## CSE 311: Foundations of Computing

Lecture 27: Undecidability

## DEFINE DOESITHALT(PROGRAM): \{

Return True; \}

THE BIG PICTURE SOUTION
TO THE HALTING PROBLEM

## Final exam

- Monday at either 2:30-4:20 (A) or 4:30-6:20 (B)
- Johnson Hall 102
- Bring your UW ID and have it ready before the exam
- Comprehensive coverage. If you had a homework question on it, it is fair game. (Maybe small probs on other topics.)
- Includes pre-midterm topics, e.g. formal proofs. Will contain the same sheets at end.
- Review session: Sunday 2-4 pm (Gowen 301)
- Bring your questions !!


## Final exam problems

## 8 problems covering the following:

- Formal proofs
- Induction (ordinary, strong, structural)
- Language design: RE, DFA, NFA, CFG, Recursive Sets
- FSM algorithms: RE to NFA, NFA to DFA, state minimization
- Proving irregularity
- Small problems on other topics such as
- Modular arithmetic
- Relations
- Set theory
- Uncomputability


## Last time: Countable sets

A set $S$ is countable iff we can order the elements of $S$ as

$$
S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}
$$

Countable sets:
$\mathbb{N}$ - the natural numbers
$\mathbb{Z}$ - the integers
$\mathbb{Q}$ - the rationals
$\Sigma^{*}$ - the strings over any finite $\Sigma$
The set of all Java programs

## Last time: Not every set is countable

## Theorem [Cantor]:

The set of real numbers between 0 and 1 is not countable.

Proof using "diagonalization".

## Last time: Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:


So the list is incomplete, which is a contradiction.
Thus the real numbers between 0 and 1 are not countable: "uncountable"

## A note on this proof

- The set of rational numbers in $[0,1)$ also have decimal representations like this
- The only difference is that rational numbers always have repeating decimals in their expansions 0.33333... or .25000000...
- So why wouldn't the same proof show that this set of rational numbers is uncountable?
- Given any listing (even one that is good like the dovetailing listing) we could create the flipped diagonal number $d$ as before
- However, $d$ would not have a repeating decimal expansion and so wouldn't be a rational \#
It would not be a "missing" number, so no contradiction.


## Last time:

The set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ is uncountable
Supposed listing of all the functions:

| $f_{1}$ $f_{2}$ | 1 5 3 | 2 0 3 | 0 3 | 4 0 3 | Flipping rule:$\text { If } f_{n}(n)=5 \text {, set } D(n)=1,1 ~ I f ~ f_{n}(n) \neq 5 \text {, set } D(n)=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ | 1 | 4 | $2^{5}$ | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ | 1 | 4 | 1 | $5^{1}$ | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{f}_{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $2^{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{f}_{6}$ | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{f}_{7}$ | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 |  | ... |

For all $n$, we have $D(n) \neq f_{n}(n)$. Therefore $D \neq f_{n}$ for any $\boldsymbol{n}$ and the list is incomplete! $\Rightarrow\{\boldsymbol{f} \mid \boldsymbol{f}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}\}$ is not countable

## Last time: Uncomputable functions

We have seen that:

- The set of all (Java) programs is countable
- The set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ is not countable

So: There must be some function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ that is not computable by any program!

Interesting... maybe.
Can we come up with an explicit function that is uncomputable?

## A "Simple" Program

$\begin{array}{cc}\text { public static void collatz }(\mathrm{n}) & \text { \{ } \\ \text { if }(\mathrm{n}==1) \text { 1 } & 34\end{array}$
return 1;17
\} 52
if (n \% 2 == 0) \{
return collatz(n/2)
26
13
What does this program do?
... on $n=11$ ?
... on $n=10000000000000000001$ ?

## A "Simple" Program

```
public static void collatz(n) {
    if (n == 1) {
        return 1;
    }
    if (n % 2 == 0) {
        return collatz(n/2)
    }
    else {
        return collatz(3*n + 1) Nobody knows whether or not
    }
} this program halts on all inputs!
```

What does this program do?
... on $n=11$ ?
Trying to solve this has been called a "mathematical disease".
... on $n=10000000000000000001$ ?

## Recall our language picture



## Some Notation

## We're going to be talking about Java code.

$\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P})$ will mean "the code of the program P "
So, consider the following function:

```
public String P(String x) {
    return new String(Arrays.sort(x.toCharArray());
}
```

What is $\mathrm{P}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P}))$ ?
"(((())))..;AACPSSaaabceeggghiiiilnnnnnooprrrrrrrrrrrrssstttttuuwxxyy\{\}"

## The Halting Problem

## $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P})$ means "the code of the program P "

## The Halting Problem <br> Given: - CODE( $\mathbf{P}$ ) for any program $\mathbf{P}$ <br> - input $\mathbf{x}$ <br> Output: true if $\mathbf{P}$ halts on input $\mathbf{x}$ false if $\mathbf{P}$ does not halt on input $\mathbf{x}$

## Undecidability of the Halting Problem

$\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P})$ means "the code of the program P "

> The Halting Problem Given: - $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathbf{P})$ for any program $\mathbf{P}$ $\quad$ - input $\mathbf{x}$ Output: true if $\mathbf{P}$ halts on input $\mathbf{x}$ false if $\mathbf{P}$ does not halt on input $\mathbf{x}$.

