0. Cantelli's Rabbits

Xavier Cantelli owns some rabbits. The number of rabbits he has in any given year is described by the function f:

$$f(0) = 0$$

$$f(1) = 1$$

$$f(n) = 2f(n-1) - f(n-2) \text{ for } n \ge 2$$

Determine, with proof, the number, f(n), of rabbits that Cantelli owns in year n. That is, construct a formula for f(n) and prove its correctness.

Solution:

Let P(n) be "f(n) = n". We prove that P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by strong induction on n. Base Cases (n = 0, n = 1): f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1 by definition. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $P(0) \land P(1) \land \ldots P(k)$ hold for some arbitrary $k \ge 1$. Inductive Step: We show P(k + 1): $f(k + 1) = 2f(k) - f(k - 1) \qquad [Definition of f]$ $= 2(k) - (k - 1) \qquad [Induction Hypothesis]$ $= k + 1 \qquad [Algebra]$

Conclusion: P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by principle of strong induction.

1. Induction with Inequality

Prove that $6n + 6 < 2^n$ for all $n \ge 6$. Solution:

Let P(n) be " $6n + 6 < 2^{n}$ ". We will prove P(n) for all integers $n \ge 6$ by induction on n **Base Case** (n = 6): $6 \cdot 6 + 6 = 42 < 64 = 2^{6}$, so P(6) holds. **Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume that $6k + 6 < 2^{k}$ for an arbitrary integer $k \ge 6$. **Inductive Step:** Goal: Show $6(k + 1) + 6 < 2^{k+1}$ 6(k + 1) + 6 = 6k + 6 + 6 $< 2^{k} + 6$ [Inductive Hypothesis] $< 2^{k} + 2^{k}$ [Since $2^{k} > 6$, since $k \ge 6$] $= 2 \cdot 2^{k}$ $= 2^{k+1}$ So $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$ for an arbitrary integer $k \ge 6$. **Conclusion:** P(n) holds for all integers $n \ge 6$ by the principle of induction.

2. Induction with Formulas

These problems are a little more difficult and abstract. Try making sure you can do all the other problems before trying these ones.

- (a) (i) Show that given two sets A and B that $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$. (Don't use induction.)
 - Solution:

Let x be arbitrary. Then, $x \in \overline{A \cup B} \equiv \neg(x \in A \cup B)$ $\equiv \neg(x \in A \lor x \in B)$ $\equiv \neg(x \in A) \land \neg(x \in B)$ $\equiv x \in \overline{A} \land x \in \overline{B}$ $\equiv x \in (\overline{A} \cap \overline{B})$ [Definition of complement] $\equiv x \in (\overline{A} \cap \overline{B})$ [Definition of intersection]

Since x was arbitrary we have that $x \in \overline{A \cup B}$ if and only if $x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ for all x. By the definition of set equality we've shown,

$$\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}.$$

(ii) Show using induction that for an integer $n \ge 2$, given n sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n-1}, A_n$ that

 $\overline{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1} \cup A_n} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \dots \cap \overline{A_{n-1}} \cap \overline{A_n}$

Solution:

Let P(n) be "given n sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n-1}, A_n$ it holds that $\overline{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{A_{n-1}} \cap \overline{A_n}$." We show P(n) for all integers $n \ge 2$ by induction on n.

Base Case: P(2) says that for two sets A_1 and A_2 that $\overline{A_1 \cup A_2} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2}$, which is exactly part (a) so P(2) holds.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose that P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer $k \ge 2$.

Inductive Step: Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k, A_{k+1}$ be sets. Then by part (a) we have,

 $\overline{(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k) \cup A_{k+1}} = \overline{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}}.$

By the inductive hypothesis we have $\overline{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots A_k} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{A_k}$. Thus,

$$\overline{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}} = (\overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \dots \overline{A_k}) \cap \overline{A_{k+1}}.$$

We've now shown

$$\overline{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k \cup A_{k+1}} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \dots \overline{A_k} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}}.$$

which is exactly P(k+1).

