

# **CSE 143**

## **Lecture 24**

Advanced collection classes

(ADTs; abstract classes; inner classes; generics; iterators)

read 11.1, 9.6, 15.3-15.4, 16.4-16.5

slides created by Marty Stepp

<http://www.cs.washington.edu/143/>


# Our list classes

- We implemented the following two list classes:

– `ArrayIntList`

index	0	1	2
value	42	-3	17

– `LinkedIntList`



– Problems:

- **We should be able to treat them the same way in client code.**
- Some of their methods are implemented the same way (redundancy).
- Linked list carries around a clunky extra node class.
- They can store only `int` elements, not any type of value.
- It is inefficient to get or remove each element of a linked list.

# Recall: ADT interfaces (11.1)

- **abstract data type (ADT):** A specification of a collection of data and the operations that can be performed on it.
  - Describes *what* a collection does, not *how* it does it.
- Java's collection framework describes ADTs with interfaces:
  - `Collection`, `Deque`, `List`, `Map`, `Queue`, `Set`, `SortedMap`
- An ADT can be implemented in multiple ways by classes:
  - `ArrayList` and `LinkedList`            implement `List`
  - `HashSet` and `TreeSet`                implement `Set`
  - `LinkedList` , `ArrayDeque`, etc.    implement `Queue`
- Exercise: Create an ADT interface for the two list classes.

# An IntList interface (16.4)

**// Represents a list of integers.**

```
public interface IntList {  
    public void add(int value);  
    public void add(int index, int value);  
    public int get(int index);  
    public int indexOf(int value);  
    public boolean isEmpty();  
    public void remove(int index);  
    public void set(int index, int value);  
    public int size();  
}
```

```
public class ArrayIntList implements IntList { ...  
public class LinkedIntList implements IntList { ...
```


# Our list classes

- We have implemented the following two list collection classes:

– `ArrayIntList`

index	0	1	2
value	42	-3	17

– `LinkedIntList`



– Problems:

- We should be able to treat them the same way in client code.
- **Some methods are implemented the same way (redundancy).**
- Linked list carries around a clunky extra node class.
- They can store only `int` elements, not any type of value.
- It is inefficient to get or remove each element of a linked list.

# Common code

- Notice that some of the methods are implemented the same way in both the array and linked list classes.
  - `add(value)`
  - `contains`
  - `isEmpty`
- Should we change our interface to a class? Why / why not?
  - How can we capture this common behavior?

# Abstract classes (9.6)

- **abstract class:** A hybrid between an interface and a class.
  - defines a superclass type that can contain method declarations (like an interface) and/or method bodies (like a class)
  - like interfaces, abstract classes that cannot be instantiated (cannot use `new` to create any objects of their type)
- What goes in an abstract class?
  - implementation of common state and behavior that will be inherited by subclasses (parent class role)
  - declare generic behaviors that subclasses must implement (interface role)

# Abstract class syntax

```
// declaring an abstract class
public abstract class name {
    ...

    // declaring an abstract method
    // (any subclass must implement it)
    public abstract type name(parameters) ;
}
```

- A class can be `abstract` even if it has no abstract methods
- You can create variables (but not objects) of the abstract type
- Exercise: Introduce an abstract class into the list hierarchy.



# Abstract and interfaces

- Normal classes that claim to implement an interface must implement all methods of that interface:

```
public class Empty implements IntList {} // error
```

- Abstract classes can claim to implement an interface without writing its methods; subclasses must implement the methods.

```
public abstract class Empty implements IntList {} // ok
```

```
public class Child extends Empty {} // error
```

# An abstract list class

```
// Superclass with common code for a list of integers.
public abstract class AbstractIntList implements IntList {
    public void add(int value) {
        add(size(), value);
    }

    public boolean contains(int value) {
        return indexOf(value) >= 0;
    }

    public boolean isEmpty() {
        return size() == 0;
    }
}

public class ArrayIntList extends AbstractIntList { ...
public class LinkedIntList extends AbstractIntList { ...
```

# Abstract class vs. interface

- Why do both interfaces and abstract classes exist in Java?
  - An abstract class can do everything an interface can do and more.
  - So why would someone ever use an interface?
- Answer: Java has single inheritance.
  - can extend only one superclass
  - can implement many interfaces
  - Having interfaces allows a class to be part of a hierarchy (polymorphism) without using up its inheritance relationship.


# Our list classes

- We have implemented the following two list collection classes:

– `ArrayIntList`

index	0	1	2
value	42	-3	17

– `LinkedList`




– Problems:

- We should be able to treat them the same way in client code.
- Some of their methods are implemented the same way (redundancy).
- **Linked list carries around a clunky extra node class.**
- They can store only `int` elements, not any type of value.
- It is inefficient to get or remove each element of a linked list.

# Inner classes

- **inner class:** A class defined inside of another class.
  - can be created as `static` or non-static
  - we will focus on standard non-static ("nested") inner classes
- usefulness:
  - inner classes are hidden from other classes (encapsulated)
  - inner objects can access/modify the fields of the outer object



# Inner class syntax

```
// outer (enclosing) class
public class name {
    ...

    // inner (nested) class
    private class name {
        ...
    }
}
```

- Only this file can see the inner class or make objects of it.
- Each inner object is associated with the outer object that created it, so it can access/modify that outer object's methods/fields.
  - If necessary, can refer to outer object as **OuterClassName.this**
- Exercise: Convert the linked node into an inner class.


