CSE 303: Concepts and Tools for Software Development

Dan Grossman

Winter 2006

Lecture 16— Profilers, e.g., `gprof`

Profilers

A *profiler* monitors and reports (performance) information about a program execution.

They are useful for "debugging correct programs" by learning where programs consume most time and/or space.

"90/10 rule of programs" (and often worse for new programs) – a profiler helps you "find the 10".

But: The tool can be misused and misleading.

What profilers tell you

Different profilers profile different things.

`gprof`, a profiler for code produced by `gcc` is widely available and pretty typical:

- *Call counts:* # of times each function a calls each function b
  - And the simpler fact: # of times a was called
- *Time samples:* # of times the program was executing a when "the profiler woke up to check where the program was".

Neither is quite what you want (as we'll see later), but they're semi-easy and semi-quick to do:

- *Call counts:* Add code to every function call to update a table indexed by function pairs.
- *Time samples:* Use the processor's timer; wake up and see where the program is.

Using `gprof`

- Compile with `-pg` *on the right*.
  - When you create the `.o` (for call counts)
  - When you create the executable (for time samples)
- Run the program (creates (overwrites) `gmon.out`)
- Run `gprof` (on `gmon.out`) to get human-readable results.
- Read the results (takes a little getting used to).

Getting useful info

- The information depends on your inputs! (Always know what you're profiling)
- Statistical sampling requires a reasonable number of samples
  - Probably want at very least a few thousand
  - Can run a program over and over and use `gprof -s` (learn on your own; write a shell-script)
- Make sure performance matters
  - Is 10% faster worth uglier or buggier code?
  - Do you have better things to do (documentation, testing, ...)?

Performance tuning

- Never tune until you know the bottleneck (that's what `gprof` is for, but it doesn't tell you how to tune).
- Rarely overtune to some inputs at the expense of others.
- Always focus on the overall algorithm first.
- Think doubly-hard about making non-modular changes.
- Focus on low-level tricks only if you really need to (< 5 times in your career?)
- See if compiler flags (e.g., `-O`) are enough.

Note: Performance tuning a library is harder because you want to do well for "unknown programs and inputs".

Our example

- Different bottlenecks for large array-size and large max-number!!
  - If you knew max-number could never be more than 10, would you optimize `is_prime`?
- Optimal algorithm for `is_prime` is slower than for `find_largest`, but we did not write the optimal algorithms!
- After fixing time for `find_largest`, we still had a stack overflow.
- Changing the `is_prime` algorithm helped a lot.
- Little things (e.g., reordering tests and loops) generally "lost in the noise".
- Output affects wall-clock time.

Note: For more rigorous comparisons, we should not randomly seed the random-number generator.

Misleading Fact #1

Cumulative times are based on *call estimation*. They can be really, really wrong, but usually aren't.

```
int g = 0;
void c(int i) {
  if(i) return;
  for(; i < 100000000; ++i)
    ++g;
}
void a() { c(0); }
void b() { c(1); }
int main(int argc,char**argv) { a(); b(); return 0; }
```

Conclusion: You *must* understand what your profiler measures and what it presents to you. gprof doesn't lie (if you read the manual)

Misleading Fact #2

Sampling errors (for time samples) can be caused by too few samples, or by *periodic sampling*

```
void a() { /* takes 0.09 s */ }
void b() { /* takes 0.01 s */ }
int main(int argc,char**argv) {
  for(; i < 10000; ++i) {
     a();
     b();
  }
}
```

This probably doesn't happen much and better profilers can use *random intervals* to avoid it.

Related fact: Measurement code changes timing (an uncertainty principle).

Poor man's profiling

The `time` command is more useful because no measurement overhead, but less useful because you get only whole-program numbers.

- real: roughly "wall-clock"
- user: time spent running the code in the program
- system: time the O/S spent doing things on behalf of the program

Not precise for small numbers

Misleading Fact #3: `gprof` does not measure system time?

Effects on real time: Machine load, disk access, I/O

Effects on system time: I/O to screen, file, or `/dev/null`

Compiler Optimization

Compilers must:

- Trade "compile-time" for "code-quality"
- Make guesses about how code will be used.

You can affect the trade-off via "optimization flags" – definitely easier but less predictable than modifying your code.

`gcc` is not a great optimizer:

- For our initial example, it made a big improvement.
- For our final code, it caused a slowdown!
  - Unusual: probably making bad guesses about `is_prime`.
  - Most programs not limited by 10 lines of integer manipulations.

Bottom line: Remember to "turn optimizations on" if it matters.