CSE 311: Foundations of Computing

Lecture 24: NFAs and their relation to REs & DFAs
Recall: DFAs

- States
- Transitions on input symbols
- Start state and final states
- The “language recognized” by the machine is the set of strings that reach a final state from the start

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old State</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$s_0$</td>
<td>$s_0$</td>
<td>$s_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1$</td>
<td>$s_0$</td>
<td>$s_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_2$</td>
<td>$s_0$</td>
<td>$s_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_3$</td>
<td>$s_3$</td>
<td>$s_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recall: DFAs

- Each machine designed for strings over some fixed alphabet \( \Sigma \).
- Must have a transition defined from each state for every symbol in \( \Sigma \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old State</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s_0 )</td>
<td>( s_0 )</td>
<td>( s_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_1 )</td>
<td>( s_0 )</td>
<td>( s_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_2 )</td>
<td>( s_0 )</td>
<td>( s_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_3 )</td>
<td>( s_3 )</td>
<td>( s_3 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Last Time: Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

• Graph with start state, final states, edges labeled by symbols (like DFA) but
  – Not required to have exactly 1 edge out of each state labeled by each symbol—can have 0 or >1
  – Also can have edges labeled by empty string $\varepsilon$

• Definition: $x$ is in the language recognized by an NFA if and only if some valid execution of the machine gets to an accept state

![Diagram of NFA with states $s_0$, $s_1$, $s_2$, $s_3$, and transitions labeled with symbols 0, 1, and $\varepsilon$.]
Three ways of thinking about NFAs

• Perfect guesser: The NFA has input $x$ and whenever there is a choice of what to do it magically guesses a good one (if one exists)

• Outside observer: Is there a path labeled by $x$ from the start state to some accepting state?

• Parallel exploration: The NFA computation runs all possible computations on $x$ step-by-step at the same time in parallel
Path Labels

Def: The label of path $v_0, v_1, ..., v_n$ is the concatenation of the labels of the edges $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), ..., (v_{n-1}, v_n)$

Example: The label of path $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_0, s_0$ is 1100
Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA)

- **Def:** \( x \) is in the language recognized by an DFA if and only if \( x \) labels a path from the start state to some final state.

- **Path:** \( v_0, v_1, ..., v_n \) with \( v_0 = s_0 \) and label \( x \) describes a correct simulation of the DFA on input \( x \):
  - i-th step must match the i-th character of \( x \) (there may be options for which label to take between vertices).
Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

- Graph with start state, final states, edges labeled by symbols (like DFA) but
  - Not required to have exactly 1 edge out of each state labeled by each symbol—can have 0 or >1
  - Also can have edges labeled by empty string $\varepsilon$
- **Definition:** $x$ is in the language recognized by an NFA if and only if $x$ labels **some** path from the start state to an accepting state.
Three ways of thinking about NFAs

• Perfect guesser: The NFA has input $x$ and whenever there is a choice of what to do it magically guesses a good one (if one exists)

• Outside observer: Is there a path labeled by $x$ from the start state to some accepting state?

• Parallel exploration: The NFA computation runs all possible computations on $x$ step-by-step at the same time in parallel
Compare with the smallest DFA
Parallel Exploration view of an NFA
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Summary of NFAs

• Generalization of DFAs
  – drop two restrictions of DFAs
  – every DFA is an NFA

• Seem to be more powerful
  – designing is easier than with DFAs

• Seem related to regular expressions
The story so far...

- REs ⊆ CFGs
- DFAs ⊆ NFAs
Theorem: For any set of strings (language) $A$, if there is a regular expression for $A$ then there is an NFA that recognizes $A$.

Proof idea: Structural induction based on the recursive definition of regular expressions...
Regular Expressions over $\Sigma$

- **Basis:**
  - $\varepsilon$ is a regular expression
  - $a$ is a regular expression for any $a \in \Sigma$

- **Recursive step:**
  - If $A$ and $B$ are regular expressions then so are:
    - $A \cup B$
    - $AB$
    - $A^*$
Base Case

• Case $\varepsilon$: 

  $\rightarrow Q_0$

• Case $a$: 

  $\rightarrow Q_0 \xrightarrow{a} Q_1$
Base Case

• Case $\varepsilon$:

• Case $a$: 
Base Case

• Case ε:

• Case a:
Inductive Hypothesis

• Suppose that for some regular expressions $A$ and $B$ there exist NFAs $N_A$ and $N_B$ such that $N_A$ recognizes the language given by $A$ and $N_B$ recognizes the language given by $B$. 
Inductive Step

Case $A \cup B$: 

\[ N_A \quad N_B \]
Inductive Step

Case $A \cup B$:
Inductive Step

Case AB:
Inductive Step

Case AB:
Inductive Step

Case A*
Inductive Step

Case A*
Build an NFA for \((01 \cup 1)^*0\)
Solution

\[(01 \cup 1)^*0\]
The story so far...

