# CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I Sample midterm 

1. Prime factorization (4 points) Compute the prime factorization of 320 and 450 . Compute gcd(320, 450) and $\operatorname{lcm}(320,450)$, expressing your answer as a product of prime factors.
2. Sets (12 points) Let $A=\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq z \leq 8\}, B=\{z \in \mathbb{Z}|2| z\}$ and let $C$ be the set of primes. Fill in the table below with the set that each expression evaluates to:

| Expression | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A \cap B$ |  |
| $B \cap C$ |  |
| $A-(B \cup C)$ |  |

3. Primes and predicates (8 points) Translate the expression " $p$ is prime" into mathematical notation, i.e. using only $\forall, \exists, \mid, \mathbb{Z}$ and other mathematical symbols.

## 4. Propositional logic (20 points)

(a) Show that $\neg(p \oplus q) \equiv(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ using logical equivalences from the table at the back of the exam and the fact that $p \oplus q \equiv \neg(p \leftrightarrow q)$.
(b) Construct a truth table for $q \vee(p \rightarrow \neg q)$. Is this a tautology, contradiction or contingency? Briefly indicate why.

## 5. Predicate logic (20 points)

(a) Let $S(x)$ be the predicate " $x$ is a student", $R(y)$ the predicate " $y$ is a road in Seattle" and $V(x, y)$ the predicate " $x$ has visited road $y$." Express the following statements using $\forall, \exists, \neg$.
i. No student has visited all roads in Seattle.
ii. At least two roads were visited by all students.
iii. [0 points] There exist two roads such that I could not travel both and I took the one less traveled by.
(b) Express the negations of each of the following statements in a way such that $\neg$ does not precede a $\forall, \exists$ or $($.
i. $\exists x \forall y(P(x, y) \wedge \exists z \neg Q(x, z))$
ii. $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow \exists y(Q(x, y)))$.
6. Proof (10 points) If $A$ and $B$ are sets, then does $A-B=\emptyset$ imply that $A=B$ ? Prove, or give a counter-example.
7. Proof (10 points) Prove that $\forall a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}(4 \mid n \wedge n=a b) \rightarrow(2|a \vee 2| b)$.
8. Functions (18 points) In each row, $f$ is a function from $A \rightarrow B$. Mark $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ to indicate whether $f$ is surjective or injective. Briefly justify your answers.

| $A$ | $B$ | $f$ | surjective | injective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\{0,1,2\}$ | $f(x)=x \bmod 3$ |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$ | $f(x)=\|x-1\|$ |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $f(x, y)=3 x+7 y$ |  |  |

## TABLE 6 Logical Equivalences.

| Equivalence | Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| $p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ | Identity laws |
| $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$ |  |
| $p \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$ | Domination laws |
| $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $p \vee p \equiv p$ | Idempotent laws |
| $p \wedge p \equiv p$ |  |
| $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$ | Double negation law |
| $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ | Commutative laws |
| $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$ | Associative laws |
| $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee(q \vee r)$ |  |
| $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge(q \wedge r)$ | Distributive laws |
| $p \vee(q \wedge r) \equiv(p \vee q) \wedge(p \vee r)$ |  |
| $p \wedge(q \vee r) \equiv(p \wedge q) \vee(p \wedge r)$ | De Morgan's laws |
| $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ |  |
| $\neg \neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$ | Absorption laws |
| $p \vee(p \wedge q) \equiv p$ |  |
| $p \vee(p \vee q) \equiv p$ | Negation laws |
| $p \vee \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ |  |
| $p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$ |  |

## TABLE 7 Logical Equivalences

 Involving Conditional Statements.$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \\
& p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p \\
& p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q \\
& p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q) \\
& \neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q \\
& (p \rightarrow q) \wedge(p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow(q \wedge r) \\
& (p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \equiv(p \vee q) \rightarrow r \\
& (p \rightarrow q) \vee(p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow(q \vee r) \\
& (p \rightarrow r) \vee(q \rightarrow r) \equiv(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 8 Logical
Equivalences Involving Biconditionals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \leftrightarrow q \equiv(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow p) \\
& p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\
& p \leftrightarrow q \equiv(p \wedge q) \vee(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\
& \neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 1 Rules of Inference.

| Rule of Inference | Tautology | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\therefore \frac{p}{p \rightarrow q} ⿻ 日 \begin{gathered} p \end{gathered}$ | $[p \wedge(p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$ | Modus ponens |
| $\begin{gathered} \neg q \\ \therefore \neg p \end{gathered}$ | $[\neg q \wedge(p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow \neg p$ | Modus tollens |
| $\begin{array}{r} p \rightarrow q \\ \therefore \frac{q \rightarrow r}{p \rightarrow r} \end{array}$ | $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow(p \rightarrow r)$ | Hypothetical syllogism |
| $\begin{aligned} & p \vee q \\ & \therefore \neg p \\ & \therefore q \end{aligned}$ | $[(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p] \rightarrow q$ | Disjunctive syllogism |
| $\therefore \frac{p}{p \vee q}$ | $p \rightarrow(p \vee q)$ | Addition |
| $\therefore \frac{p \wedge q}{p}$ | $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ | Simplification |
| $\begin{gathered} p \\ \therefore \frac{q}{p \wedge q} \end{gathered}$ | $[(p) \wedge(q)] \rightarrow(p \wedge q)$ | Conjunction |
| $\begin{aligned} & p \vee q \\ \therefore & \frac{\neg p \vee r}{q \vee r} \end{aligned}$ | $[(p \vee q) \wedge(\neg p \vee r)] \rightarrow(q \vee r)$ | Resolution |

TABLE 2 Rules of Inference for Quantified Statements.

| Rule of Inference | Name |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\therefore \frac{\forall x P(x)}{P(c)}$ | Universal instantiation |
| $\therefore \frac{P(c) \text { for an arbitrary } c}{\forall x P(x)}$ | Universal generalization |
| $\therefore \frac{\exists x P(x)}{P(c) \text { for some element } c}$ | Existential instantiation |
| $\therefore \frac{P(c) \text { for some element } c}{\exists x P(x)}$ | Existential generalization |

