CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

Autumn 2015 Lecture 1: Propositional Logic





Overload Request Link: http://tinyurl.com/p5vs5xb

We will study the **theory** needed for CSE.

Logic:

How can we describe ideas and arguments **precisely**? Formal proofs:

Can we prove that we're right? Number theory:

How do we keep data **secure**? Relations/Relational Algebra:

How do we store information?

How do we reason about the effects of connectivity?

Finite state machines:

How do we design hardware and sof vare? [state!] Turing machines:

What is computation? Are there problems computers **can't** solve?

[to ourselves? to others?]

[really? we need to justify numbers?]

[the universe? superheroes?]

The computational perspective.

Example: Sudoku

Given *one*, solve by hand. Given *most*, solve with a program. Given *any*, solve with computer science.



[given one, by hand given most, with a program ... computer science]

- Tools for reasoning about difficult problems
- Tools for communicating ideas, methods, objectives
- Fundamental structures for computer science

[like, uhh, smart stuff]

professors

Prof. Oveis Gharan CSE 636



Section A MWF 9:30-10:20 in CMU 120 Office hours MW 10:30-11:30 Prof. Lee CSE 640



Section B MWF 1:30-2:20 in MCH 241 Office hours MW 2:30-3:30

We will each sometimes teach both sections. The person who teaches is the one holding office hours after class. You can go to any office hours any time.

administrivia

Teaching assistants:

[office hours TBD soon]Sam CastleJiechen ChenRebecca LeslieEvan McCartyTim OleskiwSpencer PetersRobert WeberIan Zhucse311-staff@cs

Quiz Sections: Thursdays No sections tomorrow!

(Optional) **Book**:

Rosen Discrete Mathematics 6th or 7th edition Can buy online for ~\$50

Homework:

Due Fridays on Gradescope

Write up individually First homework out this Friday (Oct 2)

Exams:

Midterm:Monday, Nov. 9, in classFinal:Monday, Dec. 14

Grading (roughly): 50% homework 35% final exam 15% midterm

All course information at http://www.cs.washington.edu/311

administrivia

CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

Autumn, 2015

James R. Lee

Section B: MWF 1:30-2:20, MGH 241 Office hours: MW 2:30-3:30, CSE 640

Shayan Oveis Gharan

Section A: MWF 9:30-10:20, CMU 120 Office hours: MW 10:30-11:30, CSE 636

Email and discussion:

Class email list: multi_cse3lla_aul5 [archives] Please send any e-mail about the course to cse3ll-staff@cs.

Discussion board (moderated by TBA)

Use this board to discuss the content of the course. That includes everything **except** the solutions to current homework problems. Feel free to discuss homeworks and exams from past incarnations of the course, and any confusion over topics discussed in class. It is also acceptable to ask for *clarifications* about the statement of homework problems, but not about their solutions.

Textbook:

There is no required text for the course. Some lectures will have associated reading material linked below. Over the first 6 weeks or so, the following textbook can be a useful companion: Rosen, *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*, McGraw-Hill.

(The 6th or 7th editions of the text are equally useful. Used or rental copies of either edition are available for vastly less than the ridiculously high new copy prices.)

Lectures

date	topic	slides	inked (A)	inked (B)	reading
30-Sep	Propositional logic				1.1-1.2 (7th), 1.1 (6th)
2-Oct	Digital circuits, more logic				1.1-1.3 (7th) 1.1-1.2 (6th)
5-Oct	Booelan algebra, combinatorial logic				12.1-12.3 (7th) 11.1-11.3 (6th)
7-Oct	Boolean algebra and circuits				12.1-12.3 (7th) 11.1-11.3 (6th)
9-Oct	Canonical forms, predicate logic				1.4-1.5 (7th) 1.3-1.4 (6th)
12-Oct	Predicate logic, logical inference				1.6-1.7 (7th) 1.5-1.7 (6th)
14-Oct	Proofs I				1.6-1.7 (7th) 1.5-1.7 (6th)
16-Oct	Proofs II				1.6-1.7 (7th) 1.5-1.7 (6th)
19-Oct	Set theory				2.1-2.3 (6th,7th)
21-Oct	Functions, modular arithmetic				4.1-4.2 (7th) 3.4-3.5 (6th)
23-Oct	Modular arithmetic and applications				4.1-4.3 (7th) 3.4-3.6 (6th)
26-Oct	Primes, GCD				4.3-4.4 (7th), 3.5-3.7 (6th)
28-Oct	Primes, GCD, fewer tangents				4.3-4.4 (7th), 3.5-3.7 (6th)
20.0-4	Coluin a madulas amotiona				4.4.53(50) 05.43

Section	Day/Time	Room
AA	Th, 830-920	MGH 242
AB	Th, 930-1020	MGH 234
AC	Th, 1030-1120	JHN 075
BA	Th, 1230-120	MGH 228
BB	Th, 130-220	MGH 242
BC	Th, 230-320	MEB 242

Homeworks [Grading guidelines]:

Assignments will be submitted via Gradescope. An

- Why not use English?
 - Turn right here!
 - Buffalo buffalo Buffalo buffalo buffalo Buffalo buffalo.

