# **CSE 311:** Foundations of Computing

#### **Lecture 12: Modular Arithmetic and Applications**



- HW3 solutions after class
- HW4 released on Saturday
- Remember to start early!
  - most problems require a formal proof and then a translation into an English proof
  - English proofs going forward
- Never hear people say "I can write 64-bit ARM assembly but not Java"

#### Last Class: Divisibility

## Definition: "b divides a"

For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b \neq 0$ :  $b \mid a \leftrightarrow \exists q \in \mathbb{Z} \ (a = qb)$ 

Check Your Understanding. Which of the following are true?



For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0, we can divide b into a.

If  $b \mid a$ , then, by definition, we have a = qb for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The number q is called the quotient.

Dividing both sides by *b*, we can write this as

$$\frac{a}{b} = q$$

(We want to stick to integers, though, so we'll write a = qb.)

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0, we can divide b into a.

If  $b \nmid a$ , then we end up with a *remainder*  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with 0 < r < b. Now,

instead of 
$$\frac{a}{b} = q$$
 we have  $\frac{a}{b} = q + \frac{r}{b}$ 

Multiplying both sides by *b* gives us (A bit nicer since it has no fractions.)

a = qb + r

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0, we can divide b into a.

If  $b \mid a$ , then we have a = qb for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $b \nmid a$ , then we have a = qb + r for some  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with 0 < r < b.

In general, we have a = qb + r for some  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \le r < b$ , where r = 0 iff  $b \mid a$ .

#### **Division Theorem**

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0there exist *unique* integers q, r with  $0 \le r < b$ such that a = qb + r.

To put it another way, if we divide *b* into *a*, we get a unique quotient  $q = a \operatorname{div} b$ and non-negative remainder  $r = a \operatorname{mod} b$ 

> Note: r ≥ 0 even if a < 0. Not quite the same as **a**%**d**.

# **Division Theorem**

#### **Division Theorem**

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0there exist *unique* integers q, r with  $0 \le r < b$ such that a = qb + r.

To put it another way, if we divide *b* into *a*, we get a unique quotient  $q = a \operatorname{div} b$ and non-negative remainder  $r = a \operatorname{mod} b$ 

```
public class Test2 {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        int a = -5;
        int d = 2;
        System.out.println(a % d);
    }
} Note: r ≥ 0 even if a < 0.
Not quite the same as a%d.</pre>
```

# **Division Theorem**

#### **Division Theorem**

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0there exist *unique* integers q, r with  $0 \le r < b$ such that a = qb + r.

$$q = a \operatorname{div} b$$
  $r = a \operatorname{mod} b$ 

While **div** is more familiar, our focus is on **mod**:

- provides a bound on the size  $(0 \le r < b)$
- need to connect that somehow to arithmetic...

2 + 3 = 5



#### **Arithmetic on a Clock**



If a = qb + r, then  $r \ (= a \mod b)$  is where you stop after taking a steps on the clock

# (a + b) mod 7 (a × b) mod 7



| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 6 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

# **Modular Arithmetic**

Definition: "a is congruent to b modulo m"

*b*)

For 
$$a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 with  $m > 0$   
 $a \equiv_m b \leftrightarrow m \mid (a - b)$ 

New notion of "sameness" that will help us understand modular arithmetic

## **Modular Arithmetic**

Definition: "a is congruent to b modulo m"

*b*)

For 
$$a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 with  $m > 0$   
 $a \equiv_m b \leftrightarrow m \mid (a - d)$ 

The standard math notation is

 $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 

A chain of equivalences is written

 $a \equiv b \equiv c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ 

Many students find this confusing, so we will use  $\equiv_m$  instead.

# **Modular Arithmetic**

Definition: "a is congruent to b modulo m"

For  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0

 $a \equiv_m b \leftrightarrow m \mid (a - b)$ 

Check Your Understanding. What do each of these mean? When are they true?

 $x \equiv_2 0$ 

This statement is the same as saying "x is even"; so, any x that is even (including negative even numbers) will work.

-1 ≡<sub>5</sub> 19

This statement is true. 19 - (-1) = 20 which is divisible by 5

y ≡<sub>7</sub> 2

This statement is true for y in  $\{ ..., -12, -5, 2, 9, 16, ... \}$ . In other words, all y of the form 2+7k for k an integer.

Let a, b, m be integers with m > 0. Then,  $a \equiv_m b$  if and only if  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

Let a, b, m be integers with m > 0. Then,  $a \equiv_m b$  if and only if  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

Suppose that  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

By the division theorem,  $a = mq + (a \mod m)$  and  $b = ms + (b \mod m)$  for some integers q,s.

Let a, b, m be integers with m > 0. Then,  $a \equiv_m b$  if and only if  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

Suppose that  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

By the division theorem,  $a = mq + (a \mod m)$  and  $b = ms + (b \mod m)$  for some integers q,s.