- REs $\subseteq$ CFGs
- DFAs $\subseteq$ NFAs
NFAs and DFAs

Every DFA is an NFA

- DFAs have requirements that NFAs don’t have

Can NFAs recognize more languages?
NFAs and DFAs

Every DFA is an NFA
   – DFAs have requirements that NFAs don’t have

Can NFAs recognize more languages? No!

Theorem: For every NFA there is a DFA that recognizes exactly the same language.
Three ways of thinking about NFAs

- Outside observer: Is there a path labeled by $x$ from the start state to some final state?
- Perfect guesser: The NFA has input $x$ and whenever there is a choice of what to do it magically guesses a good one (if one exists)
- Parallel exploration: The NFA computation runs all possible computations on $x$ step-by-step at the same time in parallel
Parallel Exploration view of an NFA

Input string 0101100
Conversion of NFAs to a DFAs

• Construction Idea:
  – The DFA keeps track of ALL states reachable in the NFA along a path labeled by the input so far
    (Note: not all paths; all last states on those paths.)
  – There will be one state in the DFA for each subset of states of the NFA that can be reached by some string
Conversion of NFAs to a DFAs

New start state for DFA

- The set of all states reachable from the start state of the NFA using only edges labeled $\varepsilon$
Conversion of NFAs to a DFAs

For each state of the DFA corresponding to a set $S$ of states of the NFA and each symbol $a$

- Add an edge labeled $a$ to state corresponding to $T$, the set of states of the NFA reached by
  - starting from some state in $S$, then
  - following one edge labeled by $a$, and
    - then following some number of edges labeled by $\varepsilon$
- $T$ will be $\emptyset$ if no edges from $S$ labeled $a$ exist
Conversion of NFAs to a DFAs

Final states for the DFA

– All states whose set contain some final state of the NFA

NFA

DFA
Example: NFA to DFA

NFA

DFA
Example: NFA to DFA
Example: NFA to DFA

NFA

DFA
Example: NFA to DFA
Example: NFA to DFA
Example: NFA to DFA
Example: NFA to DFA
Example: NFA to DFA

NFA

DFA
The story so far...

\[ \text{REs} \subseteq \text{CFGs} \]

\[ \text{DFAs} = \text{NFAs} \]
Regular expressions $\subseteq$ NFAs $\equiv$ DFAs

We have shown how to build an optimal DFA for every regular expression
- Build NFA
- Convert NFA to DFA using subset construction
- Minimize resulting DFA

Thus, we could now implement a RegExp library
- most RegExp libraries actually simulate the NFA by constructing just the parts that are needed during the execution
- (even better: one can combine the two approaches: apply DFA minimization lazily while simulating the NFA)
The story so far...

\[
\text{REs} \subseteq \text{CFGs}
\]

\[
\text{DFAs} = \text{NFAs}
\]

Is this \(\subseteq\) really “=” or “\(\subset\)”?
Regular expressions $\equiv$ NFAs $\equiv$ DFAs

**Theorem:** For any NFA, there is a regular expression that defines the same language

**Corollary:** A language is recognized by a DFA (or NFA) if and only if it has a regular expression

You need to know these facts

- the construction for the Theorem is included in the slides after this, but you will not be tested on it
The story so far...

Next time: Is this $\subseteq$ really “=” or “$\varsubsetneq$”?
(Optional) proof that REs $\equiv$ NFAs $\equiv$ DFAs

**Theorem:** For any NFA, there is a regular expression that defines the same language

**Corollary:** A language is recognized by a DFA (or NFA) if and only if it has a regular expression

The construction for this Theorem is included in the following slides for your information. You will only need to know the statement of the theorem (and the corollary) not the proof.

We also give an example of the use of this general construction.
New Machinery: Generalized NFAs

• Like NFAs but allow
  – parallel edges (between the same pair of states)
  – regular expressions as edge labels
    NFAs already have edges labeled $\varepsilon$ or $a$

• Machine can follow an edge labeled by $A$ by reading a string of input characters in the language of $A$
  – (if $A$ is $a$ or $\varepsilon$, this matches the original definition, but we now allow REs built with recursive steps.)
New Machinery: Generalized NFAs

• Like NFAs but allow
  – parallel edges (between the same pair of states)
  – regular expressions as edge labels
    NFAs already have edges labeled \( \varepsilon \) or \( a \)

• The label of a path is now the concatenation of the regular expressions on those edges, making it a regular expression

• Def: A string \( x \) is accepted by a generalized NFA iff there is a path from start to final state labeled by a regular expression whose language contains \( x \)
Construction Idea

Add new start state and final state

Then delete the original states one by one, adding edges to keep the same language, until the graph looks like:
Starting from an NFA

Then delete the original states one by one, adding edges to keep the same language, until the graph looks like:

Final graph has only one path to the accepting state, which is labeled by $A$, so it accepts iff $x$ is in the language of $A$

Thus, $A$ is a regular expression with the same language as the original NFA.
Only two simplification rules

- **Rule 1:** For any two states $q_1$ and $q_2$ with parallel edges (possibly $q_1=q_2$), replace

  ![Diagram](image)

  If the machine would have used the edge labeled $A$ by consuming an input $x$ in the language of $A$, it can instead use the edge labeled $A \cup B$.

