# Section 5

CSE 311 - Sp 2022

# Administrivia

#### Announcements and Reminders

- HW4 due yesterday 10PM on Gradescope
  - Final late due date is Saturday 4/30 @ 10pm
- HW3 grades out now
  - Regrade requests are open for one week
  - If you think your work may have been graded incorrectly, please submit a regrade request!
- HW5 is out!
  - 2 parts!
  - BOTH PARTS Due Wednesday 5/4 @ 10pm
- Midterm is Next Weekend! (Friday 5/6 Sunday 5/8)
  - "Take home" exam on Gradescope
  - You will have 2 hours to complete it, starting from when you open it on Gradescope
  - It is designed to take ~30 minutes

### References

- How to LaTeX
  - <u>https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse311/22sp/assignments/HowToLaTeX.pdf</u>
- Logical Equivalences
  - <u>https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse311/22sp/resources/reference-logical\_equiv.pdf</u>
- Inference Rules
  - <u>https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse311/22sp/resources/InferenceRules.pdf</u>
- Set Definitions
  - <u>https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse311/22sp/resources/reference-sets.pdf</u>
- Modular Arithmetic Definitions and Properties
  - <u>https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse311/22sp/resources/reference-number-theory.pdf</u>
- Induction Templates
  - <u>https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse311/22sp/resources/induction-templates.pdf</u>

# Warm-Up

(a) Calculate gcd(100, 50).

(b) Calculate gcd(17, 31)

(c) Find the multiplicative inverse of 6 (mod 7).

(a) Calculate gcd(100, 50).

50

(b) Calculate gcd(17, 31)

(c) Find the multiplicative inverse of 6 (mod 7).

#### (a) Calculate gcd(100, 50).

#### 50

(b) Calculate gcd(17, 31)

#### 1

(c) Find the multiplicative inverse of 6 (mod 7).

#### (a) Calculate gcd(100, 50).

#### 50

(b) Calculate gcd(17, 31)

#### 1

(c) Find the multiplicative inverse of 6 (mod 7).

#### 6

#### (a) Calculate gcd(100, 50).

50

(b) Calculate gcd(17, 31)

#### 1

(c) Find the multiplicative inverse of 6 (mod 7).

#### 6

(d) Does 49 have an multiplicative inverse (mod 7)?

It does not. Intuitively, this is because 49x for any x is going to be 0 mod 7, which means it can never be 1.

## Euclid's Algorithm

gcd(660,126)

```
while(n != 0) {
    int rem = m % n;
    m=n;
    n=rem;
}
```

#### Euclid's Algorithm

```
gcd(660,126) = gcd(126, 660 \mod 126)
= gcd(30, 126 \mod 30)
= gcd(6, 30 \mod 6)
= 6
```

```
while(n != 0) {
    int rem = m % n;
    m=n;
    n=rem;
}
= gcd(126, 30)
= gcd(30, 6)
```

$$= gcd(6, 0)$$



### Bézout's Theorem

#### Bézout's Theorem

#### If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that gcd(a,b) = sa + tb

We're not going to prove this theorem...

But we'll show you how to find *s*,*t* for any positive integers *a*, *b*.

#### • Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

- Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.
- Step 3 substitute backward

gcd(35,27)

#### • Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.

- Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.
- Step 3 substitute backward

gcd(35,27)	= gcd(27, 35%27)	= gcd(27,8)	$35 = 1 \cdot 27 + 8$
	= gcd(8, 27%8)	= gcd(8, 3)	$27 = 3 \cdot 8 + 3$
	= gcd(3, 8%3)	= gcd(3, 2)	$8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2$
	= gcd(2, 3%2)	= gcd(2,1)	$3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$
	= gcd(1, 2%1)	= gcd(1,0)	

- Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.
- Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.
- Step 3 substitute backward

$$35 = 1 \cdot 27 + 8 
27 = 3 \cdot 8 + 3 
8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2 
3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$

- Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.
- Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.
- Step 3 substitute backward

$$35 = 1 \cdot 27 + 8 27 = 3 \cdot 8 + 3 8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2 3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$8 = 35 - 1 \cdot 27$$
  

$$3 = 27 - 3 \cdot 8$$
  

$$2 = 8 - 2 \cdot 3$$
  

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

- Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.
- Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.
- Step 3 substitute backward

$$8 = 35 - 1 \cdot 27$$
  

$$3 = 27 - 3 \cdot 8$$
  

$$2 = 8 - 2 \cdot 3$$
  

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

- Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.
- Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.
- Step 3 substitute backward

$$8 = 35 - 1 \cdot 27$$
  

$$3 = 27 - 3 \cdot 8$$
  

$$2 = 8 - 2 \cdot 3$$
  

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

$$l = 3 - 1 \cdot 2 = 3 - 1 \cdot (8 - 2 \cdot 3) = -1 \cdot 8 + 2 \cdot 3$$

- Step 1 compute gcd(a,b); keep tableau information.
- Step 2 solve all equations for the remainder.

