## CSE 311 Foundations of Computing I

## Spring 2013 <br> Lecture 2

More Propositional Logic
Application: Circuits
Propositional Equivalence

## Administrative

- Course web: http://www.cs.washington.edu/311
- Check it often: homework, lecture slides
- Office Hours: 9 hours; check the web
- Homework:
- Paper turn-in (stapled) handed in at the start of class on due date (Wednesday); no online turn in.
- Individual. OK to discuss with a couple of others but nothing recorded from discussion and write-up done much later
- Homework 1 available (on web), due April 10


## Administrative

- Coursework and grading
- Weekly written homework ~ $50 \%$
- Midterm (May 10) ~ 15\%
- Final (June 10) ~35\%
- A note about Extra Credit problems
- Not required to get a 4.0
- Recorded separately and grades calculated entirely without it
- Fact that others do them can't lower your score
- In total may raise grade by 0.1 (occasionally 0.2 )
- Each problem ends up worth less than required ones


## Recall...Connectives

| $p$ | $\neg p$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| T | F |
| F | T |

NOT

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \vee q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

OR

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \wedge q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |

AND

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \oplus q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

XOR

$$
p \rightarrow q
$$

- Implication

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \rightarrow q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| T | T | T |

- $p$ implies $q$
- whenever $p$ is true $q$ must be true
- if $p$ then $q$
- $q$ if $p$
$-p$ is sufficient for $q$
- $p$ only if $q$


## "If you behave then I' ll buy you ice cream"

What if you don' t behave?

## Converse, Contrapositive, Inverse

- Implication: $p \rightarrow q$
- Converse: $q \rightarrow p$
- Contrapositive: $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- Inverse: $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
- Are these the same?


## Biconditional $p \leftrightarrow q$

- $p$ iff $q$
- $p$ is equivalent to $q$
- $p$ implies $q$ and $q$ implies $p$

| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | F | T |
| F | T | F |
| T | F | F |
| T | T | T |

## English and Logic

- You cannot ride the roller coaster if you are under 4 feet tall unless you are older than 16 years old
$-q$ : you can ride the roller coaster
- $r$ : you are under 4 feet tall
- $s$ : you are older than 16


## Digital Circuits

- Computing with logic
- T corresponds to 1 or "high" voltage
- F corresponds to 0 or "low" voltage
- Gates
- Take inputs and produce outputs = Functions
- Several kinds of gates
- Correspond to propositional connectives
- Only symmetric ones (order of inputs irrelevant)


## Gates

## $(r \wedge \neg s) \rightarrow \neg q$

AND connective $p \wedge q$

| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |

AND gate

| $p$ | $q$ | out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |



"block looks like D of AND"

## Gates


"arrowhead block looks like V"

## Gates

## NOT connective $\neg p$

> NOT gate (inverter)

| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\neg \boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | F |
| F | $\mathbf{T}$ |


| $p$ | out |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 |

Bubble most important for this diagram

## Combinational Logic Circuits



Values get sent along wires connecting gates

## Combinational Logic Circuits



Wires can send one value to multiple gates

## Logical equivalence

- Terminology: A compound proposition is a
- Tautology if it is always true
- Contradiction if it is always false
- Contingency if it can be either true or false
$p \vee \neg p$
$p \oplus p$
$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p$
$(p \wedge q) \vee(p \wedge \neg q) \vee(\neg p \wedge q) \vee(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$


## Logical Equivalence

- $p$ and $q$ are logically equivalent iff
$p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology
- i.e. $p$ and $q$ have the same truth table
- The notation $p \equiv q$ denotes $p$ and $q$ are logically equivalent
- Example: $p \equiv \neg \neg p$



## De Morgan’ s Laws

Example: $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv(\neg p \vee \neg q)$

| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}$ | $\neg \boldsymbol{p}$ | $\neg \boldsymbol{q}$ | $\neg \boldsymbol{p} \vee \neg \boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q}$ | $\neg(\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q})$ | $\neg(\boldsymbol{p} \wedge \boldsymbol{q}) \leftrightarrow(\neg \boldsymbol{p} \vee \neg \boldsymbol{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## De Morgan’ s Laws

$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$
$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$
What are the negations of:

- The Yankees and the Phillies will play in the World Series
- It will rain today or it will snow on New Year's Day


## Law of Implication

Example: $(p \rightarrow q) \equiv(\neg p \vee q)$


## Computing equivalence

- Describe an algorithm for computing if two logical expressions/circuits are equivalent
- What is the run time of the algorithm?


## Understanding connectives

- Reflect basic rules of reasoning and logic
- Allow manipulation of logical formulas
- Simplification
- Testing for equivalence
- Applications
- Query optimization
- Search optimization and caching
- Artificial Intelligence
- Program verification


## Properties of logical connectives

- Identity
- Domination
- Idempotent
- Commutative
- Associative
- Distributive
- Absorption
- Negation


## Equivalences relating to implication

- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- $p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$
- $p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$
- $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow p)$
- $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$
- $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv(p \wedge q) \vee(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
- $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$


## Logical Proofs

Show $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow(p \vee q)$ is a tautology

- To show $P$ is equivalent to $Q$
- Apply a series of logical equivalences to subexpressions to convert $P$ to $Q$
- To show P is a tautology
- Apply a series of logical equivalences to subexpressions to convert $P$ to $\mathbf{T}$

Show $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$ and $p \rightarrow(q \rightarrow r)$ are not equivalent

