$
Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.

Step 3 substitute backward

$$35 = 1 \cdot 27 + 8$$

 $27 = 3 \cdot 8 + 3$
 $8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2$
 $3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$

$$8 = 35 - 1 \cdot 27$$

$$3 = 27 - 3 \cdot 8$$

$$2 = 8 - 2 \cdot 3$$

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.

Step 3 substitute backward

$$8 = 35 - 1 \cdot 27$$

$$3 = 27 - 3 \cdot 8$$

$$2 = 8 - 2 \cdot 3$$

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.

Step 3 substitute backward

$$8 = 35 - 1 \cdot 27$$

$$3 = 27 - 3 \cdot 8$$

$$2 = 8 - 2 \cdot 3$$

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

= 3 - 1 \cdot (8 - 2 \cdot 3)
= -1 \cdot 8 + 2 \cdot 3

Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.

Step 3 substitute backward

$$8 = 35 - 1 \cdot 27$$

$$3 = 27 - 3 \cdot 8$$

$$2 = 8 - 2 \cdot 3$$

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

$$gcd(27,35) = 13 \cdot 27 + (-10) \cdot 35$$

 $1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$ = 3 - 1 \cdot (8 - 2 \cdot 3) = -1 \cdot 8 + 3 \cdot 3 = -1 \cdot 8 + 3(27 - 3 \cdot 8) = 3 \cdot 27 - 10 \cdot 8 = 3 \cdot 27 - 10(35 - 1 \cdot 27) = 13 \cdot 27 - 10 \cdot 35 When substituting back, you keep the larger of *m*, *n* and the number you just substituted. Don't simplify further! (or you lose the form you need)