

CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

Section 7: Structural Induction and Regular Expressions

0. Structural Induction

(a) Consider the recursive definition of a tree:

$$\mathbf{Tree} = \mathbf{Nil} \mid \mathbf{Tree}(\mathbf{Integer}, \mathbf{Tree}, \mathbf{Tree})$$

And the definition of "size" on trees:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{size}(\mathbf{Nil}) &= 0 \\ \text{size}(\mathbf{Tree}(x, L, R)) &= 1 + \text{size}(L) + \text{size}(R) \end{aligned}$$

And the definition of "height" on trees:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{height}(\mathbf{Nil}) &= 0 \\ \text{height}(\mathbf{Tree}(x, L, R)) &= 1 + \max(\text{height}(L), \text{height}(R)) \end{aligned}$$

Prove that $\text{size}(T) \leq 2^{\text{height}(T)+1} - 1$ for all Trees T .

(b) In this problem, we will use the same definitions for Tree defined above. Now, consider the definition of "mirror" on trees:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mirror}(\mathbf{Nil}) &= \mathbf{Nil} \\ \text{mirror}(\mathbf{Tree}(x, L, R)) &= \mathbf{Tree}(x, \text{mirror}(R), \text{mirror}(L)) \end{aligned}$$

Prove that $\text{size}(T) = \text{size}(\text{mirror}(T))$ for all Trees T by structural induction.

1. Meta-mathematical

Consider the following, simplified, recursive definition of an arithmetic expression:

$$\mathbf{Expr} = \mathbf{Natural} \mid \mathbf{VarName}(\mathbf{String}) \mid \mathbf{Sum}(\mathbf{Expr}, \mathbf{Expr}) \mid \mathbf{Prod}(\mathbf{Expr}, \mathbf{Expr})$$

And the definition of "eval" on expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval}(x) &= x \\ \text{eval}(\mathbf{VarName}(s)) &= \text{eval}(\text{lookup}(s)) \\ \text{eval}(\mathbf{Sum}(L, R)) &= \text{eval}(L) + \text{eval}(R) \\ \text{eval}(\mathbf{Prod}(L, R)) &= \text{eval}(L) \times \text{eval}(R) \end{aligned}$$

Note that "lookup" is a function that returns an Expr corresponding to the given string (which represents a variable name). You may assume "lookup" will always return an Expr – that is, we assume all variables are defined. For simplicity, we omit the definition of this function.

Now, consider the definition of "replace" on expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{replace}(t, r, x) &= x \\ \text{replace}(t, r, \mathbf{VarName}(s)) &= \text{if } s = t \text{ then } r \text{ else } \mathbf{VarName}(s) \\ \text{replace}(t, r, \mathbf{Sum}(L, R)) &= \mathbf{Sum}(\text{replace}(t, r, L), \text{replace}(t, r, R)) \\ \text{replace}(t, r, \mathbf{Prod}(L, R)) &= \mathbf{Prod}(\text{replace}(t, r, L), \text{replace}(t, r, R)) \end{aligned}$$

Let a be an arbitrary string. Suppose $\text{eval}(\text{lookup}(a)) \geq 0$. Let $F = \mathbf{Sum}(\mathbf{VarName}(a), 1)$.

(a) Prove that $\text{eval}(\mathbf{VarName}(a)) \leq \text{eval}(F)$.

(b) Prove that for any arbitrary Expr E that $\text{eval}(E) \leq \text{eval}(\text{replace}(a, F, E))$.

2. Regular Expressions

- (a) Write a regular expression that matches base 10 numbers (e.g., there should be no leading zeroes).
- (b) Write a regular expression that matches all base-3 numbers that are divisible by 3.
- (c) Write a regular expression that matches all binary strings that contain the substring "111", but not the substring "000".
- (d) Write a regular expression that matches all binary strings that have at least two 0's.
- (e) Write a regular expression that matches all strings of DNA letters (A, C, G, T) which have letters in alphabetical order, but have at most 3 of the 4 letters (repeating of the same letter is allowed).
- (f) Write a regular expression that matches all strings of DNA letters (A, C, G, T) which contain (as a substring) a pair of consecutive G's followed by either an A or T followed by a pair of consecutive C's.