

CSE 143

Dynamic Dispatch and Virtual Functions

[Chapter 8 pp.354-370]

3/25/2001 R-1

Substituting Derived Classes

- Recall that an instance of a derived class can always be substituted for an instance of a base class
 - Derived class guaranteed to have (at least) the same data and interface as base class
- But you may not get the behaviour you want!

```
//client function (not a method)
void printPoint( Point pt )
{
    pt.print( cout );
    //the question: which print?
}
```

```
Point p( 1.0, 9.0 );
ColorPoint cp( 6.0, 7.0, red );
```

```
printPoint( p );
p = cp; //information lost
printPoint( p );
printPoint( cp );
```

3/25/2001 R-2

Pointers And Inheritance

- You can also substitute a *pointer* to a derived class for a *pointer* to a base class
 - There's still that guarantee about data and interface
 - Also holds for reference types
 - No information disappears!!**
- Unfortunately, we still have the same problems...

```
//client function
void printPoint( Point *ptr )
{
    ofstream ofs( "point.out" );
    ptr->print( ofs );
    ofs.close();
}

Point *pptr = new Point( 1.0, 9.0 );
ColorPoint *cp_ptr =
    new ColorPoint( 6.0, 7.0, red );

printPoint( pptr );
printPoint( cp_ptr );

pptr = cp_ptr;
printPoint ( pptr );
```

3/25/2001 R-3

Static And Dynamic Types

- In C++, every variable has a *static* and a *dynamic* type
 - Static** type is declared type of variable
 - Every variable has a single static type that never changes
 - Dynamic** type is type of object the variable actually contains or refers to
 - Dynamic type can change during the program!
- Up to now, these have always been identical
 - But not any more!

```
Point *myPointPointer = new ColorPoint( 3.14, 2.78, green );
```

3/25/2001 R-4

"Dispatch"

- "Dispatching" is the act of deciding which piece of code to execute when a method is called
- Static** dispatch means that the decision is made statically, *i.e.* at compile time
 - Decision made based on **static** (declared) type of receiver

```
Point *myPointPointer = new ColorPoint( 3.14, 2.78, green );

myPointPointer->print( cout );
// myPointPointer is a Point*, so call Point::print
```

3/25/2001 R-5

Dynamic Dispatch

- C++ has a mechanism for declaring individual methods as **dynamically** dispatched
 - If an overriding function exists, call it
 - The decision is made at run-time
 - Sometimes called "late binding".
- The mechanism: In base class, label the function with **virtual** keyword
 - Overriding versions in subclasses don't need the **virtual** keyword
 - but please use the keyword anyway for better style

3/25/2001 R-6

Example Of Dynamic Dispatch

```
class Point { //base class
public:
    virtual void print( ostream& os );
    ...
};

class ColorPoint : public Point { //derived class
public:
    virtual void print( ostream& os );
    ...
};

//in a client
Point *p = new ColorPoint( 3.13, 5.66, ochre );

p->print( cout );
// calls ColorPoint::print( )
```

3/25/2001 R-7

Dynamically-Dispatched Calls

```
Point *p = new ColorPoint( 3.13, 5.66, ochre );
p->print( cout );
```

- The compiler notices that `Point::print` is defined as `virtual`
- Instead of just calling `Point::print`, it inserts extra code to look at information attached to the object by `new` to decide what function to call
- This is slightly slower than static dispatch
 - Almost always too minor a speed penalty to worry about

3/25/2001 R-8

When Does This Happen?

- Dynamic dispatch **ONLY** happens when **BOTH** of these two conditions are met:
 1. The object is accessed through a pointer (or reference)
 2. The method is `virtual`
- In **ALL** other cases, you get static dispatch
- Two common useful cases
 - **Parameters**: Objects passed by pointer or reference to a function
 - **Arrays**: an array of pointers to objects

3/25/2001 R-9

Example Application

- An array of pointers to objects derived from the same base class:
`mammal *zoo[20];` // An array of 20 pointers.
- All the objects pointed to are mammals, but some might be dogs, people, aardvarks, hedgehogs, etc.
- Each class might have its own methods for behavior like "scream" "fight" "laugh", etc.
 - If I write `zoo[i]->laugh()` I want to get the appropriate behavior for that type of animal

Won't happen unless `laugh` is `virtual` in `mammal` class

3/25/2001 R-10

Contrast

- `mammal mList[20];`
 - all array elements are of the same type
 - Everything in the list is treated as a `mammal`, period regardless of whether methods are `virtual` or not
- `mammal *vmList[20];`
 - Each critter behaves like "mammal" for the non-virtual functions, and like its own particular kind of `mammal` for the virtual methods.

