

CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

Midterm Practice Questions Solutions

Logic

(a) Show that the expression $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ is a contingency.

Solution:

Under the assignment $p = T, q = T, r = T$, $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ evaluates to T. but under the assignment $p = T, q = T, r = F$, it evaluates to F (since $p \rightarrow q$ evaluates to T and $p \rightarrow r$ evaluates to F). Therefore, it is a contingency.

(b) Give an expression that is logically equivalent to $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ using the logical operators \neg , \vee , and \wedge (but not \rightarrow).

Solution:

$\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee r)$ and $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg p \vee r$ are two natural choices here.

(c) Determine whether the following compound proposition is a tautology, a contradiction, or a contingency:
 $((s \vee p) \wedge (s \vee \neg p)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r)$.

Solution:

This is a contingency: Under the truth assignment $s = T, p = F, q = T$ and $r = F$, it evaluates to F because we have $((s \vee p) \wedge (s \vee \neg p)) = T$ and $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r) = F$ because $(p \rightarrow q) = T$ and $r = F$. On the other hand if all of p, q, r, s are F, the whole formula evaluates to T.

(d) Show that the following is a tautology: $((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$.

Solution:

Solution 1: Truth table:

p	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \vee r$	$(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$	$q \vee r$	$((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
F	F	F	T	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	F	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T

Solution 2: Derivation:

1. $\neg(q \vee r)$	Assumption
2. $\neg q \wedge \neg r$	De Morgan's Law from 1
3. $p \vee \neg p$	Excluded Middle
4. $(p \vee \neg p) \wedge (\neg q \wedge \neg r)$	Intro \wedge from 2 and 3
5. $(p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r)$	Distributive Law from 4
6. $((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r)) \wedge (r \wedge (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r))$	Distributive Law from 5
7. $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r)$	Elim \wedge from 6
8. $((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r)) \wedge ((p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg q)$	Distributive Law from 7
9. $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r)$	Elim \wedge from 8
10. $(\neg\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r)$	Double Negation from 9
11. $\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg(p \vee r)$	De Morgan's Law (twice) from 9
12. $\neg((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r))$	De Morgan's Law from 10
13. $\neg(q \vee r) \rightarrow \neg((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r))$	Direct Proof Rule
14. $((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$	Contrapositive

Boolean Algebra

Write a boolean algebra expression equivalent to $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$ that is:

(i) A sum of products

Solution:

$$pq' + r.$$

(ii) A product of sums

Solution:

$$(p + r)(q' + r).$$

Predicate Logic

(a) Using the domain consisting of all people, restaurants, and food and predicates:

Likes(p, f): "Person p likes to eat the food f ." Restaurant(r): " r is a Restaurant"

Serves(r, f): "Restaurant r serves the food f ."

translate the following statements into logical expressions.

(i) Every restaurant serves a food that no one likes.

Solution:

$$\forall r(\text{Restaurant}(r) \rightarrow \exists f(\text{Serves}(r, f) \wedge \forall p \neg \text{Likes}(p, f))) \text{ or}$$

$$\forall r(\text{Restaurant}(r) \rightarrow \exists f \forall p (\text{Serves}(r, f) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(p, f))).$$

(ii) Every restaurant that serves TOFU also serves a food which RANDY does not like.

Solution:

$$\forall r(\text{Serves}(r, \text{TOFU}) \rightarrow \exists f(\text{Serves}(r, f) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(\text{RANDY}, f))) \text{ or}$$

$$\forall r \exists f(\text{Serves}(r, \text{TOFU}) \rightarrow (\text{Serves}(r, f) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(\text{RANDY}, f))).$$

(b) Let $P(x, y)$ be the predicate " $x < y$ " and let the universe for all variables be the real numbers. Express each of the following statements as predicate logic formulas using P :

(i) For every number there is a smaller one.

Solution:

$$\forall x \exists y P(y, x).$$

- (ii) 7 is smaller than any other number.

Solution:

$$\forall y ((y \neq 7) \rightarrow P(7, y)).$$

- (iii) 7 is between a and b . (Don't forget to handle both the possibility that b is smaller than a as well as the possibility that a is smaller than b .)

