CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I Sample midterm

- 1. **Prime factorization** (4 points) Compute the prime factorization of 320 and 450. Compute gcd(320, 450) and lcm(320, 450), expressing your answer as a product of prime factors.
- 2. Sets (12 points) Let $A = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} | 1 \le z \le 8\}$, $B = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} | 2|z\}$ and let C be the set of primes. Fill in the table below with the set that each expression evaluates to:

Expression	Value
$A \cap B$	
$B\cap C$	
$A - (B \cup C)$	

3. **Primes and predicates** (8 points) Translate the expression "p is prime" into mathematical notation, i.e. using only ∀, ∃, |, Z and other mathematical symbols.

4. Propositional logic (20 points)

- (a) Show that $\neg(p \oplus q) \equiv (p \to q) \land (\neg p \to \neg q)$ using logical equivalences from the table at the back of the exam and the fact that $p \oplus q \equiv \neg(p \leftrightarrow q)$.
- (b) Construct a truth table for $q \lor (p \to \neg q)$. Is this a tautology, contradiction or contingency? Briefly indicate why.

5. Predicate logic (20 points)

- (a) Let S(x) be the predicate "x is a student", R(y) the predicate "y is a road in Seattle" and V(x, y) the predicate "x has visited road y." Express the following statements using \forall, \exists, \neg .
 - i. No student has visited all roads in Seattle.
 - ii. At least two roads were visited by all students.
 - iii. [0 points] There exist two roads such that I could not travel both and I took the one less traveled by.
- (b) Express the negations of each of the following statements in a way such that \neg does not precede a \forall, \exists or (.
 - i. $\exists x \forall y (P(x, y) \land \exists z \neg Q(x, z))$
 - ii. $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow \exists y(Q(x,y))).$
- 6. **Proof** (10 points) If A and B are sets, then does $A B = \emptyset$ imply that A = B? Prove, or give a counter-example.
- 7. **Proof** (10 points) Prove that $\forall a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z} (4|n \wedge n = ab) \rightarrow (2|a \vee 2|b)$.
- 8. Functions (18 points) In each row, f is a function from $A \to B$. Mark Y/N to indicate whether f is surjective or injective. Briefly justify your answers.

A	В	f	surjective	injective
\mathbb{Z}	$\{0,1,2\}$	$f(x) = x \bmod 3$		
\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}^+	f(x) = x - 1		
$\mathbb{Z} imes \mathbb{Z}$	\mathbb{Z}	f(x,y) = 3x + 7y		

Equivalence	Name	
$p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$	Identity laws	
$p \lor \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \land \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Domination laws	
$p \lor p \equiv p$ $p \land p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws	
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law	
$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$ $p \land q \equiv q \land p$	Commutative laws	
$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$ $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$	Associative laws	
$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$ $p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$	Distributive laws	
$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$ $\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$	De Morgan's laws	
$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$ $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws	
$p \lor \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \land \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Negation laws	

TABLE 7Logical EquivalencesInvolving Conditional Statements.
$p \to q \equiv \neg p \lor q$
$p \to q \equiv \neg q \to \neg p$
$p \lor q \equiv \neg p \to q$
$p \land q \equiv \neg (p \to \neg q)$
$\neg(p \to q) \equiv p \land \neg q$
$(p \to q) \land (p \to r) \equiv p \to (q \land r)$
$(p \to r) \land (q \to r) \equiv (p \lor q) \to r$
$(p \to q) \lor (p \to r) \equiv p \to (q \lor r)$
$(p \to r) \lor (q \to r) \equiv (p \land q) \to r$

TABLE 8 Logical
Equivalences Involving
Biconditionals. $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p)$ $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$ $\neg (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$

Rule of Inference	Tautology	Name
$\frac{p}{p \to q}$ $\therefore \frac{q}{q}$	$[p \land (p \to q)] \to q$	Modus ponens
$\frac{\neg q}{p \to q}$ $\therefore \frac{p \to q}{\neg p}$	$[\neg q \land (p \to q)] \to \neg p$	Modus tollens
$p \to q$ $\frac{q \to r}{r \to r}$	$[(p \to q) \land (q \to r)] \to (p \to r)$	Hypothetical syllogism
$p \lor q$ $\neg p$ $\therefore \overline{q}$	$[(p \lor q) \land \neg p] \to q$	Disjunctive syllogism
$\therefore \frac{p}{p \lor q}$	$p \to (p \lor q)$	Addition
$\frac{p \wedge q}{p}$	$(p \land q) \rightarrow p$	Simplification
$\frac{p}{q}$ $\therefore \frac{q}{p \wedge q}$	$[(p) \land (q)] \to (p \land q)$	Conjunction
$p \lor q$ $\neg p \lor r$ $\neg q \lor r$	$[(p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor r)] \to (q \lor r)$	Resolution

Rule of Inference	Name
$\therefore \frac{\forall x P(x)}{P(c)}$	Universal instantiation
$\therefore \frac{P(c) \text{ for an arbitrary } c}{\forall x P(x)}$	Universal generalization
$\therefore \frac{\exists x P(x)}{P(c) \text{ for some element } c}$	Existential instantiation
P(c) for some element c	Existential generalization