#### Step 3 substitute backward

$$8 = 35 - 1 \cdot 27$$
  

$$3 = 27 - 3 \cdot 8$$
  

$$2 = 8 - 2 \cdot 3$$
  

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

 $1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$ = 3 - 1 \cdot (8 - 2 \cdot 3) = -1 \cdot 8 + 3 \cdot 3 = -1 \cdot 8 + 3(27 - 3 \cdot 8) = 3 \cdot 27 - 10 \cdot 8 = 3 \cdot 27 - 10(35 - 1 \cdot 27) = 13 \cdot 27 - 10 \cdot 35 When substituting back, you keep the larger of *m*, *n* and the number you just substituted. Don't simplify further! (or you lose the form you need)

 $gcd(27,35) = 13 \cdot 27 + (-10) \cdot 35$ 

(a) Find the multiplicative inverse y of 7 mod 33. That is, find y such that  $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ . You should use the extended Euclidean Algorithm. Your answer should be in the range  $0 \le y \le 33$ .

(b) Now, solve  $7z \equiv 2 \pmod{33}$  for all of its integer solutions z.

Work on part (a) with the people around you, and then we'll go over it together!

(a) Find the multiplicative inverse y of 7 mod 33. That is, find y such that  $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ . You should use the extended Euclidean Algorithm. Your answer should be in the range  $0 \le y < 33$ .

(a) Find the multiplicative inverse y of 7 mod 33. That is, find y such that  $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ . You should use the extended Euclidean Algorithm. Your answer should be in the range  $0 \le y < 33$ .

First, we find the gcd: gcd(33, 7) = gcd(7, 5)

3, 7) = gcd(7, 5)	33 = 4 • 7 + 5
= gcd(5, 2)	7 = 1 • 5 + 2
= gcd(2, 1)	$5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$
= gcd(1, 0)	$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0 = 1$

(a) Find the multiplicative inverse y of 7 mod 33. That is, find y such that  $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ . You should use the extended Euclidean Algorithm. Your answer should be in the range  $0 \le y < 33$ .

First, we find the gcd:		Next, we rearrange equations (1) - (3) by
gcd(33, 7) = gcd(7, 5)	$33 = 4 \cdot 7 + 5$	solving for the remainder:
= gcd(5, 2)	$7 = 1 \cdot 5 + 2$	$1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$
= gcd(2, 1)	$5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$	$2 = 7 - 1 \cdot 5$
= gcd(1, 0)	$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0 = 1$	$5 = 33 - 4 \cdot 7$

(a) Find the multiplicative inverse y of 7 mod 33. That is, find y such that  $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ . You should use the extended Euclidean Algorithm. Your answer should be in the range  $0 \le y < 33$ .

First, we find the gcd:		Next, we rearrange equations (1) - (3) by
gcd(33, 7) = gcd(7, 5)	33 = 4 • 7 + 5	solving for the remainder:
= gcd(5, 2)	$7 = 1 \cdot 5 + 2$	$1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$
= gcd(2, 1)	$5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$	$2 = 7 - 1 \cdot 5$
= gcd(1, 0)	$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0 = 1$	$5 = 33 - 4 \cdot 7$

Now, we backward substitute into the boxed numbers using the equations:

 $1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$ = 5 - 2 \cdot (7 - 1 \cdot 5) = 3 \cdot 5 - 2 \cdot 7 = 3 \cdot (33 - 4 \cdot 7) - 7 \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot 33 + -14 \cdot 7

(a) Find the multiplicative inverse y of 7 mod 33. That is, find y such that  $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ . You should use the extended Euclidean Algorithm. Your answer should be in the range  $0 \le y < 33$ .

First, we find the gcd:		Next, we rearrange equations (1) - (3) by
gcd(33, 7) = gcd(7, 5)	$33 = 4 \cdot 7 + 5$	solving for the remainder:
= gcd(5, 2)	$7 = 1 \cdot 5 + 2$	$1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$
= gcd(2, 1)	$5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$	$2 = 7 - 1 \cdot 5$
= gcd(1, 0)	$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0 = 1$	$5 = 33 - 4 \cdot 7$

Now, we backward substitute into the boxed numbers using the equations:

 $1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$ = 5 - 2 \cdot (7 - 1 \cdot 5) = 3 \cdot 5 - 2 \cdot 7 = 3 \cdot (33 - 4 \cdot 7) - 7 \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot 33 + -14 \cdot 7 So, 1 = 3 • 33 - 14 • -7. Thus, 33 - 14 = 19 is the multiplicative inverse of 7 mod 33

(b) Now, solve  $7z \equiv 2 \pmod{33}$  for all of its integer solutions z.

(b) Now, solve  $7z \equiv 2 \pmod{33}$  for all of its integer solutions z.

If  $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ , then  $2 \cdot 7y \equiv 2 \pmod{33}$ .