# Our list classes

- We have implemented the following two list collection classes:

– `ArrayIntList`

index	0	1	2
value	42	-3	17

– `LinkedIntList`



– Problems:

- We should be able to treat them the same way in client code.
- Some of their methods are implemented the same way (redundancy).
- Linked list carries around a clunky extra node class.
- **They can store only `int` elements, not any type of value.**
- It is inefficient to get or remove each element of a linked list.

# Type Parameters (Generics)

```
ArrayList<Type> name = new ArrayList<Type>( );
```

- Recall: When constructing a `java.util.ArrayList`, you specify the type of elements it will contain between `<` and `>`.
  - We say that the `ArrayList` class accepts a **type parameter**, or that it is a **generic** class.

```
ArrayList<String> names = new ArrayList<String>( );  
names.add( "Marty Stepp" );  
names.add( "Stuart Reges" );
```



# Implementing generics

```
// a parameterized (generic) class
public class name<Type> {
    ...
}
```

- By putting the **Type** in < >, you are demanding that any client that constructs your object must supply a type parameter.
  - You can require multiple type parameters separated by commas.
- The rest of your class's code can refer to that type by name.
- Exercise: Convert our list classes to use generics.

# Generics and arrays (15.4)

```
public class Foo<T> {  
    private T myField; // ok  
  
    public void method1(T param) {  
        myField = new T(); // error  
        T[] a = new T[10]; // error  
  
        myField = param; // ok  
        T[] a2 = (T[]) (new Object[10]); // ok  
    }  
}
```

- You cannot create objects or arrays of a parameterized type.
- You can create variables of that type, accept them as parameters, return them, or create arrays by casting from `Object[]`.

# Generics and inner classes

```
public class Foo<T> {  
    private class Inner<T> {} // incorrect  
  
    private class Inner {} // correct  
}
```

- If an outer class declares a type parameter, inner classes can also use that type parameter.
- Inner class should NOT redeclare the type parameter. (If you do, it will create a second type parameter with the same name.)


# Our list classes

- We have implemented the following two list collection classes:

– `ArrayIntList`

index	0	1	2
value	42	-3	17

– `LinkedIntList`



– Problems:

- We should be able to treat them the same way in client code.
- Some of their methods are implemented the same way (redundancy).
- Linked list carries around a clunky extra node class.
- They can store only `int` elements, not any type of value.
- **It is inefficient to get or remove each element of a linked list.**

# Linked list iterator


- The following code is particularly slow on linked lists:

```
List<Integer> list = new LinkedList<Integer>( );  
...  
for (int i = 0; i < list.size(); i++) {  
    int value = list.get(i);  
    if (value % 2 == 1) {  
        list.remove(i);  
    }  
}
```

- Why?
- What can we do to improve the runtime?

# Recall: Iterators (11.1)

- **iterator**: An object that allows a client to traverse the elements of a collection, regardless of its implementation.
  - Remembers a position within a collection, and allows you to:
    - get the element at that position
    - advance to the next position
    - (possibly) remove or change the element at that position
  - Benefit: A common way to examine *any* collection's elements.



# Iterator methods

<code>hasNext()</code>	returns <code>true</code> if there are more elements to examine
<code>next()</code>	returns the next element from the collection (throws a <code>NoSuchElementException</code> if there are none left to examine)
<code>remove()</code>	removes from the collection the last value returned by <code>next()</code> (throws <code>IllegalStateException</code> if you have not called <code>next()</code> yet)

- every provided collection has an `iterator` method

```
Set<String> set = new HashSet<String>();  
...  
Iterator<String> itr = set.iterator();  
...
```

- Exercise: Write iterators for our array list and linked list.
  - You don't need to support the `remove` operation.

# Array list iterator

```
public class ArrayList<E> extends AbstractIntList<E> {
    ...
    // not perfect; doesn't forbid multiple removes in a row
    private class ArrayIterator implements Iterator<E> {
        private int index; // current position in list
        public ArrayIterator() {
            index = 0;
        }
        public boolean hasNext() {
            return index < size();
        }
        public E next() {
            index++;
            return get(index - 1);
        }
        public void remove() {
            ArrayList.this.remove(index - 1);
            index--;
        }
    }
}
```



# Linked list iterator

```
public class LinkedList<E> extends AbstractIntList<E> {
    ...
    // not perfect; doesn't support remove
    private class LinkedIterator implements Iterator<E> {
        private ListNode current;    // current position in list
        public LinkedIterator() {
            current = front;
        }
        public boolean hasNext() {
            return current != null;
        }
        public E next() {
            E result = current.data;
            current = current.next;
            return result;
        }
        public void remove() {      // not implemented for now
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
        }
    }
}
```

# for-each loop and Iterable

- Java's collections can be iterated using a "for-each" loop:

```
List<String> list = new LinkedList<String>();  
...  
for (String s : list) {  
    System.out.println(s);  
}
```

– Our collections do not work in this way.

- To fix this, your list must implement the `Iterable` interface.

```
public interface Iterable<E> {  
    public Iterator<E> iterator();  
}
```

# Final List interface (15.3, 16.5)

**// Represents a list of values.**

```
public interface List<E> extends Iterable<E> {  
    public void add(E value);  
    public void add(int index, E value);  
    public E get(int index);  
    public int indexOf(E value);  
    public boolean isEmpty();  
    public Iterator<E> iterator();  
    public void remove(int index);  
    public void set(int index, E value);  
    public int size();  
}
```