  Furthermore, this new edge does not allow transitions for any strings other than those that matched $A$ or $B$. 
Only two simplification rules

- **Rule 2:** Eliminate non-start/accepting state $q_3$ by creating direct edges that skip $q_3$

  Any path from $q_1$ to $q_2$ would have to match $AB^nC$ for some $n$ (the number of times the self loop was used), so the machine can use the new edge instead. New edge *only* allows strings that were allowed before.

```
  q_1 ----> q_3 ----> q_2
     |   B     |                         becomes
     A   C     AB*C
  q_1 ----> q_2
```

for every pair of states $q_1$, $q_2$ (even if $q_1=q_2$)
Construction Overview

Add new start state and final state

While the box contains some state $s$:
   for all states $r$, $t$ with $(r, s)$ and $(s, t)$ in $E$:
      create a direct edge $(r, t)$ by Rule 2
      delete $s$ (no longer needed)
      merge all parallel edges by Rule 1
While the box contains some state $s$:
for all states $r$, $t$ with $(r, s)$ and $(s, t)$ in $E$:
create a direct edge $(r, t)$ by Rule 2
delete $s$ (no longer needed)
merge all parallel edges by Rule 1

When the loop exits, the graph looks like this:

A is a regular expression with the same language as the original NFA.
Converting an NFA to a regular expression

Consider the DFA for the mod 3 sum

– Accept strings from \( \{0,1,2\}^* \) where the digits mod 3 sum of the digits is 0
Splicing out a state $t_1$

Create direct edges between neighbors of $t_1$ (so that we can delete it afterward)
Splicing out a state $t_1$

Regular expressions to add to edges

- $t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_0 : 10^*2$
- $t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_2 : 10^*1$
- $t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_0 : 20^*2$
- $t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_2 : 20^*1$
Splicing out a state $t_1$

Delete $t_1$ now that it is redundant

$t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_0 : 10^*2$
$t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_2 : 10^*1$
$t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_0 : 20^*2$
$t_2 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_2 : 20^*1$
Splicing out a state $t_1$

Create direct edges between neighbors of $t_2$ (so that we can delete it afterward)
Splicing out a state $t_1$

Regular expressions to add to edges

$R_1$: $0 \cup 10^*2$
$R_2$: $2 \cup 10^*1$
$R_3$: $1 \cup 20^*2$
$R_4$: $0 \cup 20^*1$
Splicing out state $t_2$ (and then $t_0$)

Delete $t_2$ now that it is redundant

$R_1: \ 0 \cup 10^*2$
$R_2: \ 2 \cup 10^*1$
$R_3: \ 1 \cup 20^*2$
$R_4: \ 0 \cup 20^*1$

$R_5: \ R_1 \cup R_2R_4^*R_3$

Diagram:

- Start state $s$
- Transition on $\varepsilon$ to $t_0$
- Transition on $R_5$ from $t_0$ to itself
- Transition on $\varepsilon$ from $t_0$ to final state $f$
Splicing out state $t_2$ (and then $t_0$)

Create direct $(s,f)$ edge so we can delete $t_0$

$R_1: \ 0 \cup 10^*2$
$R_2: \ 2 \cup 10^*1$
$R_3: \ 1 \cup 20^*2$
$R_4: \ 0 \cup 20^*1$
$R_5: \ R_1 \cup R_2 R_4^* R_3$

![Diagram showing the creation of a direct edge between states s and f, with transitions defined by the relations $R_1$ to $R_5$.](image)
Splicing out state \(t_2\) (and then \(t_0\))

Regular expressions to add to edges

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 & : 0 \cup 10^2 \\
R_2 & : 2 \cup 10^1 \\
R_3 & : 1 \cup 20^2 \\
R_4 & : 0 \cup 20^1 \\
R_5 & : R_1 \cup R_2 R_4^* R_3
\end{align*}
\]

\[
t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_0 : R_5^*
\]
Splicing out state $t_2$ (and then $t_0$)

Delete $t_0$ now that it is redundant

$R_1: 0 \cup 10^*2$
$R_2: 2 \cup 10^*1$
$R_3: 1 \cup 20^*2$
$R_4: 0 \cup 20^*1$
$R_5: R_1 \cup R_2 R_4^* R_3$

$R_6: R_5^*$
Splicing out state $t_2$ (and then $t_0$)

Regular expressions to add to edges

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1: \quad & 0 \cup 10*2 \\
R_2: \quad & 2 \cup 10*1 \\
R_3: \quad & 1 \cup 20*2 \\
R_4: \quad & 0 \cup 20*1 \\
R_5: \quad & R_1 \cup R_2 R_4 * R_3 \\
R_6: \quad & R_5 * 
\end{align*}
\]

Final regular expression: $R_6 = (0 \cup 10*2 \cup (2 \cup 10*1)(0 \cup 20*1)\cup (1 \cup 20*2))*$