

CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

Section 3: Predicate Logic and Inference

1. Quantifier Switch

Consider the following pairs of sentences. For each pair, determine if they are always the same (equivalent), potentially different, or if one implies the other.

- (a) $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ $\forall y \forall x P(x, y)$
- (b) $\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\exists y \exists x P(x, y)$
- (c) $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\forall y \exists x P(x, y)$
- (d) $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$
- (e) $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$

2. Formal Proof I

Show that $\neg p \rightarrow s$ follows from $p \vee q$, $q \rightarrow r$ and $r \rightarrow s$.

3. Formal Proof II

Show that $\neg p$ follows from $\neg(\neg r \vee t)$, $\neg q \vee \neg s$ and $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$.

4. Formal Spoofs

For each of the following proofs, determine why the proof is incorrect. Then, consider whether the conclusion of the proof is true or not. If it is true, state how the proof could be fixed. If it is false, give a counterexample.

(a) Show that $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ follows from $p \rightarrow q$ and r .

- 1. $p \rightarrow q$ [Given]
- 2. r [Given]
- 3. $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ [\vee Intro: 1, 2]

(b) Show that q follows from $\neg p \vee q$ and p .

- 1. $\neg p \vee q$ [Given]
- 2. p [Given]
- 3. q [\vee Elim: 1, 2]

(c) Show that q follows from $q \vee p$ and $\neg p$.

- 1. $\neg p$ [Given]
- 2. $q \vee p$ [Given]
- 3. $q \vee F$ [Substitute $p = F$ since $\neg p$ holds: 1, 2]
- 4. q [Identity: 3]