

CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

Section : Inference Solutions

1. Formal Proof (Direct Proof Rule)

Show that $\neg p \rightarrow s$ follows from $p \vee q$, $q \rightarrow r$ and $r \rightarrow s$.

Solution:

- | | | |
|------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. | $p \vee q$ | [Given] |
| 2. | $q \rightarrow r$ | [Given] |
| 3. | $r \rightarrow s$ | [Given] |
| 4.1. | $\neg p$ | [Assumption] |
| 4.2. | q | [Elim of \vee : 1, 4.1] |
| 4.3. | r | [MP of 4.2, 2] |
| 4.4. | s | [MP 4.3, 3] |
| 4. | $\neg p \rightarrow s$ | [Direct Proof Rule] |

2. Formal Proof

Show that $\neg p$ follows from $\neg(\neg r \vee t)$, $\neg q \vee \neg s$ and $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$.

Solution:

- | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------------|
| 1. | $\neg(\neg r \vee t)$ | [Given] |
| 2. | $\neg q \vee \neg s$ | [Given] |
| 3. | $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$ | [Given] |
| 4. | $\neg\neg r \wedge \neg t$ | [DeMorgan's Law, 1] |
| 5. | $\neg\neg r$ | [Elim of \wedge : 4] |
| 6. | r | [Double Negation, 5] |
| 7. | $r \rightarrow s$ | [Elim of \wedge , 3] |
| 8. | s | [MP, 6,7] |
| 9. | $\neg q$ | [Elim of \vee , 2, 8] |
| 10. | $p \rightarrow q$ | [Elim of \wedge , 3] |
| 11. | $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ | [Contrapositive, 10] |
| 12. | $\neg p$ | [MP, 9,11] |

3. Formal Proofs in Predicate Logic

For this question only, write *formal proofs*.

- (a) Prove $\forall x (R(x) \wedge S(x))$ given $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x)))$, and $\forall x (P(x) \wedge R(x))$.

Solution:

1. Let x be arbitrary.
2. $\forall x (P(x) \wedge R(x))$ [Given]
3. $P(x) \wedge R(x)$ [Elim \forall : 2]
4. $P(x)$ [Elim \wedge : 3]
5. $R(x)$ [Elim \wedge : 3]
6. $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x)))$ [Given]
7. $P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x))$ [Elim \forall : 6]
8. $Q(x) \wedge S(x)$ [MP: 4, 7]
9. $S(x)$ [Elim \wedge : 8]
10. $R(x) \wedge S(x)$ [Intro \wedge : 5, 9]
11. $\forall x (R(x) \wedge S(x))$ [Intro \forall : 10]

(b) Prove $\exists x \neg R(x)$ given $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$, $\forall x (\neg Q(x) \vee S(x))$, $\forall x (R(x) \rightarrow \neg S(x))$, and $\exists x \neg P(x)$.

Solution:

1. $\exists x \neg P(x)$ [Given]
2. $\neg P(c)$ [Elim \exists : 1]
3. $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ [Given]
4. $P(c) \vee Q(c)$ [Elim \forall : 3]
5. $Q(c)$ [Elim \vee : 2, 4]
6. $\forall x (\neg Q(x) \vee S(x))$ [Given]
7. $\neg Q(c) \vee S(c)$ [Elim \forall : 6]
8. $S(c)$ [Elim \vee : 5, 7]
9. $\forall x (R(x) \rightarrow \neg S(x))$ [Given]
10. $R(c) \rightarrow \neg S(c)$ [Elim \forall : 9]
11. $\neg \neg S(c) \rightarrow \neg R(c)$ [Contrapositive: 10]
12. $S(c) \rightarrow \neg R(c)$ [Double Negation: 11]
13. $\neg R(c)$ [MP: 8, 12]
14. $\exists x \neg R(x)$ [Intro \exists : 13]

4. Odds and Ends

Prove that for every even integer, there exists an odd integer greater than that even integer.

Solution:

Let x be an arbitrary even integer. By the definition of even, we know $x = 2y$ for some corresponding integer y . Now, we define z to be the integer $2y + 1$, which is odd by the definition of odd. By algebra, $2y + 1 > 2y$ regardless of y , so we also know $z > x$. We've now shown that there exists some integer z which is both odd and greater than x . Since x was arbitrary, our conclusion applies to all even integers.