Conclusion P(n) holds for all integers $n \ge 2$ by the principle of induction.

(b) (i) Show that given any integers a, b, and c, if $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, then $c \mid (a + b)$. (Don't use induction.) Solution:

Let *a*, *b*, and *c* be arbitrary integers and suppose that $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$. Then by definition there exist integers *j* and *k* such that a = jc and b = kc. Then a + b = jc + kc = (j + k)c. Since j + k is an integer, by definition we have $c \mid (a + b)$.

(ii) Show using induction that for any integer $n \ge 2$, given n numbers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n$, for any integer c such that $c \mid a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, that

$$c \mid (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n-1} + a_n).$$

In other words, if a number divides each term in a sum then that number divides the sum.

Solution:

Let P(n) be "given n numbers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n$, for any integer c such that $c \mid a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, it holds that $c \mid (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n)$." We show P(n) holds for all integer $n \ge 2$ by induction on n.

Base Case: P(2) says that given two integers a_1 and a_2 , for any integer c such that $c \mid a_1$ and $c \mid a_2$ it holds that $c \mid (a_1 + a_2)$. This is exactly part (a) so P(2) holds.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose that P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer $k \ge 2$.

Inductive Step: Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, a_{k+1}$ be k + 1 integers. Let c be arbitrary and suppose that $c \mid a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k + 1$. Then we can write

 $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k + a_{k+1} = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k) + a_{k+1}.$

The sum $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k$ has k terms and c divides all of them, meaning we can apply the inductive hypothesis. It says that $c \mid (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k)$. Since $c \mid (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k)$ and $c \mid a_{k+1}$, by part (a) we have,

 $c \mid (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k + a_{k+1}).$

This shows P(k+1).

Conclusion: P(n) holds for all integers $n \ge 2$ by induction the principle of induction.

3. Structural Induction

(a) Consider the following recursive definition of strings.

Basis Step: "" is a string

Recursive Step: If *X* is a string and *c* is a character then append(c, X) is a string. Recall the following recursive definition of the function len:

> len("") = 0len(append(c, X)) = 1 + len(X)

Now, consider the following recursive definition:

double("") = ""
double(append(c, X)) = append(c, append(c, double(X))).

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X).

Solution:

For a string X, let P(X) be "len(double(X)) = 2 len(X)". We prove P(X) for all strings X by structural induction on X. **Base Case (**X = ""**):** By definition, len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2 \text{len("")}, so P("") holds **Inductive Hypothesis:** Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X. **Inductive Step:** Goal: Show that P(append(c, X)) holds for any character *c*. len(double(append(c, X))) = len(append(c, append(c, double(X))))[By Definition of double] = 1 + len(append(c, double(X)))[By Definition of len] $= 1 + 1 + \operatorname{len}(\operatorname{double}(X))$ [By Definition of len] = 2 + 2 len(X)[By IH] = 2(1 + len(X))[Algebra] $= 2(\operatorname{len}(\operatorname{append}(c, X)))$ [By Definition of len] This proves P(append(c, X)). **Conclusion:** P(X) holds for all strings X by structural induction.

(b) Consider the following definition of a (binary) Tree:

Basis Step: • is a Tree.

Recursive Step: If L is a **Tree** and R is a **Tree** then $Tree(\bullet, L, R)$ is a **Tree**.

The function leaves returns the number of leaves of a Tree. It is defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{leaves}(\bullet) &= 1 \\ & \mathsf{leaves}(\mathsf{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) &= \mathsf{leaves}(L) + \mathsf{leaves}(R) \end{split}$$

Also, recall the definition of size on trees:

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{size}(\bullet) &= 1 \\ & \mathsf{size}(\mathsf{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) &= 1 + \mathsf{size}(L) + \mathsf{size}(R) \end{aligned}$

Prove that $leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2$ for all Trees T.