Solution:

$$(P(a, 7) \wedge P(7, b)) \vee (P(b, 7) \wedge P(7, a))$$

- (iv) Between any two different numbers there is another number.

Solution:

$$\forall x \forall y ((x \neq y) \rightarrow \exists z ((P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)) \vee (P(y, z) \wedge P(z, x))) \text{ or}$$

$$\forall x \forall y \exists z ((x \neq y) \rightarrow ((P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)) \vee (P(y, z) \wedge P(z, x))).$$

- (v) For any two numbers, if they are different then one is less than the other.

Solution:

$$\forall x \forall y ((x \neq y) \rightarrow (P(x, y) \vee P(y, x))).$$

- (c) Let $V(x, y)$ be the predicate " x voted for y ", let $M(x, y)$ be the predicate " x received more votes than y ", and let the universe for all variables be the set of all people. Express each of the following statements as predicate logic formulas using V and M :

- (i) Everybody received at least one vote.

Solution:

$$\forall x \exists y V(y, x).$$

- (ii) Jane and John voted for the same person. (Do not assume that each person only votes once.)

Solution:

$$\exists x (V(\text{Jane}, x) \wedge V(\text{John}, x)).$$

- (iii) Ross won the election. (The winner is the person who received the most votes.)

Solution:

$$\forall x ((x \neq \text{Ross}) \rightarrow M(\text{Ross}, x)).$$

- (iv) Nobody who votes for him/herself can win the election.

Solution:

$$\text{Lots of good answers here; two possible answers: } \neg \exists x (V(x, x) \wedge \forall y ((y \neq x) \rightarrow M(x, y))) \text{ or}$$

$$\forall x (V(x, x) \rightarrow \exists y M(y, x)).$$

- (v) Everybody can vote for at most one person.

Solution:

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z ((V(x, y) \wedge V(x, z)) \rightarrow (y = z)) \text{ or } \forall x \forall y \forall z ((y \neq z) \rightarrow (\neg V(x, y) \vee \neg V(x, z))).$$

- (d) Find predicates $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ such that $\forall x (P(x) \oplus Q(x))$ is true, but $\forall x P(x) \oplus \forall x Q(x)$ is false.

Solution:

Let $P(x)$ be “ x is even” and let $Q(x)$ be “ x is odd” and let the universe be the set of all integers. Every integer is either even or odd but not both so $\forall x(P(x) \oplus Q(x))$ is true, but not all integers are even and not all integers are odd, so $\forall xP(x)$ and $\forall xQ(x)$ are both false and hence $\forall xP(x) \oplus \forall xQ(x)$ is false.

Formal Proofs

- (a) Use rules of inference to show that if the premises $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$, $\forall x(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))$, and $\neg R(i)$, where i is in the domain, are true, then the conclusion $\neg P(i)$ is true. (Note: You do not need to give the names for the rules of inference.)

Solution:

1. $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ Given
2. $\forall x(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))$ Given
3. $\neg R(i)$ Given
4. $Q(i) \rightarrow R(i)$ Elim \forall from 2
5. $\neg R(i) \rightarrow \neg Q(i)$ Contrapositive from 4
6. $\neg Q(i)$ Modus Ponens from 3 and 5
7. $P(i) \rightarrow Q(i)$ Elim \forall from 1
8. $\neg Q(i) \rightarrow \neg P(i)$ Contrapositive from 7
9. $\neg P(i)$ Modus Ponens from 6 and 8

English Proofs

- (a) Prove that if n is even and m is odd, then $(n + 1)(m + 1)$ is even.

Solution:

Suppose that n is even and m is odd.

Since m is odd there is some integer ℓ such that $m = 2\ell + 1$.

It follows that $m + 1 = 2\ell + 2 = 2(\ell + 1)$.

Therefore $(n + 1)(m + 1) = 2(n + 1)(\ell + 1)$.

Since n and ℓ are integers, $(n + 1)(\ell + 1)$ is an integer.