So,  $z \equiv 2 \times 19 \pmod{33} \equiv 5 \pmod{33}$ . This means that the set of solutions is  $\{5 + 33k \mid k \in Z\}$ 

# Induction

Induction Template

Let **P(n)** be "(whatever you're trying to prove)". We show **P(n)** holds for all **n** by induction on **n**.

Base Case: Show P(b) is true

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose **P(k)** holds for an arbitrary **k** ≥ **b** 

Inductive Step: Show P(k + 1) (i.e. get P(k) → P(k + 1))

<u>Conclusion</u>: Therefore, **P(n)** holds for all **n** by the principle of induction.

Induction Template

Let P(n) be "(whatever you're trying to prove)". Note: often you will condition n here, We show P(n) holds for all n by induction on n.

Base Case: Show P(b) is true

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose **P(k)** holds for an arbitrary **k** ≥ **b** 

<u>Inductive Step</u>: Show P(k + 1) (i.e. get  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$ )

<u>Conclusion</u>: Therefore, **P(n)** holds for all **n** by the principle of induction.

Match the earlier condition on **n** in your conclusion!

We're going to fill in the template to construct our proof by induction. Yay fun!

Let P(n) be "" for all n We show P(n) holds for all n by induction on n.

We need to plug in the thing we want to prove as our P(n) and constrain n appropriately

Let P(n) be "0 + 1 + 2 + · · · + n = n(n+1)/2" for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

## Problem 6 - Induction with Equality

Show using induction that  $0+1+2+\cdots+n = n(n+1)/2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Let P(n) be "0 + 1 + 2 + · · · + n = n(n+1)/2" for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

Base Case:

Show that the statement we want to prove is true for our base case.

Let P(n) be "0 + 1 + 2 + · · · + n = n(n+1)/2" for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

CAUTION!!! It is easy to accidentally use backwards reasoning in induction proofs, so we try to be very explicit to show ourselves and our readers that we are only proving forwards!

One good way to help avoid backwards reasoning in our base case is to simplify the left side, simplify the right side, and show they are equal to each other.

Let P(n) be "0 + 1 + 2 + · · · + n = n(n+1)/2" for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

Inductive Hypothesis:

This step is pretty much always the same!

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis</u>: Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

This step is pretty much always the same!

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis</u>: Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

Inductive Step: NOW we get to the real meat of the proof.

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

<u>Inductive Step</u>: Goal: Show  $P(k + 1): 0 + 1 + \dots + (k + 1) = (k + 1)(k + 2)/2$ 

It can be really helpful to list the goal for this step, to remind yourself where you need to go!

Now, we start with the algebraic manipulation! To avoid backwards reasoning here, remember to start with the left side, and keep going until you reach the right. If it all goes well, you'll use the IH somewhere, and that's induction!

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

<u>Inductive Step</u>: Goal: Show  $P(k + 1): 0 + 1 + \dots + (k + 1) = (k + 1)(k + 2)/2$  $0 + 1 + \dots + k + (k + 1) = (0 + 1 + \dots + k) + (k + 1)$ 

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

Inductive Step: Goal: Show 
$$P(k + 1): 0 + 1 + \dots + (k + 1) = (k + 1)(k + 2)/2$$
  
 $0 + 1 + \dots + k + (k + 1) = (0 + 1 + \dots + k) + (k + 1)$   
 $= k(k + 1)/2 + (k + 1)$  by I.H.

This step is KEY! We're able to substitute that whole messy part with the ... for a closed expression BECAUSE we use our inductive hypothesis.

Make sure you ALWAYS point out when you use that I.H., so you keep things clear for your reader and yourself!

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

Inductive Step: Goal: Show P(k + 1): 
$$0 + 1 + \dots + (k + 1) = (k + 1)(k + 2)/2$$
  
 $0 + 1 + \dots + k + (k + 1) = (0 + 1 + \dots + k) + (k + 1)$   
 $= k(k + 1)/2 + (k + 1)$  by I.H  
 $= k(k + 1)/2 + 2(k + 1)/2$ 

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

Inductive Step: Goal: Show P(k + 1): 
$$0 + 1 + \dots + (k + 1) = (k + 1)(k + 2)/2$$
  
 $0 + 1 + \dots + k + (k + 1) = (0 + 1 + \dots + k) + (k + 1)$   
 $= k(k + 1)/2 + (k + 1)$  by I.H  
 $= k(k + 1)/2 + 2(k + 1)/2$   
 $= (k(k + 1) + 2(k + 1))/2$ 

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

We have shown P(k+1)!

#### Conclusion:

Let P(n) be " $0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = n(n+1)/2$ " for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

<u>Base Case:</u> P(0): Left side: 0, Right side: O(0+1)/2 = 0, the two are equal so the base case holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

We have shown P(k+1)!

<u>Conclusion</u>: Therefore, P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by the principle of induction.

# That's All, Folks!

Any questions?