

# CSE 303: Concepts and Tools for Software Development

Hal Perkins

Autumn 2007

Lecture 14— Makefiles continued; Breakpoint debugging & gdb

## Where are We

---

- Basics of make, particular the concepts (last lecture)
- Some fancier make features (revenge of funky characters)
- Start debuggers, particular gdb

Besides the slides and online Unix docs, the Stanford CSLib notes on Unix Programming Tools has a nice concise presentation of make and gdb:

- <http://cslibrary.stanford.edu/107/UnixProgrammingTools.pdf>

## Precise review

---

A Makefile has a bunch of these:

```
target: source1 ... sourcen
    shell_command
```

Running `make target` does this:

- For each source, if it is a target in the Makefile, process it recursively
- *Then:*
  - If some source does not exist, error.
  - If some source is newer than the target (or target does not exist), run `shell_command` (presumably updates target, but that is up to you).

## make variables

---

You can define variables in a Makefile. Example:

```
CC = gcc
```

```
CFLAGS = -Wall
```

```
foo.o: foo.c foo.h bar.h
```

```
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c foo.c -o foo.o
```

Why do this?

- Easy to change things once and affect many commands.
- Can change variables on the command-line (overrides definitions in file). (For example `make CFLAGS=-g.`)
- Easy to reuse most of a Makefile from one “homework” to the next.
- Can use conditionals to set variables (using inherited environment variables):

## make conditionals

---

EXE=

```
ifdef WINDIR # assume we are on a Windows machine
```

```
    EXE=.exe
```

```
endif
```

```
myprog$(EXE): foo.o bar.o
```

```
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -o myprog$(EXE) foo.o bar.o
```

Other forms of conditionals exist (e.g., are two strings equal)

## more variables

---

It's also common to use variables to hold list of filenames:

```
OBJFILES = foo.o bar.o baz.o
myprog: $(OBJFILES)
    gcc -o myprog $(MYOBJFILES)
clean:
    rm $(OBJFILES) myprog
```

`clean` is a convention: remove any generated files, to “start over” and have just the source.

It's “funny” because the target doesn't exist and there are no sources, but that's okay:

- If target doesn't exist, it must be “remade” so run the commands
- These “phony” targets have several uses, another is an “all” target:

## “all” example

---

```
all: prog B.class someLib.a # notice no commands this time
```

```
prog: foo.o bar.o main.o
```

```
    gcc -o prog foo.o bar.o main.o
```

```
B.class: B.java
```

```
    javac B.java
```

```
someLib.a: foo.o baz.o
```

```
    ar r foo.o baz.o
```

```
foo.o: foo.c foo.h header1.h header2.h
```

```
    gcc -c -Wall foo.c
```

... (similar targets for bar.o, main.o, baz.o) ...

## Revenge of funny characters

---

UNIX hackers just can't get enough of funny metacharacters can they?

In commands:

- `$@` for target
- `$$` for all sources
- `$$` for left-most source
- ...

Examples:

```
myprog$(EXE): foo.o bar.o
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -o $$ $$
```

```
foo.o: foo.c foo.h bar.h
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c $$
```



## More fancy stuff

---

- There are a lot of “built-in” rules. E.g., make just “knows” to create `foo.o` by calling `$(CC) $(CFLAGS)` on `foo.c`. (Opinion: more confusing than helpful. YMMV)
- There are “suffix” rules and “pattern” rules. Example:  

```
%.class: %.java
    javac $<    # Note we need $< here
```
- Remember you can put any shell command on the command-line, even whole scripts
- You can repeat target names to add more dependencies (useful with automatic dependency generation).

Often this stuff is more useful for reading makefiles than writing your own (until some day...)

## Dependency generation

---

So far, we are still listing dependencies manually, e.g.:

```
foo.o: foo.c foo.h bar.h
```

If you forget, say, `bar.h`, you can introduce subtle bugs in your program (or if you're *lucky*, get confusing errors).

This is not `make`'s problem: It has no understanding of different programming languages, commands, etc., just file-mod times.

But it does seem too error-prone and busy-work to have to remember to update dependencies, so there are often language-specific tools that do it for you...

# Dependency-generator example

---

`gcc -M`

- Actually lots of useful variants, including `-MM` and `-MG`. See `man gcc`
- Automatically creates a rule for you.
- Then `include` the resulting file in your Makefile.
- Typically run via a phony depend target, e.g.:

```
depend: $(PROGRAM_C_FILES)
    gcc -M $^
```

- The program `makedepend` combines many of these steps; again it is C-specific but some other languages have their own.

## Build-script summary

---

Always script complicated tasks.

Always automate “what needs rebuilding” via dependency analysis.

`make` is a text-based program with lots of bells and whistles for doing this. It is not language-specific. Use it.

With language-specific tools, you can automate dependency generation.

`make` files have this way of starting simple and ending up unreadable. It is worth keeping them clean.

There are conventions like `make all` and `make clean` common when distributing source code.

## An execution monitor?

---

What would you like to “see from” and “do to” a running program?

Why might all that be helpful?

What are reasonable ways to debug a program?

A “debugger” is a tool that lets you stop running programs, inspect (sometimes set) values, etc.

- A “CAT scan” for observing executing code

## Issues

---

- Source information for compiled code. (Get compiler help.)
- Stopping your program too late to find the problem. (Art.)
- Trying to “debug” the wrong algorithm.
- Trying to “run the debugger” instead of understanding the program.

It's an important tool.

Debugging C vs. Java

- Eliminating crashes does not make your C program correct.
- Debugging Java is “easier” because crashes and memory errors do not exist.
- But programming Java is “easier” for the same reason!

## gdb

---

gdb (Gnu debugger) is on attu and supports several languages, including C compiled by gcc.

Modern IDEs have fancy GUI interfaces, which help, but concepts are the same.

Compiling with debugging information: `gcc -g`

- Otherwise, gdb can tell you little more than the stack of function calls.

Running gdb: `gdb executable`

- Source files should be in same directory (or use the `-d` flag).

At prompt: `run args`

Note: You can also inspect core files, which is why they get saved. (Mostly useful for analyzing crashed programs after-the-fact, not for systematic debugging.)

## Basic functionality

---

- backtrace
- frame, up, down
- print *expression*, info args, info locals

Often enough for “crash debugging”

Also often enough for learning how “the compiler does things” (e.g., stack direction, malloc policy, ...)



# Breakpoints

---

- break *function* (or line-number or ...)
- conditional breakpoints (break XXX if expr)
  1. to skip a bunch of iterations
  2. to do assertion checking
- going forward: `continue`, `next`, `step`, `finish`
  - Some debuggers let you “go backwards” (typically an illusion)

Often enough for “binary search debugging”

Also useful for learning program structure (e.g., when is some function called)

*Why not skim the manual for other features.*

## Advice

---

Understand what the tool provides you.

Use it to accomplish a task, for example “I want to know the call-stack when I get the NULL-pointer dereference”

Optimize your time developing software.

- Think of debugging as a systematic experiment to discover what's wrong — not a way to randomly poke around.

Use development environments that have debuggers?

See also: `jdb` for Java (on `attu`)