Therefore $(n + 1)(m + 1)$ is 2 times an integer $(n + 1)(\ell + 1)$ and therefore $(n + 1)(m + 1)$ is even.

- (b) Prove or disprove:

- (i) For positive integers x , p , and q , $(x \bmod p) \bmod q = x \bmod pq$.

Solution:

This is false. For a counterexample you can choose $p = 2$, $q = 3$ and $x = 3$. In this case $x \bmod p = 1$ and so $(x \bmod p) \bmod q = 1$. On the other hand $x \bmod pq = 3 \bmod 6 = 3$ so they are not equal.

- (ii) For positive integers x , p , and q , $(x \bmod p) \bmod q = (x \bmod q) \bmod p$.

Solution:

This is also false. We can take the same values $p = 2$, $q = 3$ and $x = 3$ from part (i). As we have seen, $(x \bmod p) \bmod q = 1$. On the other hand, $x \bmod q = 0$ so $(x \bmod q) \bmod p = 0$ so they are not equal.

- (c) Prove that the sum of an odd number and an even number is an odd number.

Solution:

Suppose that n is odd and m is even. Then there exist integers k and ℓ such that $n = 2k + 1$ and $m = 2\ell$. Therefore $n + m = 2k + 1 + 2\ell = 2(k + \ell) + 1$. Since $k + \ell$ is an integer, $n + m$ is 1 more than twice an integer and thus $n + m$ is odd.

Induction

- (a) Prove the following for all natural numbers n by induction, $\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - \frac{n+2}{2^n}$.

Solution:

Proof:

(a) Let $P(n)$ be " $\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (n+2)/2^n$ ". We will prove by induction that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 0$.

(b) Base Case: $\sum_{i=0}^0 \frac{i}{2^i} = 0 \cdot 2^0 = 0$. On the other hand $2 - (0+2)/2^0 = 2 - 2/1 = 0$. Therefore $\sum_{i=0}^0 \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (0+2)/2^0$ and thus $P(0)$ is true.

(c) Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (k+2)/2^k$ for some arbitrary integer $k \geq 0$.

(d) Inductive Step: Goal: Show $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (k+3)/2^{k+1}$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{i}{2^i} &= \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{i}{2^i} + (k+1)/2^{k+1} && \text{by definition} \\ &= 2 - (k+2)/2^k + (k+1)/2^{k+1} && \text{by the Inductive Hypothesis} \\ &= 2 - [2(k+2) - (k+1)]/2^{k+1} \\ &= 2 - (k+4-1)/2^{k+1} \\ &= 2 - (k+3)/2^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

(e) Therefore by induction we have shown that $\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (n+2)/2^n$ for all $n \geq 0$. \square

- (b) Let $T(n)$ be defined by: $T(0) = 1$, $T(n) = 2nT(n-1)$ for $n \geq 1$. Prove that for all $n \geq 0$, $T(n) = 2^n n!$.

Solution:

Proof:

1. Let $P(n)$ be " $T(n) = 2^n n!$ ". We will prove by induction that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 0$.

2. Base Case: $2^0 0! = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 = T(0)$. Therefore $P(0)$ is true.

3. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $T(k) = 2^k k!$ for some arbitrary integer $k \geq 0$.

4. Inductive Step: Goal: Show $T(k+1) = 2^{k+1}(k+1)!$

$$\begin{aligned} T(k+1) &= 2(k+1)T(k) && \text{by definition since } k+1 \geq 1 \\ &= 2(k+1)2^k k! && \text{by the Inductive Hypothesis} \\ &= 2^{k+1}(k+1)k! \\ &= 2^{k+1}(k+1)! && \text{by definition of factorial} \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

5. Therefore by induction we have shown that $T(n) = 2^n n!$ for all $n \geq 0$. \square

- (c) Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be odd integers. Prove by induction that $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ is also an odd integer.

