CSE 303: Concepts and Tools for Software Development

Dan Grossman Winter 2007 Guest Lecture

Dan Grossman

CSE303 Winter 2007

Guest Lecture

Today

- Quickly finish up a couple bash programming features you need for homework 1.
- Today: Specifying string patterns for many utilities, particularly grep and sed.
 - Will use only grep (and egrep) today.
 - Only finding (vs. finding-and-replacing)

Bash command-line arguments

When you run a bash script, you can pass it arguments:

- Third argument in variable 3 (i.e., get it via \$3)
- \$0 holds the script name (from the caller's perspective)
- # is the total number of arguments

See arguments.sh for examples.

Bash tests

```
Can test arithmetic facts (e.g., "at least 3 arguments") and file-system facts (e.g., "is blah a directory")
```

Many different operators; look them up.

To get a zero or a one, put test in brackets *with spaces around them*. Typically used with a conditional command.

- [\$# -gt 0]
- [-f foo]

See example1.sh for examples.

See Pocket Guide pp. 168–171 (and, or, ...)

Globbing vs. Regular Expressions vs. ...

"Globbing" refers to filename expansion characters.

"Regular expressions" are a different but overlapping set of rules for specifying patterns to programs like grep. (Sometimes called "pattern matching".)

More distinctions:

- Regular expressions a la CSE322
- "Regular expressions" in grep
- "Regular expressions" in egrep (same as grep -E)
- More subtle distinctions per program...

Real Regular Expressions

Some of the crispest, elegant, most useful CS theory out there.

What computer scientists know and ill-educated hackers don't (to their detriment).

A regular expression p may "match" a string s. If p =

- a, b, ... matches the single character
- p_1p_2 , ... if we can write s as s_1s_2 , p_1 matches s_1 , p_2 matches s_2 .
- $p_1|p_2$, ... if p_1 matches s or p_2 matches s (in egrep, for grep use $\setminus |$)
- p_1* , if there is an $i \geq 0$ such that $p_1 \dots p_1$ matches s.

(for i = 0, matchines the zero-character string).

Lots of examples with egrep.

Why this language?

Amazing facts (see 322):

- Exactly the patterns that can be found by a program that can say *before* it sees its input how much space it needs. (Decide if a 1GB string has a substring that matches...)
- You can write a program that takes two regular expressions and decides if one matches every string the other does.
- ... see CSE322

Conveniences

Lots of "conveniences" do not make the language any more powerful:

- p_1+ is just p_1p_1*
- p_1 ? is just $(|p_1)$
- [zd-h] is just z | d | e | f | g | h
- [^A-Z] and . are long but technically just conveniences.

•
$$p_1\{n\}$$
 is just $\underbrace{p_1 \dots p_1}_n$
• $p_1\{n,\}$ is just $\underbrace{p_1 \dots p_1}_n p_1 *$
• $p_1\{n,m\}$ is just $\underbrace{p_1 \dots p_1}_n \underbrace{p_1? \dots p_1?}_m$

Beginning and end

Really grep is matching each line against .*p.*.

You can say that is not what you want with ^ (beginning) and \$ (end) or both (match whole line exactly).

I can't think of a good reason to put these characters in the middle of a pattern, but you can.

Fundamentally, we are still in the realm of "real" regular expressions.

Nasty gotchas

- Special characters for one program not special for another.
- For example, I found \setminus for grep but { for egrep.
- Must quote your patterns so the shell does not muck with them and use single quotes if they contain \$.
- Must escape special characters with \ if you need them literally:
 \. and . are very different.
 - But inside [] less quoting (so backslash becomes literal)!

Previous matches

- Up to 9 times in a pattern, you can group with (p) and refer to the matched text later! (Need backslashes in sed.)
- You can refer to the text (most recently) matched by the n^{th} one with n.
- Simple example: double-words ^\([a-zA-Z]*\)\1\$
- You *cannot* do this with regular expressions; the program must keep the previous strings.
 - Especially useful with sed because of *substitutions*.