Theorem [Turing]: There is no program that solves the Halting Problem

## Proof by contradiction

- Suppose that H is a Java program that solves the Halting problem. Then we can write this program:

```
public static void D(String s) {
    if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
    }
    else {
        return; /* halt */
    }
}
public static bool H(String s, String x) { ... }
```

- Does D (CODE (D) ) halt?


## Does D(CODE(D)) halt?

```
public static void D(s) {
    if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
        }
        else {
        return; /* halt */
    }
}
```


## Does $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ halt?

```
public static void D(s) {
        if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
        }
        else {
        return; /* halt */
    }
}
```

H solves the halting problem implies that
$H(C O D E(D), s)$ is true iff $D(s)$ halts, $H(C O D E(D), s)$ is false iff not

## Does D(CODE (D) ) halt?

public static void D(s) {
public static void D(s) {
if (H(s,s) == true) {
if (H(s,s) == true) {
while (true); /* don't halt */
while (true); /* don't halt */
}
}
else {
else {
return; /* halt */
return; /* halt */
}
}
}
}

H solves the halting problem implies that
$H(C O D E(D), s)$ is true iff $D(s)$ halts, $H(C O D E(D), s)$ is false iff not
Suppose that D (CODE ( D ) ) halts.
Then, by definition of H it must be that $H(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}), \operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ is true
Which by the definition of $D$ means $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) doesn't halt

## Does D(CODE (D) ) halt?

```
public static void D(s) {
    if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
    }
    else {
        return; /* halt */
    }
}
```

H solves the halting problem implies that
$H(C O D E(D), s)$ is true iff $D(s)$ halts, $H(C O D E(D), s)$ is false iff not
Suppose that D ( $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) halts.
Then, by definition of H it must be that $H(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}), \operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ is true
Which by the definition of D means $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) doesn't halt
Suppose that D(CODE (D) ) doesn't halt.
Then, by definition of H it must be that $H(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}), \operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ is false
Which by the definition of $D$ means $D(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) halts

## Does D(CODE (D) ) halt?

```
public static void D(s) {
    if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
    }
    else {
        return; /* halt */
    }
}
```

H solves the halting problem implies that $\mathrm{H}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}), \mathrm{s})$ is true iff $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{s})$ halts, $\mathrm{H}($ CODE

Suppose that $\operatorname{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) halts.
Then, by definition of H it mud

Suppose th $N^{2} Y$ ass that assumpesn't halt.
 Whi evy the definition of $D$ means $D(\operatorname{CODE}(D))$ halts

## Done

- We proved that there is no computer program that can solve the Halting Problem.
- There was nothing special about Java*
[Church-Turing thesis]

- This tells us that there is no compiler that can check our programs and guarantee to find any infinite loops they might have.


## Where did the idea for creating D come from?

```
public static void D(s) {
    if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
    }
    else {
        return; /* halt */
    }
}
```

D halts on input code $(P)$ iff $H(\operatorname{code}(P), \operatorname{code}(P))$ outputs false iff $P$ doesn't halt on input code( $P$ )

Therefore for any program $P$, $D$ differs from $P$ on input code( $P$ )

## Connection to diagonalization

## Write <P> for CODE(P)

$$
\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{3}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{4}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{5}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{6}\right\rangle \ldots
$$

# This listing of all programs really does exist since the set of all Java programs is countable 

The goal of this "diagonal" argument is not to show that the listing is incomplete but rather to show that a "flipped" diagonal element is not in the listing

## Connection to diagonalization <br> Write < P> for CODE(P)



## Connection to diagonalization



## The Halting Problem isn't the only hard problem

- Can use the fact that the Halting Problem is undecidable to show that other problems are undecidable

General method:
Prove that if there were a program deciding $B$ then there would be a way to build a program deciding the Halting Problem.
" B decidable $\rightarrow$ Halting Problem decidable"
Contrapositive:
"Halting Problem undecidable $\rightarrow B$ undecidable"
Therefore $B$ is undecidable

## A CSE 141 assignment

Students should write a Java program that:

- Prints "Hello" to the console
- Eventually exits

Gradelt, Practicelt, etc. need to grade the students.

How do we write that grading program?
WE CAN'T: THIS IS IMPOSSIBLE!

## A related undecidable problem

- HelloWorldTesting Problem:
- Input: $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $x$
- Output:

True if $\mathbf{Q}$ outputs "HELLO WORLD" on input $\mathbf{x}$
False if $\mathbf{Q}$ does not output "HELLO WORLD" on input $\mathbf{x}$

- Theorem: The HelloWorldTesting Problem is undecidable.
- Proof idea: Show that if there is a program $T$ to decide HelloWorldTesting then there is a program H to decide the Halting Problem for code $(P)$ and $x$.