Solution:

For a tree *T*, let P(T) be leaves $(T) \ge size(T)/2+1/2$. We prove P(T) for all trees *T* by structural induction on *T*. Base Case ($T = \bullet$): By definition of leaves (\bullet) , leaves $(\bullet) = 1$ and $size(\bullet) = 1$. So, leaves $(\bullet) = 1 \ge 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2$, so $P(\bullet)$ holds. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees *L*, *R*. Inductive Step: Goal: Show that $P(Tree(\bullet, L, R))$ holds. leaves $(Tree(\bullet, L, R)) = leaves(L) + leaves(R)$ [By Definition of leaves] $\ge (size(L)/2 + 1/2) + (size(R)/2 + 1/2)$ [By IH]

$$\geq (\operatorname{Size}(L)/2 + 1/2) + (\operatorname{Size}(R)/2 + 1/2) \qquad \text{[By IA]}$$

$$= (1/2 + \operatorname{size}(L)/2 + \operatorname{size}(R)/2) + 1/2 \qquad \text{[By Algebra]}$$

$$= \frac{1 + \operatorname{size}(L) + \operatorname{size}(R)}{2} + 1/2 \qquad \text{[By Algebra]}$$

$$= \operatorname{size}(T)/2 + 1/2 \qquad \text{[By Definition of size]}$$

This proves $P(Tree(\bullet, L, R))$.

Conclusion: Thus, P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction.

- (c) Prove the previous claim using strong induction. Define P(n) as "all trees T of size n satisfy leaves $(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2$ ". You may use the following facts:
 - For any tree T we have $size(T) \ge 1$.
 - For any tree T, size(T) = 1 if and only if $T = \bullet$.

If we wanted to prove these claims, we could do so by structural induction.

Note, in the inductive step you should start by letting T be an arbitrary tree of size k + 1.

Solution:

Let P(n) be "all trees T of size n satisfy $leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2$ ". We show P(n) for all integers $n \ge 1$ by strong induction on n.

- **Base Case:** Let T be an arbitrary tree of size 1. The only tree with size 1 is \bullet , so $T = \bullet$. By definition, leaves $(T) = \text{leaves}(\bullet) = 1$ and thus size(T) = 1 = 1/2 + 1/2 = size(T)/2 + 1/2. This shows the base case holds.
- **Inductive Hypothesis:** Suppose that P(j) holds for all integers j = 1, 2, ..., k for some arbitrary integer $k \ge 1$.
- **Inductive Step:** Let *T* be an arbitrary tree of size k + 1. Since k + 1 > 1, we must have $T \neq \bullet$. It follows from the definition of a tree that $T = \text{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)$ for some trees *L* and *R*. By definition, we have size(T) = 1 + size(L) + size(R). Since sizes are non-negative, this equation shows size(T) > size(L) and size(T) > size(R) meaning we can apply the inductive hypothesis. This says that $\text{leaves}(L) \ge \text{size}(L)/2 + 1/2$ and $\text{leaves}(R) \ge \text{size}(R)/2 + 1/2$.

We have,

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{leaves}(T) = \mathsf{leaves}(\mathsf{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) \\ & = \mathsf{leaves}(L) + \mathsf{leaves}(R) & [\mathsf{By Definition of leaves}] \\ & \geq (\mathsf{size}(L)/2 + 1/2) + (\mathsf{size}(R)/2 + 1/2) & [\mathsf{By IH}] \\ & = (1/2 + \mathsf{size}(L)/2 + \mathsf{size}(R)/2) + 1/2 & [\mathsf{By Algebra}] \\ & = \frac{1 + \mathsf{size}(L) + \mathsf{size}(R)}{2} + 1/2 & [\mathsf{By Algebra}] \\ & = \mathsf{size}(T)/2 + 1/2 & [\mathsf{By Definition of size}] \end{split}$$

This shows P(k+1).

Conclusion: P(n) holds for all integers $n \ge 1$ by the principle of strong induction.

Note, this proves the claim for all trees because every tree T has some size $s \ge 1$. Then P(s) says that all trees of size s satisfy the claim, including T.