



- GUI components such as JPanels can draw on themselves using a Graphics context
- Problem: Drawings aren't permanent need to be refreshed
 Window may get hidden, moved, minimized, etc.
- Even components like buttons, listboxes, file choosers etc. also must render themselves.
 - Seldom a reason to override paint for such components. There are indirect but more convenient ways to change the rendering.
- Solution: A "callback" method called paintComponent

2/9/2003

(c) 2001-2003, University of Washington

Review: Using *paintComponent*

- Or just plain paint for older AWT components.
- Every Component subclass has a paint (paintComponent) method
 Called automatically by the system when component needs redrawing
- Program can override *paintComponent* to get the Graphics and draw what is desired
- To request the image be updated, send it a "repaint" message
 paintComponent() is eventually called
- Footnote: "Render" is the word for producing the actual visual image
 Rendering may take place at multiple levels

(c) 2001-2003, University of Washington

· Ultimate rendering is done by low-level software and/or hardware

2/9/2003

07-3

07-4

Drawing Based on Stored Data

- · Problem: how does paintComponent() know what to paint? • The picture might need to change over time, too.
- Answer: we need to store the information somewhere
- · Where? Some possibilities

2/9/2003

- Store detailed graphical information in the component
- Lines, shapes, colors, positions, etc.
- Probably in an instance variable, accessible to paintComponent
- · Store underlying information in the component Store objects that know how to paint themselves
- Store objects that when non to pain themetics
 Store references to the underlying data and query it as needed data object returns information in a form that might differ from the underlying data paintComponent translates the data into graphics
- · All of these approaches can be made to work. What is best?

(c) 2001-2003, University of Washington

07-5

Model-View-Controller Pattern · Idea: want to separate the underlying data from the code that renders it · Good design because it separates issues · Consistent with object-oriented principles · Allows multiple views of the same data

07-6

- Model-View-Controller pattern
 - · Originated in the Smalltalk community in 1970's
- Used throughout Swing

2/9/2003

- Although not always obvious on the surface
- Widely used in commercial programming
- · Recommended practice for graphical applications

(c) 2001-2003, University of Washington

MVC Overview			MVC Interactions and Roles		
"Model" is a poor View Renders the inforr Graphical display, Controller Reacts to user inp Coordinates the m Might create the m		in desired formats	 Supplies data Possibly in a di Advancet: No needed Generally unar View Maintains deta Gets data from Renders data Advanced: Ca Controller Intercepts and 	data in some internal representation to view when requested lifferent representation tiffies viewers when model has changed and view up ware of the display details ails about the display environment n the model when it needs to when requested (by the system or the controller, etc tches user interface events and notifies controller linterprets user interface events tion to models and views	Ū
2/9/2003	(c) 2001-2003, University of Washington	07-7	2/9/2003	(c) 2001-2003, University of Washington	07-

MVC vs MV

- Separating Model from View...
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$...is just good, basic object-oriented design
 - $\ensuremath{\cdot}$ usually not hard to achieve, with forethought
- Separating the Controller is a bit less clear-cut • May be overkill in a small system.
- Often the Controller and the View are naturally closely related
- Both frequently use GUI Components, which the Model is unlikely to do. • Model-View Pattern: MV

(c) 2001-2003, University of Washington

• Folds the Controller and the View together.

2/9/2003

07-9







