CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I Assignment #7 November 13, 2013 **Due: November 20, 2013** 

## Problems

- Construct context-free grammars that generate the following sets of strings. For each of your constructions, write a sentence or two to explain why your construction is correct. If you use more than one variable, as documentation explain what sets of strings you expect each variable to generate.
  - a. The set of all binary strings that contain at least two 0's and at most two 1's.
  - b. The set of all binary strings that are of odd length and have 1 as their middle character.
- 2. If  $a \in \Sigma$  is a symbol then the string  $a^n$  for  $n \ge 0$  is the string consisting of n copies of a, one after the other. Construct context-free grammars that generate the following sets of strings. For each of your constructions, write a sentence or two to explain why it's correct. If you use more than one variable, as documentation explain what sets of strings you expect each variable to generate.
  - a.  $\{1^m 0^n 1^{m+n} : m, n \ge 0\}$
  - b.  $\{1^m 0^n 1^p : m, n, p \ge 0 \text{ and } m \ge n \text{ or } n \le p\}.$
- 3. Define a grammar by  $S \rightarrow SS \mid 0S11 \mid 110S \mid \lambda$ . Use structural induction to prove that every string generated by S has twice as many 1's as 0's.
- 4. A relation R is called *circular* if  $(c, a) \in R$  whenever  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in R$ . Prove that any reflexive relation R is circular if and only if it is both symmetric and transitive.
- 5. Let *R* be the relation on pairs of positive real numbers  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$  given by  $((x, y), (u, v)) \in R$  if and only if xv = uy. Prove that *R* is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

- 6. A directed graph is called *acyclic* if it does not contain a directed cycle (a non-empty directed path from a vertex to itself). Show that for every directed acyclic graph *G*, the transitive-reflexive closure of the relation *R* represented by *G* is antisymmetric.
- 7. Is the transitive-reflexive closure of a relation R always equal to the transitive-reflexive closure of  $R^3$ ? Justify your answer.

## Extra credit:

Consider the following context-free grammar.

$\langle Stmt \rangle \rightarrow$	<pre>〈Assign〉   〈IfThen〉   〈IfThenElse〉   〈BeginEnd〉</pre>
$\langle$ If Then $\rangle \rightarrow$	if condition then <b>(Stmt)</b>
⟨IfThenElse⟩ →	if condition then <b>(Stmt)</b> else <b>(Stmt)</b>
$\langle BeginEnd \rangle \rightarrow$	begin ( <b>StmtList</b> ) end
$\langle StmtList \rangle \rightarrow$	〈StmtList〉 〈Stmt〉   〈Stmt〉
$\langle Assign \rangle \rightarrow$	a := 1

This is a natural-looking grammar for part of a programming language, but unfortunately the grammar is "ambiguous" in the sense that it can be parsed in different ways (that have different meanings).

- a. Show an example of a string in the language that has two different parse trees.
- b. Give a new grammar for the same language that is **unambiguous** in the sense that every string has a unique parse tree.