

Foundations of Computing I

Fall 2014

I will go over many more notes on Wednesday...but I want to cover two today:

A consistent theme: "worried about exams" The exam will be easier than the HW. It will consist of "QuickCheck-like" questions.

"More practice plx?"

Section handouts are wayyy longer than you can do. I'm happy to generate more questions at office hours.

For Intro **∃**...

Your "c" has to be new (e. g. cannot be used previously in the proof) You should say what variables your "c" depends on.

The order you use Elim ∃ and Elim ∀ in **DOES** matter!

Reminder: $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$ IS DIFFERENT FROM $\forall y \exists x P(x,y)$

If we assume $\neg q$ and derive $\neg p$, then we have proven $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$, which is the same as $p \rightarrow q$.



If we assume p and derive F (a contradiction), then we have proven $\neg p$.



Even(x) $\equiv \exists y (x=2y)$ Odd(x) $\equiv \exists y (x=2y+1)$ Domain: Integers

Prove: "No integer is both even and odd." English proof: $\neg \exists x (Even(x) \land Odd(x))$ $\equiv \forall x \neg (Even(x) \land Odd(x))$

We go by contradiction. Let x be any integer and suppose that it is both even and odd. Then x=2k for some integer k and x=2m+1 for some integer m. Therefore 2k=2m+1 and hence k=m+1/2.

But two integers cannot differ by ½ so this is a contradiction. So, no integer is both even and odd.

 A real number x is *rational* iff there exist integers p and q with q≠0 such that x=p/q.

Rational(x) = $\exists p \exists q ((x=p/q) \land Integer(p) \land Integer(q) \land q \neq 0)$

• Prove: If x and y are rational then xy is rational $\forall x \forall y ((Rational(x) \land Rational(y)) \rightarrow Rational(xy))$

Rationality

Rational(x) = $\exists p \exists q ((x=p/q) \land Integer(p) \land Integer(q) \land q \neq 0)$ Domain: Reals

Prove: "If x and y are rational then xy is rational."

Let x and y be rational numbers. Then, x = a/b for some integers a, b, where $b\neq 0$, and y = c/d for some integers c,d, where $d\neq 0$.

Note that xy = (ac)/(bd).

Since b and d are both non-zero, so is bd; furthermore, ac and bd are integers. It follows that xy is rational, by definition of rational.

- Formal proofs follow simple well-defined rules and should be easy to check
 - In the same way that code should be easy to execute
- English proofs correspond to those rules but are designed to be easier for humans to read
 - Easily checkable in principle
- Simple proof strategies already do a lot
 - Later we will cover a specific strategy that applies to loops and recursion (mathematical induction)

CSE 311: Foundations of Computing

Fall 2014 Lecture 9: Set Theory



N is the set of Natural Numbers; N = {0, 1, 2, ...} Z is the set of Integers; Z = {..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ...} Q is the set of Rational Numbers; e.g. ½, -17, 32/48 R is the set of Real Numbers; e.g. 1, -17, 32/48, π [n] is the set {1, 2, ..., n} when n is a natural number {} = Ø is the empty set; the *only* set with no elements

EXAMPLES
Are these sets?
$A = \{1, 1\}$
B = {1, 3, 2}
$C = \{ \Box, 1 \}$
D = {{}, 17}
E = {1, 2, 7, cat, dog, \emptyset , α}

We say $2 \in E$; $3 \notin E$.

They're all sets. Note $\{1\} = \{1, 1\}$.

Sets are un-typed. Sets can contain other sets.

• A and B are equal if they have the same elements

$$A = B = \forall x (x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in B)$$

• A is a subset of B if every element of A is also in B

$$A \subseteq B \equiv \forall x (x \in A \rightarrow x \in B)$$

QUESTIONS $\varnothing \subseteq A$? Yes. In fact, $\varnothing \subseteq X$ for any set X. $A \subseteq B$? No. $3 \in A$, but that's not true for B. $C \subseteq B$? Yes, $3 \in B, 4 \in B$.

• A and B are equal if they have the same elements

$$A = B = \forall x (x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in B)$$

• A is a subset of B if every element of A is also in B

$$A \subseteq B \equiv \forall x (x \in A \rightarrow x \in B)$$

• Note:
$$(A = B) \equiv (A \subseteq B) \land (B \subseteq A)$$

Building Sets from Predicates

- The following says "S is the set of all x's where P(x) is true.
 S = {x : P(x)}
- The following says "those elements of S for which P(x) is true." S = {x $\in A : P(x)$ }
- "All the real numbers less than one" $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 1\}$
- "All the powers of two that happen to be odd."

- { $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}$: $\exists k (x = 2k+1) \land \exists j (x = 2^j)$ }

$$A \cup B = \{ x : (x \in A) \lor (x \in B) \}$$
 Union
$$A \cap B = \{ x : (x \in A) \land (x \in B) \}$$
 Intersection

$$A \setminus B = \{ x : (x \in A) \land (x \notin B) \}$$
 Set Difference

 $\underline{OUESTIONS}$ Using A, B, C and set operations, make... $[6] = A \cup B = A \cup B \cup C$ $[3] = C \setminus B = A \setminus B = A \cap B$ $[1,2] = A \setminus C = (A \cup B) \setminus C$

$$A \oplus B = \{ x : (x \in A) \oplus (x \in B) \}$$

Symmetric Difference

$$\overline{A} = \{ x : x \notin A \}$$

(with respect to universe U)

Complement

QUESTIONS

Let $S = \{1, 2\}$. If the universe is A, then \overline{S} is... $A \setminus S = \{3\}$ If the universe is B, then \overline{S} is... $B \setminus S = \{4, 6\}$ If the universe is C, then \overline{S} is... $C \setminus S = \{3, 4\}$ • Power Set of a set A = set of all subsets of A

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \{ B : B \subseteq A \}$$

• Let Days = {M, W, F}. Suppose we wanted to know the possible ways that we could allocate class days to be cancelled. Let's call this set $\mathcal{P}(\text{Days})$.

e.g.
$$\mathcal{P}(Days) = \{$$

 $\emptyset,$
 $\{M\}, \{W\}, \{F\},$
 $\{M, W\}, \{W, F\}, \{M, F\},$
 $\{M, W, F\}$
 $\{M, W, F\}$
 $\{M, W, F\}$

$$A \times B = \{ (a,b) : a \in A, b \in B \}$$

R x **R** is the real plane. You've seen ordered pairs before.

These are just for arbitrary sets.

Z x Z is "the set of all pairs of integers"

If A = {1, 2}, B = {a, b, c}, then A \times B = {(1,a), (1,b), (1,c), (2,a), (2,b), (2,c)}.

 $\mathbf{A} \times \emptyset = \{(a, b) : a \in A \land b \in \emptyset\} = \{(a, b) : a \in A \land F\} = \emptyset$

$$S = \{ x : x \notin x \}$$

Suppose for contradiction that $S \in S$. Then, by definition of S, S \notin S, but that's a contradiction.

Suppose for contradiction that $S \notin S$. Then, by definition of the set comprehension, $S \in S$, but that's a contradiction.

This is reminiscent of the truth value of the statement "This statement is false."