

# CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

---

## Section 3: Inference Solutions

### 1. Formal Proof (Direct Proof Rule)

Show that  $\neg p \rightarrow s$  follows from  $p \vee q$ ,  $q \rightarrow r$  and  $r \rightarrow s$ .

**Solution:**

- |      |                        |                           |
|------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.   | $p \vee q$             | [Given]                   |
| 2.   | $q \rightarrow r$      | [Given]                   |
| 3.   | $r \rightarrow s$      | [Given]                   |
| 4.1. | $\neg p$               | [Assumption]              |
| 4.2. | $q$                    | [Elim of $\vee$ : 1, 4.1] |
| 4.3. | $r$                    | [MP of 4.2, 2]            |
| 4.4. | $s$                    | [MP 4.3, 3]               |
| 4.   | $\neg p \rightarrow s$ | [Direct Proof Rule]       |

### 2. Formal Proof

Show that  $\neg p$  follows from  $\neg(\neg r \vee t)$ ,  $\neg q \vee \neg s$  and  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$ .

**Solution:**

- |     |  |                          |
|-----|--|--------------------------|
| 1.  | $\neg(\neg r \vee t)$                        | [Given]                  |
| 2.  | $\neg q \vee \neg s$                         | [Given]                  |
| 3.  | $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$ | [Given]                  |
| 4.  | $\neg\neg r \wedge \neg t$                   | [DeMorgan's Law: 1]      |
| 5.  | $\neg\neg r$                                 | [Elim of $\wedge$ : 4]   |
| 6.  | $r$  | [Double Negation: 5]     |
| 7.  | $r \rightarrow s$                            | [Elim of $\wedge$ : 3]   |
| 8.  | $s$  | [MP, 6,7]                |
| 9.  | $\neg\neg s$                                 | [Double Negation: 8]     |
| 10. | $\neg s \vee \neg q$                         | [Commutative: 2]         |
| 11. | $\neg q$                                     | [Elim of $\vee$ : 10, 9] |
| 12. | $p \rightarrow q$                            | [Elim of $\wedge$ : 3]   |
| 13. | $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$                  | [Contrapositive: 12]     |
| 14. | $\neg p$                                     | [MP: 11,13]              |

### 3. A Formal Proof in Predicate Logic

Prove  $\exists x (P(x) \vee R(x))$  from  $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$  and  $\forall y (\neg Q(y) \vee R(y))$ .

**Solution:**

- |      |                                   |                         |
|------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1.   | $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$      | [Given]                 |
| 2.   | $\forall y (\neg Q(y) \vee R(y))$ | [Given]                 |
| 3.   | $P(a) \vee Q(a)$                  | [Elim $\forall$ : 1]    |
| 4.   | $\neg Q(a) \vee R(a)$             | [Elim $\forall$ : 2]    |
| 5.   | $Q(a) \rightarrow R(a)$           | [Law of Implication: 4] |
| 6.   | $\neg\neg P(a) \vee Q(a)$         | [Double Negation: 3]    |
| 7.   | $\neg P(a) \rightarrow Q(a)$      | [Law of Implication: 5] |
| 8.1. | $\neg P(a)$                       | [Assumption]            |
| 8.2. | $Q(a)$                            | [Modus Ponens: 8.1, 7]  |
| 8.3. | $R(a)$                            | [Modus Ponens: 8.2, 5]  |
| 8.   | $\neg P(a) \rightarrow R(a)$      | [Direct Proof]          |
| 9.   | $\neg\neg P(a) \vee R(a)$         | [Law of Implication: 8] |
| 10.  | $P(a) \vee R(a)$                  | [Double Negation: 9]    |
| 11.  | $\exists x (P(x) \vee R(x))$      | [Intro $\exists$ : 10]  |