CSE 303: Concepts and Tools for Software Development

Dan Grossman

Spring 2005

Lecture 21— Recompilation, build-scripting (make)

Where are We

We are working on tools and concepts for writing larger (multiperson, multifile, multiplatform, ...) programs.

Homework 6 will require you to use make (for compilation management) and cvs (for version control).

Class will be more about the concepts.

make has tons of fancy features, but only two basic ideas:

- 1. Scripts for executing commands
- 2. Dependencies for avoiding unnecessary work

Build scripting

Programmers spend a lot of time "building" (creating programs from source code)

- Programs they write
- Programs other people write

Programmers automate repetitive tasks. Trivial example:

```
gcc -Wall -g -o myprog foo.c bar.c baz.c
```

If you:

- Retype this every time: "shame, shame"
- Use up-arrow or history: "shame" (retype after logout)
- Have a csh script: "good-thinkin"
- Have a Makefile: you're ahead of us

"Real" build processes

On larger projects, you can't or don't want to have one big complicated call to the compiler (be it gcc, javac, etc.)

- 1. If gcc didn't combine steps behind your back, you could need to preprocess and compile each file, then call the linker.
- 2. If another program (e.g., sed) created some C files, you would need an "earlier" step.
- If you have other outputs for the same source files (e.g., javadoc), it's unpleasant to type the source files multiple times.
- 4. If you want to distribute source code to be built by other users.
- 5. If you have 10^5 to 10^7 lines of source code, you don't want to recompile them all every time you change something.

A simple script handles 1–4 (use a variable for the filenames for 3), but 5 is trickier.

Recompilation management

The "theory" behind avoiding unnecessary compilation is a "dependency dag":

- To create a target t, you need sources $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n$ and a command c (that directly or indirectly uses the sources)
- If *t* is *newer* than every source (file-modification times), assume there is no reason to rebuild it.
- Recursive building: If some source s_i is itself a target for some other sources, see if it needs to be rebuilt. Etc.
- Cycles "make no sense"

An algorithm

What would a program (e.g., a shell script) that did this for you look like? It would take:

- a bunch of triples: target, sources, command(s)
- a "current target to build"

It would compute what commands needed to be executed, in what order, and do it. (It would detect cycles and give an error.)

This is exactly what programs like make, ant, and things integrated into IDEs do!

For specificity (and what I use), we'll use make. A "simple utility for friends" that accidentally took over.

make basics

```
The "triples" are typed into a "makefile" like this:
target: sources
command
Example:
foo.o: foo.c foo.h bar.h
gcc -Wall -o foo.o -c foo.c
```

Syntax gotchas:

- The colon after the target is required.
- Command lines must start with a TAB NOT SPACES
- You can actually have multiple commands (executed in order); if one command spans lines you must end the previous line with \.
- Which shell-language interprets the commands? (Typically bash, to be sure set the SHELL variable in your makefile.)

Using make

At the prompt:

```
prompt% make -f nameOfMakefile aTarget
```

Defaults:

- If no -f specified, use a file named Makefile.
- If not target specified, use the first one in the file.

Together: I can download a tarball, extract it, type make (four characters) and everything should work.

Actually, there's typically a "configure" step too, for finding things like "where is the compiler" that *generates* the Makefile (but we won't get into that).

Basics Summary

So far, enough for homework 6 and basic use.

- A tool that combines scripting with dependency analysis to avoid unnecessary recompilation.
- Not language or tool-specific: just based on file-modification times and shell-commands.

But there's so much more you want to do so that your Makefiles are:

- Short and modular
- Easy to reuse (with different flags, platforms, etc.)
- Useful for many tasks
- Automatically maintained with respect to dependencies.

Also, reading others' makefiles can be tough because of all the features: see info make (also 269–275 of Nutshell??)

make variables

You can define variables in a Makefile. Example:

```
CC = gcc
CFLAGS = -Wall
foo.o: foo.c foo.h bar.h
$(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c foo.c -o foo.o
```

```
Why do this?
```

- Easy to change things once and affect many commands.
- Can change variables on the command-line (overrrides definitions in file). (For example make CFLAGS=-g.)
- Easy to reuse a Makefile from one "homework" to the next.
- Can use conditionals to set variables (using inherited environment variables):

make conditionals

EXE=

ifdef WINDIR # assume we are on a Windows machine
 EXE=.exe

endif

```
myprog$(EXE): foo.o bar.o
```

```
$(CC) $(CFLAGS) -o myprog$(EXE) foo.o bar.o
```

Other forms of conditionals exist (e.g., are two strings equal)

more variables

It's also common to use variables to hold list of filenames:

```
MYOBJFILES = foo.o bar.o baz.o myprog: $(MYOBJFILES)
```

```
gcc -o myprog $(MYOBJFILES)
```

clean:

```
rm $(MYOBJFILES) myprog
```

clean is a convention: remove any generated files, to "start over" and have just the source.

It's "funny" because the target doesn't exist and there are no sources, but that's okay:

- If target doesn't exist, it must be "remade" so run the commands
- These "phony" targets have several uses, another is an "all" target:

"all" example

all: prog B.class someLib.a # notice no commands this time

```
prog1: foo.o bar.o main.o
gcc -o prog1 foo.o bar.o main.o
```

```
B.class: B.java
javac B.java
```

someLib.a: foo.o baz.o

ar r foo.o baz.o

Revenge of funny characters

UNIX hackers just can't get enough of funny metacharacters can they?

In commands:

- \$@ for target
- \$^ for all sources
- \$< for left-most target

• ...

Examples:

```
myprog$(EXE): foo.o bar.o
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -o $@ $^
foo.o: foo.c foo.h bar.h
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -o $@ -c $<</pre>
```

More fancy stuff

- There are a lot of "built-in" rules. E.g., make just "knows" to create foo.o by calling \$(CC) \$(CFLAGS) on foo.c. (Opinion: more confusing than helpful.)
- There are "suffix" rules and "pattern" rules. Example:

```
%.class: %.java
```

javac \$< # Note we need \$< here</pre>

- Remeber you can put any shell command on the command-line, even whole scripts
- You can repeat target names to add more dependencies (useful with automatic dependency generation).

Often this stuff is more useful for reading makefiles than writing your own (until some day...)

Dependency generation

So far, we are still listing dependencies manually, e.g.:

foo.o: foo.c foo.h bar.h

If you forget, say, bar.h, you can introduce subtle bugs in your program (or if you're *lucky*, get confusing linker errors).

This is not make's problem: It has no understanding of different programming languages, commands, etc., just file-mod times.

But it does seem too error-prone to have to remember to update dependencies, so there are often language-specific tools that do it for you...

Dependency-generator example

gcc -M

- Actually lots of useful variants, including -MM and -MG. See man gcc
- Automatically creates a rule for you.
- Then include the resulting file in your Makefile.

```
    Typically run via a phony depend target, e.g.:
depend: $(MY_C_FILES)
gcc -M $^
```

Build-script summary

Always script complicated tasks.

Always automate "what needs rebuilding" via dependency analysis.

make is a text-based program with lots of bells and whistles for doing this. It is not language-specific. Use it.

With language-specific tools, you can automate dependency generation.

make files have this way of starting simple and ending up unreadable. It is worth keeping them clean.

There are conventions like make all and make clean common when distributing source code.