Solution:

Proof:

1. Let $P(n)$ be " $x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$ is an odd integer". We will prove by induction that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 1$.
2. Base Case: Since x_1 is an odd integer, $x_1x_2 \cdots x_1$ is odd. Therefore $P(1)$ is true.
3. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k$ is an odd integer for some arbitrary integer $k \geq 1$.
4. Inductive Step: Goal: Show $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k+1}$ is an odd integer
By the Inductive Hypothesis $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k$ is an odd integer so there is some integer ℓ such that $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k = 2\ell + 1$. Since x_{k+1} is an odd integer there is some integer m such that $x_{k+1} = 2m + 1$. Therefore
$$x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k+1} = x_1x_2 \cdots x_k \cdot x_{k+1} = (2\ell + 1)(2m + 1) = 4\ell m + 2\ell + 2m + 1 = 2(2\ell m + \ell + m) + 1.$$
Since $(2\ell m + \ell + m)$ is an integer, $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k+1}$ is an odd integer, which is what we wanted to prove.
5. Therefore by induction we have shown that $x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$ is an odd integer for all $n \geq 1$. \square

(d) Use mathematical induction to show that 3 divides $n^3 - n$ whenever n is a non-negative integer.

Solution:

Proof:

1. Let $P(n)$ be "3 divides $n^3 - n$ ". We will prove by induction that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 0$.
2. Base Case: $0^3 - 0 = 0 = 3 \cdot 0$ therefore 3 divides $0^3 - 0$ so $P(0)$ is true.
3. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that 3 divides $k^3 - k$ for some arbitrary integer $k \geq 0$.
4. Inductive Step: Goal: Show 3 divides $(k + 1)^3 - (k + 1)$
Since by the Inductive Hypothesis 3 divides $k^3 - k$, there is some integer ℓ such that $k^3 - k = 3\ell$.
Now

$$\begin{aligned}(k + 1)^3 - (k + 1) &= k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k + 1 - (k + 1) \\ &= k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k - k \\ &= 3\ell + 3k^2 + 3k \\ &= 3(\ell + k^2 + k)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell + k^2 + k$ is an integer, we have shown that 3 divides $(k + 1)^3 - (k + 1)$ which is what we wanted to prove.

5. Therefore by induction we have shown that 3 divides $n^3 - n$ for all $n \geq 0$. \square

Euclidean Algorithm

(a) Use Euclid's algorithm to help you solve $11x \equiv 4 \pmod{27}$ for x .

Solution:

We run Euclid's algorithm to compute $\gcd(27, 11)$.

$$\begin{aligned}27 &= 2 \cdot 11 + 5 \\ 11 &= 2 \cdot 5 + 1 \\ 5 &= 5 \cdot 1 + 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $1 = 11 - 2 \cdot 5 = 11 - 2(27 - 2 \cdot 11) = (-2) \cdot 27 + 5 \cdot 11$. Therefore 5 is the multiplicative inverse of 11 modulo 27. It follows that $x = 5 \cdot 4 = 20$ solves $11x \equiv 4 \pmod{27}$. (We can check that 27 times 8 is 216 and 11 times 20 is 220.)

- (b) Find the multiplicative inverse of 2 modulo 9 (in other words, find a solution to the equation $2x \pmod{9} = 1$.)

Solution:

We run Euclid's algorithm to compute $\gcd(9, 2)$ which is 1: The first step is $9 = 4 \cdot 2 + 1$ and of course we are done. Therefore $1 = 1 \cdot 9 - 4 \cdot 2$. The multiplicative inverse of 2 is then $(-4) \pmod{9} = 5$. This is so easy you could do it by trying all possibilities.

- (c) Which integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ have multiplicative inverses modulo 9?

Solution:

This is which integers x in have $\gcd(x, 9) = 1$ so it is: $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$.

Sets

Prove $(A \setminus B) \cap B = \emptyset$

Solution:

$(A \setminus B) \cap B = \{x : x \in A \setminus B \wedge x \in B\}$	[Definition of \cap]
$= \{x : (x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \wedge x \in B\}$	[Definition of \setminus]
$= \{x : x \in A \wedge F\}$	[Negation]
$= \{x : F\}$	[Domination]
$= \emptyset$	[Definition of \emptyset]