

CSE 121 – Lesson 13

Sli.do link:



Miya Natsuhara (but today, Kai!)

Spring 2023

Music: [121 23sp Lecture Vibes <3](#)

Last time we:

Completed (almost all) of the Astrology Bot we are working on:

- Finished `computeSign(int month, int day)`
- Finished `giveHoroscope()`
- Called those above methods in `zodiacChat()`
 - Prompts user input



Today:

1. Announcements
2. Grading calculator overview
3. Finish Astrology Bot
4. Discuss P2
5. Computer Science ethics?



Announcements, Reminders

- P2 Prioritizing Patients out now, due **Tuesday May 16 (5/16)**
- Quiz 0 Retake grades were posted yesterday
- Quiz 2 is scheduled for **5/18**
 - While loops (includes conditionals)
 - User input (Scanner)
- Retake form for retakes next week (**only for Quiz 1**)
- Grade calculator overview!



To Ed!

Prioritizing Patients

Consider the specification where we determine a “priority score” for people:

Priority Score

We use the information that the user inputs ("*features*") to calculate a **priority score** for the patient. The patient features used for the calculation are:

- age
- zip code
- whether this hospital is "in network"
 - an answer of `y` or `yes` indicates that the hospital is "in network" for the patient
 - *any other response* indicates that the hospital is not "in network" for the patient
- pain level
- temperature

Prioritizing Patients

- Take a second to reflect, what does it mean to assign people “priority” in terms of healthcare
- When assigning somebody priority, what factors go into it?
- Do the factors we think relevant reflect other social factors inadvertently?
 - For P2: We chose age, zip, in-network, pain, temperature – can we think of any way these might introduce bias?

Prioritizing Patients

- Consider:
- Research and reports from 2019 indicated algorithms for patient prioritization and allocation of care systematically privileged white patients over black ones (algorithm being used in the care of ~70 million patients)
- Did not consider race but utilized patient health costs as a proxy for health needs.
 - People with lower incomes tend to have smaller health costs because they don't have insurance, free time off work, etc.
 - Socioeconomics compound with historical disenfranchisement of Black Americans, which correlate with lower on average economic bracket

Prioritizing Patients

Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations

ZIAD OBERMEYER , BRIAN POWERS, CHRISTINE VOGELI, AND SENDHIL MULLAINATHAN  [Authors Info & Affiliations](#)

SCIENCE · 25 Oct 2019 · Vol 366, Issue 6464 · pp. 447-453 · DOI:10.1126/science.aax2342

↓ 35,300 ” 1,257



 CHECK ACCESS

Racial bias in health algorithms

- So, racial bias exists algorithmically – what is the impact of that in healthcare?
- Study (Obermeyer et al 2019) finds with this wealth proxy resulted in:
 - An estimate that the algorithmic racial bias reduced the number of patients who were black to be flagged needing extra care by more than half
 - American Association for Advancement of Science (AAAS) indicates that black patients were assigned same risk/prioritization level, but were substantially sicker than white patients and were resultantly not given an appropriate level of care

Prioritizing Patients

- What's the takeaway?
 - Computer science is not neutral, and the work we do can easily reify already existing social biases whether we intend to or not
 - We need to be actively mindful of the assumptions we make in our implementations, for something like P2 specifically, is using zip code as a proxy for priority potentially unethical? Who is included? Who is excluded?
 - CS does not exist in a vacuum, be mindful, reflect, and more than anything – continue to “debug” social issues!