## A related undecidable problem

- Suppose there is a program $T$ that solves the HelloWorldTesting problem. Define program H that takes input $\operatorname{CODE}(P)$ and $x$ and does the following:
- Creates $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{Q})$ from $\operatorname{CODE}(P)$ by
(1) removing all output statements from $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P})$, and
(2) adding a System.out.printIn("HELLO WORLD") immediately before any spot where $P$ could halt
Then runs $T$ on input $\operatorname{CODE}(Q)$ and $x$.


## A related undecidable problem

public class Q \{ public static void main(String[] args) \{

PrintStream out = System.out;
System.setOut(new PrintStreamC new WriterOutputStream(new StringWriter()));

P(args);
out.println("HELLO WORLD");

$$
\}
$$

\}
public class P \{
public static void main(String[] args) \{ ... \}
\}

## A related undecidable problem

- Suppose there is a program $T$ that solves the HelloWorldTesting problem. Define program H that takes input $\operatorname{CODE}(P)$ and $x$ and does the following:
- Creates $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{Q})$ from $\operatorname{CODE}(P)$ by
(1) removing all output statements from $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P})$, and
(2) adding a System.out.printIn("HELLO WORLD") immediately before any spot where $P$ could halt
Then runs $T$ on input $\operatorname{CODE}(Q)$ and $x$.
- If $P$ halts on input $x$ then $Q$ prints HELLO WORLD and halts and so $H$ outputs true (because $T$ outputs true on input $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{Q})$ )
- If $P$ doesn't halt on input $x$ then $\mathbf{Q}$ won't print anything since we removed any other print statement from $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{Q})$ so H outputs false
We know that such an H cannot exist. Therefore T cannot exist.


## The HaltsNoInput Problem

- Input: $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathbf{R})$ for program $\mathbf{R}$
- Output: True if $\mathbf{R}$ halts without reading input False otherwise.

Theorem: HaltsNoInput is undecidable

General idea "hard-coding the input":

- Show how to use $\operatorname{CODE}(P)$ and $x$ to build $\operatorname{CODE}(R)$ so $P$ halts on input $x \Leftrightarrow R$ halts without reading input


## The HaltsNoInput

public class R \{ private static String x = "...";
public static void main(String[] args) \{ System.setIn(new ReaderInputStream( new StringReader( x )));

```
    P(args);
    }
}
```

public class P \{ public static void main(String[] args) \{ ... \}
\}

## The HaltsNoInput Problem

## "Hard-coding the input":

- Show how to use $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P})$ and $x$ to build $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{R})$ so $\mathbf{P}$ halts on input $\mathbf{x} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ halts without reading input
- So if we have a program $\mathbf{N}$ to decide HaltsNoInput then we can use it as a subroutine as follows to decide the Halting Problem, which we know is impossible:
- On input $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathbf{P})$ and $\mathbf{x}$, produce $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathbf{R})$. Then run $\mathbf{N}$ on input $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathbf{Q})$ and output the answer that $\mathbf{N}$ gives.


## CSE 141 grading is impossible

- The impossibility of writing the CSE 141 grading program follows by combining the ideas from the undecidability of HaltsNoInput and HelloWorld.


## More Reductions

- Can use undecidability of these problems to show that other problems are undecidable.
- For instance:
$\operatorname{EQUIV}(P, Q): \quad$ True if $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ have the same behavior for every input $x$
False otherwise


## Rice's theorem

Not every problem on programs is undecidable!
Which of these is decidable?

- Input CODE (P) and $x$

Output: true if $P$ prints "ERROR" on input $x$ after less than 100 steps false otherwise

- Input CODE (P) and $x$

Output: true if $P$ prints "ERROR" on input $x$ after more than 100 steps false otherwise

Rice's Theorem (a.k.a. Compilers Suck Theorem - informal):
Any "non-trivial" property of the input-output behavior of Java programs is undecidable.

## CFGs are complicated

We know can answer almost any question about REs.

But many problems about CFGs are undecidable!

- Is there any string that two CFGs both accept?
- Do two CFGs accept the same language?
- Does a CFG accept every string?


## More Complexity Theory

Not just what can be computed at all...

How about what can be computed efficiently?

A rich, interesting, and important topic.
See CSE 431 for much more on that!

## Final exam

- Monday at either 2:30-4:20 (A) or 4:30-6:20 (B)
- Johnson Hall 102
- Bring your UW ID and have it ready before the exam
- Comprehensive coverage. If you had a homework question on it, it is fair game. (Maybe small probs on other topics.)
- Includes pre-midterm topics, e.g. formal proofs. Will contain the same sheets at end.
- Review session: Sunday 2-4 pm (Gowen 301)
- Bring your questions !!