[The sentence means "Bison from Buffalo, that bison from Buffalo bully, themselves bully bison from Buffalo."]

- We saw her duck.
- "Language of Reasoning" like Java or English
 - Words, sentences, paragraphs, arguments...
 - Today is about words and sentences.

Logic as the "language of reasoning", will help us...

- Be more **precise**
- Be more **concise**
- Figure out what a statement means more **quickly**

[please stop]

A proposition is a statement that

- has a truth value, and
- is "well-formed"

["If I were to ask you out, would your answer to that question be the same as your answer to this one?"]

Consider these statements:

- 2 + 2 = 5
- The home page renders correctly in IE.
- This is the song that never ends.
- Turn in your homework on Wednesday.
- This statement is false.
- Akjsdf? [hey, I akjsdf you a question]
- The Washington State flag is red.
- Every positive even integer can be written as the sum of two primes.

- A proposition is a statement that
 - has a truth value, and
 - is "well-formed"
- Propositional variables: *p*, *q*, *r*, *s*, ...
- Truth values: **T** for true, **F** for false

a proposition

"Roger is an orange elephant who has toenails if he has tusks, and has toenails, tusks, or both."

- [might as well just end it all now, Roger]
- What does this proposition mean?
- It seems to be built out of other, more basic propositions that are sitting inside it! What are they?

a proposition

"Roger is an orange elephant who has toenails if he has tusks, and has toenails, tusks, or both."

- RElephant : "Roger is an orange elephant"
- RTusks : "Roger has tusks"
- RToenails : "Roger has toenails"

- Negation (not) $\neg p$
- Conjunction (and) $p \land q$
- Disjunction (or) $p \lor q$
- Exclusive or $p \oplus q$
- Implication $p \rightarrow q$
- Biconditional $p \leftrightarrow q$

RElephant : "Roger is an orange elephant" RTusks : "Roger has tusks" RToenails : "Roger has toenails"

"Roger is an orange elephant who has toenails if he has tusks, and has toenails, tusks, or both."

RElephant **and** (RToenails **if** RTusks) **and** (RToenails **or** RTusks **or** (RToenails **and** RTusks))

some truth tables

OR

"If *p*, then *q*" is a **promise**:

- Whenever *p* is true, then *q* is true
- Ask "has the promise been broken?"

If it's raining, then I have my umbrella. Suppose it's not raining...

"I am a Pokémon master only if I have collected all 151 Pokémon." Can we re-phrase this as "if *p*, then *q*"? P=1m a Polichon master 8 = I have -.. 151 Pokenon.

$p \rightarrow q$

Implication:

- p implies q
- whenever *p* is true *q* must be true
- if p then q
- *q* if *p*
- *p* is sufficient for *q*
- *p* only if *q*

converse, contrapositive, inverse

- Implication:
- Converse:
- Contrapositive:
- →• Inverse:

 $\begin{array}{c} p \to q \\ q \to p \\ \neg q \to \neg p \end{array}$

 $\neg p \longrightarrow \neg \neg q$

How do these relate to each other?

back to Roger

"Roger is an orange elephant who has toenails if he has tusks, and has toenails, tusks, or both."

RElephant A (RToenails if RTusks) A (RToenails V RTusks V (RToenails A RTusks))

 $P_{n}(g \rightarrow r) \wedge (r \vee g \vee (r \wedge g))$

Define shorthand ...

- *p*: RElephant
- q: RTusks
- r : RToenails

roger's sentence with a truth table

p	q	r	q ightarrow r	$p \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$	$r \lor q$	$r \wedge q$	$(r \lor q) \lor (r \land q)$	$p \land (q \rightarrow r) \land (r \lor q \lor (r \land q))$

Shorthand:

- *p*:RElephant
- q : RTusks
- r : RToenails

A fruit is an apple only if it is either red or green and a fruit is not red and green.

- *p* : "Fruit is an apple"
- q: "Fruit is red"
- *r* : "Fruit is green"

A fruit is an apple only if it is either red or green and a fruit is not red and green.

(FApple only if (FGreen xor FRed)) and (not (FGreen and FRed))

 $(FApple \rightarrow (FGreen \oplus FRed)) \land (\neg (FGreen \land Fred))$

p: FApple *q*: FGreen

Fruit Sentence with a truth table

p	q	r	$q \oplus r$	$p \rightarrow (q \oplus \mathbf{r})$	$q \wedge r$	$\neg(q \land r)$	$(p \rightarrow (q \oplus \mathbf{r})) \land (\neg (q \land r))$
Т	Т	Т					
Т	Т	F					
Т	F	Т					
Т	F	F					
F	Т	Т					
F	Т	F					
F	F	Т					
F	F	F					

biconditional: $p \leftrightarrow q$

- *p* iff *q*
- *p* is equivalent to *q*
- *p* implies *q* and *q* implies *p*

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$