CSE 142 Computer Programming I

Sorting

© 2000 UW CSE



Sorting

The problem: put things in order Usually smallest to largest: "ascending" Could also be largest to smallest: "descending"

Lots of applications! ordering hits in web search engine preparing lists of output merging data from multiple sources to help solve other problems faster search (allows binary search) too many to mention!

Sorting: More FormallyGiven an array b[0], b[1], ... b[n-1],
reorder entries so that
b[0] <= b[1] <= ... <= b[n-1]</td>Shorthand for these slides: the notation array[i..k]
means all of the elements
array[i],array[i+1]...array[k]
Using this notation, the entire array would be:
b[0..n-1]P.S.: This is not C syntax!

Sorting Algorithms

Sorting has been intensively studied for decades Many different ways to do it! We'll look at only one algorithm, called "Selection Sort" Other algorithms you might hear about in

other courses include Bubble Sort, Insertion Sort, QuickSort, and MergeSort. And that's only the beginning!

Q-5

Q-1

Q-3

Sorting Problem		
hat 0	we want: Data sorted in order	n
	sorted: b[0]<=b[1]<=<=b[n-1]	
nitia	I conditions	
0		n

















Can We Do Better Than n²?

Sure we can!

Selection, insertion, bubble sorts are all proportional to *n*² Other sorts are proportional to *n log n* Mergesort, Quicksort, etc.

log n is considerably smaller than n, especially as n gets larger (remember: linear search's time is proportional to n;

binary search's is proportional to log n)

As the problem size grows, the time to run a $n^{2^{0.15}}$ sort will grow *much faster* than an *n* log *n* one.

Any better than *n log n*?

In general, no. But in special cases, we can do better Example: Sort exams by score: drop each exam in one of 101 piles; work is proportional to *n*

Curious fact: efficiency can be studied and predicted mathematically, without using a computer at all!

Comments about Efficiency

Efficiency means doing things in a way that saves resources

Usually measured by *time* or *memory* used

Many small programming details have little or no measurable effect on efficiency The big differences comes with the right choice of *algorithm* and/or *data structure*

0-17

Efficiency: Not Always What You Expect!

Myth: I should make everything efficient! Imagine spending hours optimizing a binary search for an array you search only once. What's the problem?

Myth: It's the details that really matter... like using chars to represent small numbers instead of ints! Imagine you need to send a huge amount of data from your headquarters in Denver to your printer 15 miles away. What's the FASTEST way? (From Jon Bentley's <u>Programming Pearls</u>)

Summary

Sorting means placing things in order Selection sort is one of many

algorithms At each step, finds the smallest remaining value Selection sort requires on the order of

n² steps

There are sorting algorithms which are greatly more efficient It's the algorithm that makes the difference, not the coding details

Q-19

QOTD: Sorting as you go

Sometimes arrays grow one element at a time. You could add each element so that the array is always in sorted order. Then you don't have to stop and sort the array later.

Example: the array of players in HW5

Write an addToPlayerArray function which inserts the new player in the array, maintaining alphabetical order by player name. Q-20

What complication will there be to your search in this array?