3/25/2001 R-11

Virtual Destructors

- If a class contains a destructor and is used as a base class, then the destructor should be declared `virtual`
 - Ensures that correct destructor is called when a pointer to that class is deleted, even if there are no other virtual functions

```
class XYZ {
public:
    ...
    virtual ~XYZ();
    ...
};
```

- Puzzle: *constructors* are **never** *virtual*!!! (Why?)

3/25/2001 R-12

Abstract vs Concrete Classes

- Some classes are so abstract that instances of them shouldn't even exist
 - What does it mean to have an instance of `widget`? of `pushbutton`? of `Animal`?
- It may not make sense to attempt to fully implement all functions in such a class
 - What should `pushbutton::clicked()` do?
- An *abstract* class is one that should not or can not be instantiated - it only defines an interface
 - declaration of public methods, partial implementation
- A *concrete* class can have instances

3/25/2001 R-13

Abstract Class in C++

- "abstract" and "concrete" are not keywords in C++
- Abstract classes are recognized by being classes with unimplementable methods
 - "pure virtual functions" (next slide)
- Such a class is only intended to be used as a base class

3/25/2001 R-14

Pure Virtual Functions

- Syntax: append `= 0` to base method declaration

```
class pushbutton : public widget {
public:
    virtual void clicked() = 0;
};
```
- A "pure virtual" function is not implemented in the base class
 - must implement in derived classes
- Compiler guarantees that class with pure virtual functions cannot be instantiated
- If you call a pure virtual function, you'll use the version from some derived class

```
pushbutton *b = new quitbutton;
b->clicked();
```

3/25/2001 R-15

Draw the Hierarchy

```
class animal {...
    virtual dance () = 0;
...};
```

```
class mammal : public
    animal {...
    dance ();
    walk ();
...};
```

```
class hedgehog : public
    mammal {...
    // no "dance" method
    dig ();
    walk ();
    walk (int, int);
...};
```

```
class seaUrchin : public
    animal {...
    dance ();
    sting ();
};
```

3/25/2001 R-16

What's Legal / Which function is called? (continued)

- `animal annie;`
- `hedgehog * hp;`
- `hp->walk ();`
- `animal * ap = hp;`
- `ap -> dance();`
- `ap->walk();`
- `mammal * mp = hp;`
- `mp->walk();`

3/25/2001 R-17

Example Hierarchy

```
class person {...
    virtual walk () = 0;
    virtual run ();
...};
```

```
class student : public person
{...
    enroll ();
    virtual walk ();
...};
```

```
class freshman : public
    student {...
    enroll ();
    virtual run ();
...};
```

3/25/2001 R-18

What's Legal / Which function is called? (continued)

- person paula;
- student *stu = new freshman();
- stu->enroll();
- student sara = *stu;
- sara.run();
- person *pp = stu;
- pp->run();
- pp->walk();
- freshman *fred = pp;
- fred->enroll();

3/25/2001 R-19

Draw hierarchy & call graph

*Start your drawing at
plug::dispatch()*

```
class plug {  
public:  
    virtual void boof()  
    { bang(); }  
    virtual void bang()  
    { nalg(); }  
    void dispatch()  
    { trog->boof(); }  
protected:  
    plug *trog;  
};
```

```
class lir : public plug {  
public:  
    virtual void boof()  
    { biff(); }  
};
```

```
class vop : public plug {  
public:  
    virtual void bang()  
    { whing(); }  
protected:  
    int log;  
};
```

3/25/2001 R-20