

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**CSE 303, Spring 2007, Midterm Examination**  
**30 April 2007**

**Please do not turn the page until everyone is ready.**

Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, except for one side of one 8.5x11in piece of paper.
- **Please stop promptly at 3:20.**
- You can rip apart the pages, but please staple them back together before you leave.
- There are **70 points** total, distributed **unevenly** among **7** questions.
- When writing code, style matters, but don't worry about indentation.

Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- **Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit.**
- The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. **Skip around.**
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. (9 points) For each of the following, give a regular expression suitable for `grep` (or `egrep`) that matches the lines described:
- (a) Lines containing two or more `q` characters
  - (b) Lines containing a word with two or more `q` characters (where a “word” is a consecutive sequence of English letters)
  - (c) Lines containing a `q` character that is *not* followed by a `u` character.

**Solution:**

- (a) `q.*q`
- (b) `q[a-zA-Z]*q` (full credit without capitals)
- (c) `(q[^\u])|(q$)` (only .5 points off for omitting the end-of-line possibility)

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

2. (5 points) Explain precisely what this command does:

```
sed 's/\([0-9]\)\.\([0-9]\)/\1,\2/g' foo.txt > bar.txt
```

**Solution:**

It make `bar.txt` be a copy of `foo.txt` except every period in `foo.txt` that is immediately preceded and immediately followed by a number is replaced with a comma.

(Full credit for the above answer, but...)

Actually, this is not quite right; for example, `0.1.2` will become `0,1.2` since the `1` is matched for the first replacement and the `g` does not help with that. This was not the point of the question, though. Note `0.11.2` will become `0,11,2` as expected.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

3. (13 points) Write a bash script that takes two filenames as arguments and deletes the file that has fewer words (deleting neither if they have the same number of words). You may *assume without checking* that the script is passed two arguments that are regular files and the filenames are sane (e.g., have no spaces in them). Hint: `wc`.

**Solution:**

```
#!/bin/bash

x='cat $1 | wc -w' # full credit for wc -w $1, but it does not quite work
y='cat $2 | wc -w' # full credit for wc -w $2, but it does not quite work
if [ $x -lt $y ]
then
    rm $1
fi
if [ $y -lt $x ]
then
    rm $2
fi
```

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

4. (12 points) Here are three similar program fragments, in Java, bash and C.

```
// Java
int[] arr = new int[3];
arr[0]=17;
arr[1]=17;
arr[2]=17;
for(int i=0; i < 5; ++i)
    System.out.println(Integer.toString(arr[i]));
```

```
// bash
arr[0]=17
arr[1]=17
arr[2]=17
i=0
while [ $i -lt 5 ]
do
    echo ${arr[$i]}
    (( i=$i+1 ))
done
```

```
// C
int arr[3] = {17,17,17};
int i=0;
for(; i < 5; ++i)
    printf("%d\n",arr[i]);
```

- (a) What does the Java code do when run?
- (b) What does the bash code do when run?
- (c) What does the C code do when run?

**Solution:**

- (a) It prints 17 three times and then throws an array-bounds exception.
- (b) It prints 17 three times and then two blank lines.
- (c) It prints 17 three times and then who knows; it might crash or more likely just prints whatever two “numbers” are adjacent to the array in memory.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

5. (8 points) For each C function below, explain why it has a memory-management error. Explain what would/could go wrong when running the code.

```
(a) void f(int * p) {  
    free(&p);  
}
```

```
(b) int * g(int sz) {  
    int * ans = (int*)malloc(sz*sizeof(int));  
    int ok = h(sz,ans); // h a helper function, assume: int h(int,int*);  
    if(ok)  
        return ans;  
    else  
        return g(sz*2); // recur with bigger size  
}
```

**Solution:**

- (a) The call to `free` is with the address of an argument, i.e., a pointer into the stack, but `free` must always be called with a pointer into the heap.
- (b) If `h` returns 0, this function has a space leak; the result of the `malloc` becomes unreachable and is never freed.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

6. (16 points) Consider these C declarations:

```
struct IntList {
    int value;
    struct IntList * next;
};
int * to_array(struct IntList * list);
```

Define the `to_array` function so that it returns a new heap-allocated array where the  $i^{\text{th}}$  element is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  element of the argument list:

- Assume the list ends with NULL. You may assume it has at least one element (though this is not that helpful).
- Do not deallocate any memory.
- Hint: Traverse the list twice. Sample solution 15 lines.

**Solution:**

```
#include <stdlib.h>
int * to_array(struct IntList * list) {
    struct IntList * ptr = list;
    int len = 0;
    while(ptr != NULL) {
        ++len;
        ptr=ptr->next;
    }
    int * ans = (int*)malloc(len*sizeof(int));
    int i;
    for(i=0; i<len; ++i) {
        ans[i]=list->value;
        list=list->next;
    }
    return ans;
}
```

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

7. (7 points)

Consider this Makefile:

```
all: myprog

foo.c: foo.c foo.h bar.h
    gcc -c foo.c

bar.c: bar.c foo.h bar.h
    gcc -c bar.c

myprog: foo.o bar.o
    gcc -o myprog foo.o bar.o

clean:
    rm myprog *.o
```

- (a) What is wrong with this Makefile?
- (b) Do *one* of the following, making clear *which* you are answering. For either, be clear about what files already exist, etc and assume **make** does *not* have any special knowledge about compiling C.
  - i. Describe a likely situation where this Makefile would lead **make** not to recompile something that needs recompiling.
  - ii. Describe a likely situation where this Makefile would lead **make** to report that it does not know about some target.

**Solution:**

- (a) The targets `foo.c` and `bar.c` should be `foo.o` and `bar.o`.
- (b) There are multiple possible answers for each. For example:
  - i. If `foo.o` and `bar.o` exist, `myprog` does not, and some relevant C code has changed, the Makefile will just relink, not recompile.
  - ii. If `foo.o` does not exist, running **make** will lead to it needing to be made, but there is no target for it.