



Debugging

Ruth Anderson



UW CSE 160

Autumn 2020

Example: Write a function

Write a function that will return the set of a user's friends with a particular user removed from that set.

The problem





What you want
your program to do

What your program does

Debugging tools

- Python error message
- **assert**
- **print**
- Python interpreter
- Python Tutor (<http://pythontutor.com>)
- Python debugger
- Best tool:





Two key ideas

1. The scientific method
2. Divide and conquer

If you master those, you will find debugging easy, and possibly enjoyable

The scientific method



1. Create a hypothesis
2. Design an experiment to test that hypothesis
 - Ensure that it yields insight
3. Understand the result of your experiment
 - If you don't understand, then possibly suspend your main line of work to understand that

Tips:

- Be systematic
 - Never do anything if you don't have a reason
 - Don't just flail
 - Random guessing is likely to dig you into a deeper hole
- Don't make assumptions (verify them)

Example experiments

1. An alternate implementation of a function
 - Run all your test cases afterward
2. A new, simpler test case
 - Examples: smaller input, or test a function in isolation
 - Can help you understand the reason for a failure

Your scientific notebook

Record everything you do

- Specific inputs and outputs (both expected and actual)
- Specific versions of the program
 - If you get stuck, you can return to something that works
 - You can write multiple implementations of a function
- What you have already tried
- What you are in the middle of doing now
 - This may look like a stack!
- What you are sure of, and why

Your notebook also helps if you need to get help or reproduce your results

Read the error message

Traceback (most recent call last):

```
File "nx_error.py", line 41, in <module>
    print(friends_of_friends(rj, myval))
File "nx_error.py", line 30, in friends_of_friends
    f = friends(graph, user)
File "nx_error.py", line 25, in friends
    return set(graph.neighbors(user)) #
File "/Library/Frameworks/.../graph.py", line 978, in neighbors
    return list(self.adj[n])
```

TypeError: unhashable type: 'list'

Note: In VSCode you also see a link you can control-click on to take you to the line with the error.

List of all exceptions (errors):

<http://docs.python.org/3/library/exceptions.html#builtin-exceptions>

Two other resources, with more details about a few of the errors:

<http://inventwithpython.com/appendixd.html>

<http://www.cs.arizona.edu/people/mccann/errors-python>

First function that was called (<module> means the interpreter)

Second function that was called

Call stack or traceback

Last function that was called (this one suffered an error)

The error message: daunting but useful.

You need to understand:

- the literal meaning of the error
- the underlying problems certain errors tend to suggest

Common Error Types

- **AssertionError**
 - Raised when an assert statement fails.
- **IndexError**
 - Raised when a sequence (e.g. list, string, tuple) subscript is out of range.
- **KeyError**
 - Raised when a dictionary key is not found in the set of existing keys.
- **KeyboardInterrupt**
 - Raised when the user hits the interrupt key (normally Control-C or Delete).
- **NameError**
 - Raised when a local or global name is not found.
- **SyntaxError**
 - Raised when the parser encounters a syntax error. (e.g. missing closing parenthesis)
- **IndentationError**
 - Syntax errors related to incorrect indentation.
- **TypeError**
 - Raised when an operation or function is applied to an object of inappropriate type.

Divide and conquer



- Where is the defect (or “bug”)?
- Your goal is to find the one place that it is
- Finding a defect is often harder than fixing it
- Initially, the defect might be **anywhere in your program**
 - It is impractical to find it if you have to look everywhere
- Idea: bit by bit **reduce the scope** of your search
- Eventually, the defect is localized to a few lines or one line
 - Then you can understand and fix it
- 4 ways to divide and conquer:
 - A. In the program code
 - B. In test cases
 - C. During the program execution
 - D. During the development history

A. Divide & conquer in the program code

- Localize the defect to **part of the program**
 - e.g., one function, or one part of a function
- Code that isn't executed cannot contain the defect

3 approaches:

- Test one function at a time
- Add assertions or print statements
 - The defect is executed before the failing assertion (and maybe after a succeeding assertion)
- Split complex expressions into simpler ones

Example: Failure in

```
result = set([graph.neighbors(user)])
```

Change it to

```
nbors = graph.neighbors(user)
nbors_list = [nbors]
nbors_set = {nbors_list}
result = set(nbors_set)
```

The error occurs on the "nbors_set = {nbors_list}" line

B. Divide & conquer in test cases

- Your program fails when run on some large input
 - It's hard to comprehend the error message
 - The log of print statement output is overwhelming
- Try a smaller input
 - Choose an input with some but not all characteristics of the large input
 - Example: duplicates, zeroes in data, ...

C. Divide & conquer in execution time via **print** (or “logging”) statements

- A sequence of **print** statements is a record of the execution of your program
- The **print** statements let you see and search multiple moments in time
- Print statements are a useful technique, in moderation
- Be disciplined
 - Too much output is overwhelming rather than informative
 - Remember the scientific method: have a reason (a hypothesis to be tested) for each print statement

D. Divide & conquer in development history

- The code used to work (for some test case)
- The code now fails
- The defect is related to some line you changed

- This is useful only if you kept a version of the code that worked (use good names!)
- This is most useful if you have made few changes
- Moral: **test often!**
 - Fewer lines to compare
 - You remember what you were thinking/doing recently

A metaphor about debugging

If your code doesn't work as expected, then by definition you don't understand what is going on.

- You're lost in the woods.
- You're behind enemy lines.
- All bets are off.
- Don't trust anyone or anything.

Don't press on into unexplored territory -- go back the way you came!

(and leave breadcrumbs!)



You're trying to "advance the front lines," not "trailblaze"

Time-Saving Tip: Make Sure you're Debugging the Right Problem

- The game is to go from “working to working”
- When something doesn't work, **STOP!**
 - It's wild out there!
- **FIRST:** go back to the last situation that worked properly.
 - Rollback your recent changes and verify that everything still works as expected.
 - Don't make assumptions – by definition, you don't understand the code when something goes wrong, so you can't trust your assumptions.
 - You may find that even what previously worked now doesn't
 - Perhaps you forgot to consider some “innocent” or unintentional change, and now even tested code is broken

A bad timeline

- **A** works, so celebrate a little
- Now try **B**
- **B** doesn't work
- Change **B** and try again
- Change **B** and try again
- Change **B** and try again
- ...

A better timeline

- **A** works, so celebrate a little
- Now try **B**
- **B** doesn't work
- *Rollback to A*
- Does **A** still work?
 - Yes: Find **A'** that is somewhere between **A** and **B**
 - No: You have *unintentionally changed something else*, and there's no point futzing with **B** at all!

These “innocent” and unnoticed changes happen more than you would think!

- You add a comment, and the indentation changes.
- You add a print statement, and a function is evaluated twice.
- You move a file, and the wrong one is being read
- You're on a different computer, and the library is a different version

Once you're on solid ground you can set out again

- Once you have **something that works** and **something that doesn't work**, it's only a matter of time
- You just need to incrementally change the working code into the non-working code, and the problem will reveal itself.
- **Variation:** Perhaps your code works with one input, but fails with another. Incrementally change the good input into the bad input to expose the problem.

Simple Debugging Tools

print

- shows what's happening whether there's a problem or not
- does not stop execution

assert

- Raises an exception if some condition is not met
- Does nothing if everything works
- Example: `assert len(rj.edges()) == 16`
- Use this liberally! Not just for debugging!