Then, 
$$a - b = (mq + (a \mod m)) - (ms + (b \mod m))$$
  
=  $m(q - s) + (a \mod m - b \mod m)$   
=  $m(q - s)$  since  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ 

Let a, b, m be integers with m > 0. Then,  $a \equiv_m b$  if and only if  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

Suppose that  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

By the division theorem,  $a = mq + (a \mod m)$  and  $b = ms + (b \mod m)$  for some integers q,s.

Then, 
$$a - b = (mq + (a \mod m)) - (ms + (b \mod m))$$
  
=  $m(q - s) + (a \mod m - b \mod m)$   
=  $m(q - s)$  since  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ 

Therefore,  $m \mid (a - b)$  and so  $a \equiv_m b$ .

Let a, b, m be integers with m > 0. Then,  $a \equiv_m b$  if and only if  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$ .

Then,  $m \mid (a - b)$  by definition of congruence. So, a - b = km for some integer k by definition of divides. Therefore, a = b + km.

Let a, b, m be integers with m > 0. Then,  $a \equiv_m b$  if and only if  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$ .

Then,  $m \mid (a - b)$  by definition of congruence. So, a - b = km for some integer k by definition of divides. Therefore, a = b + km.

By the Division Theorem, we have  $a = qm + (a \mod m)$ , where  $0 \le (a \mod m) < m$ .

Let a, b, m be integers with m > 0. Then,  $a \equiv_m b$  if and only if  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$ .

Then,  $m \mid (a - b)$  by definition of congruence. So, a - b = km for some integer k by definition of divides. Therefore, a = b + km.

By the Division Theorem, we have  $a = qm + (a \mod m)$ , where  $0 \le (a \mod m) < m$ .

Combining these, we have  $qm + (a \mod m) = a = b + km$ or equiv.,  $b = qm - km + (a \mod m) = (q - k)m + (a \mod m)$ . By the Division Theorem, we have  $b \mod m = a \mod m$ .

- What we have just shown
  - The mod *m* function takes any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and maps it to a remainder  $a \mod m \in \{0, 1, ..., m 1\}$ .
  - Imagine grouping together all integers that have the same value of the mod m function That is, the same remainder in  $\{0,1,..,m-1\}$ .
  - The  $\equiv_m$  predicate compares  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is true if and only if the mod m function has the same value on a and on b.

That is, *a* and *b* are in the same group.

#### **Recall: Familiar Properties of "="**

- If a = b and b = c, then a = c.
  - i.e., if a = b = c, then a = c
- If a = b and c = d, then a + c = b + d.
  - in particular, since c = c is true, we can "+ c" to both sides
- If a = b and c = d, then ac = bd.
  - in particular, since c = c is true, we can " $\times c$ " to both sides

These are the facts that allow us to use algebra to solve problems

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $b \equiv_m c$ , then  $a \equiv_m c$ .

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $b \equiv_m c$ , then  $a \equiv_m c$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $b \equiv_m c$ .

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $b \equiv_m c$ , then  $a \equiv_m c$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $b \equiv_m c$ . Then, by the previous property, we have  $a \mod m = b \mod m$  and  $b \mod m = c \mod m$ .

Putting these together, we have  $a \mod m = c \mod m$ , which says that  $a \equiv_m c$ , by the previous property.

# **Modular Arithmetic: Addition Property**

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $a + c \equiv_m b + d$ .

## **Modular Arithmetic: Addition Property**

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $a + c \equiv_m b + d$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ .

## **Modular Arithmetic: Addition Property**

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $a + c \equiv_m b + d$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ . Unrolling the definitions, we can see that a - b = km and c - d = jm for some  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Adding the equations together gives us (a + c) - (b + d) = m(k + j).

By the definition of congruence, we have  $a + c \equiv_m b + d$ .

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $ac \equiv_m bd$ .

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $ac \equiv_m bd$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ .

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $ac \equiv_m bd$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ . Unrolling the definitions, we can see that a - b = km and c - d = jm for some  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  or equivalently, a = km + b and c = jm + d.

Multiplying both together gives us  $ac = (km + b)(jm + d) = kjm^2 + kmd + bjm + bd$ .

Let *m* be a positive integer. If  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $ac \equiv_m bd$ .

Suppose that  $a \equiv_m b$  and  $c \equiv_m d$ . Unrolling the definitions, we can see that a - b = km and c - d = jm for some  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  or equivalently, a = km + b and c = jm + d.

Multiplying both together gives us  $ac = (km + b)(jm + d) = kjm^2 + kmd + bjm + bd$ . Re-arranging, this becomes ac - bd = m(kjm + kd + bj).

This says  $ac \equiv_m bd$  by the definition of congruence.

If 
$$a \equiv_m b$$
 and  $b \equiv_m c$ , then  $a \equiv_m c$ .

If 
$$a \equiv_m b$$
 and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $a + c \equiv_m b + d$ .

