CSE 142 Summer 2001

Programming as Communication

6/21/2001

(c) 2001, University of Washington

Programming as Communication

- With a program, we communicate with two important entities:
 - machines
- people
- The first is obvious, the second may not be:
- · Programs that don't work (bugs)
- · Inherited code
- · Program evolution

6/21/2001

18

(c) 2001, University of Washington

Reading vs. Understanding

- · People and machines are very different.
 - · Machines are good are reading but bad at understanding
- People are good at understanding but bad at reading
- · Read this:

$= \sup_{i \in \mathcal{S}} u_r c_{\mathsf{hil}} d_{\mathsf{ren}} e_{\mathsf{ar}} n_i n_{\mathsf{d}}$

- · What does it ask?
- · What does it mean?

6/21/2001

(c) 2001, University of Washington

Metaphor: Programs as instructions

- Operational world view: a program is a set of instructions that brings about some action.
- · Imagine giving directions:
- To another student
- To a tourist
- The student operates at a higher level of abstraction
- Good instructions (at any level of abstraction) require precision.
- Programming languages let you build new abstractions: it's like adding new (more meaningful) words to your language

6/21/2001

(c) 2001, University of Washington

21

23

Metaphor: Programs as Math

- We can think of programs as executable math:
- Consider:

Area = PI x Radius²

- We can employ such expressions in programs.
- Most of our intuitions and knowledge about mathematics apply to computers.

6/21/2001

(c) 2001, University of Washington

Metaphor: Programs as Simulations

- Programs *model* our world or imaginary worlds
- We can define things in our programs that model the things in our world. We call these things *objects*.
- We can suspend the laws of physics in programs
- Programs are *plastic*: they are easy to mold to our wishes
- The limit of plasticity: big programs become as hard to manipulate as real-world entities. Why?

6/21/2001

22

(c) 2001, University of Washington

1