Corollary: If  $a \equiv_m b$ , then  $a + c \equiv_m b + c$ .

If 
$$a \equiv_m b$$
 and  $c \equiv_m d$ , then  $ac \equiv_m bd$ .

Corollary: If  $a \equiv_m b$ , then  $ac \equiv_m bc$ .

If 
$$a \equiv_m b$$
 and  $b \equiv_m c$ , then  $a \equiv_m c$ .

If 
$$a \equiv_m b$$
, then  $a + c \equiv_m b + c$ .

If 
$$a \equiv_m b$$
, then  $ac \equiv_m bc$ .

" $\equiv_m$ " allows us to solve problems in modular arithmetic, e.g.

- add / subtract numbers from both sides of equations
- chains of " $\equiv_m$ " values shows first and last are " $\equiv_m$ "
- substitute " $\equiv_m$ " values in equations (not proven yet)

#### Let *n* be an integer. Prove that $n^2 \equiv_4 0$ or $n^2 \equiv_4 1$ .

Let's start by looking a a small example:

| $0^2 = 0$          | $\equiv_4$ | 0 |
|--------------------|------------|---|
| 1 <sup>2</sup> = 1 | ≡₄         | 1 |
| 2 <sup>2</sup> = 4 | ≡₄         | 0 |
| 3 <sup>2</sup> = 9 | $\equiv_4$ | 1 |
| $4^2 = 16$         | ≣⊿         | 0 |

#### Let *n* be an integer. Prove that $n^2 \equiv_4 0$ or $n^2 \equiv_4 1$ .

Case 1 (n is even):

Let's start by looking a a small example:

| $0^2 = 0$          | $\equiv_4$ | 0 |
|--------------------|------------|---|
| $1^2 = 1$          | $\equiv_4$ | 1 |
| 2 <sup>2</sup> = 4 | ≡₄         | 0 |
| $3^2 = 9$          | $\equiv_4$ | 1 |
| $4^2 = 16$         | $\equiv_4$ | 0 |

It looks like

n ≡<sub>2</sub> 0 → n<sup>2</sup> ≡<sub>4</sub> 0, and n ≡<sub>2</sub> 1 → n<sup>2</sup> ≡<sub>4</sub> 1.

Let *n* be an integer. Prove that  $n^2 \equiv_4 0$  or  $n^2 \equiv_4 1$ .

Case 1 (n is even):Let's start by looking a a small example:Suppose n is even. $0^2 = 0 \equiv_4 0$ Then, n = 2k for some integer k. $1^2 = 1 \equiv_4 1$ So,  $n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 4k^2 + 0$ . $2^2 = 4 \equiv_4 0$ So, by the definition of congruence, $4^2 = 16 \equiv_4 0$ we have  $n^2 \equiv_4 0$ .0

It looks like

 $\begin{array}{l} n \equiv_2 0 \longrightarrow n^2 \equiv_4 0, \text{ and} \\ n \equiv_2 1 \longrightarrow n^2 \equiv_4 1. \end{array}$ 

#### Let *n* be an integer. Prove that $n^2 \equiv_4 0$ or $n^2 \equiv_4 1$ .

Case 1 (n is even): Done.

Case 2 (n is odd):

Let's start by looking a a small example:

| $0^2 = 0$          | $\equiv_4$ | 0 |
|--------------------|------------|---|
| $1^2 = 1$          | $\equiv_4$ | 1 |
| 2 <sup>2</sup> = 4 | $\equiv_4$ | 0 |
| 3 <sup>2</sup> = 9 | $\equiv_4$ | 1 |
| $4^2 = 16$         | $\equiv_4$ | 0 |

It looks like

n ≡<sub>2</sub> 0 → n<sup>2</sup> ≡<sub>4</sub> 0, and n ≡<sub>2</sub> 1 → n<sup>2</sup> ≡<sub>4</sub> 1.

Let *n* be an integer. Prove that  $n^2 \equiv_4 0$  or  $n^2 \equiv_4 1$ .

```
Let's start by looking a a small example:
Case 1 (n is even): Done.
                                                           0^2 = 0 \equiv_4 0
                                                           1^2 = 1 \equiv_4 1
Case 2 (n is odd):
                                                           2^2 = 4 \equiv_4 0
    Suppose n is odd.
                                                           3^2 = 9 \equiv_4 1
     Then, n = 2k + 1 for some integer k.
                                                           4^2 = 16 \equiv_4 0
    So, n^2 = (2k + 1)^2
         =4k^{2}+4k+1
                                           It looks like
         =4(k^2+k)+1.
                                         n \equiv_2 0 \rightarrow n^2 \equiv_4 0, and
    So, by definition of congruence, n \equiv_2 1 \rightarrow n^2 \equiv_4 1.
    we have n^2 \equiv_4 1.
```

Result follows by proof by cases since n is either even or odd