

# Homework 7

John Manferdelli  
[jlm@cs.washington.edu](mailto:jlm@cs.washington.edu)  
[jmanfer@microsoft.com](mailto:jmanfer@microsoft.com)

Portions © 2004-2005, John Manferdelli.

This material is provided without warranty of any kind including, without limitation, warranty of non-infringement or suitability for any purpose. This material is not guaranteed to be error free and is intended for instructional use only.

JLM 2006021914:16

# Homework 7 – Problem 1

1. We saw that a typical round of AES consisted of the following operations:

```
for each byte, b in state
    ByteSub (b)
    ShiftRow (state)
    if (i < Nr)
        MixCol (state)
    AddRoundKey (i, state)
```

For the 128 bit key, 128 bit block size version of Rijndael, using lookup tables to reduce the computations required and assuming basic operations (32 bit lookup, 32 bit xor, etc) all take about .001 microseconds and your code/data budget is under 16 MB, design a implementation of the round operations that is faster than implementing each of the primitive operations (ByteSub, ShiftRow, MixCol).

How long does each round take (about)?

Counter mode use of AES is used by selecting a nonce ( $n$ ) and constructing cipher blocks  $AES_K(n||ctr), AES_K(n||ctr+1), AES_K(n||ctr+2), \dots$ . The resulting bits are xored into the plaintext (as with the stream cipher). What properties of AES make this safe? Can the keystream be generated in parallel and stored for later use? What performance properties does this mode have over ECB?

# Homework 7 – Answer 1

Let the input to round and the round key be

$a_{0,0}$	$a_{0,1}$	$a_{0,2}$	$a_{0,3}$
$a_{1,0}$	$a_{1,1}$	$a_{1,2}$	$a_{1,3}$
$a_{2,0}$	$a_{2,1}$	$a_{2,2}$	$a_{2,3}$
$a_{3,0}$	$a_{3,1}$	$a_{3,2}$	$a_{3,3}$

$K_{0,0}$	$K_{0,1}$	$K_{0,2}$	$K_{0,3}$
$K_{1,0}$	$K_{1,1}$	$K_{1,2}$	$K_{1,3}$
$K_{2,0}$	$K_{2,1}$	$K_{2,2}$	$K_{2,3}$
$K_{3,0}$	$K_{3,1}$	$K_{3,2}$	$K_{3,3}$

and let  $S[a]$  be the table which contains the values of ByteSub then after the Shiftrow operation, the “state” is

$S[a_{0,0}]$	$S[a_{0,1}]$	$S[a_{0,2}]$	$S[a_{0,3}]$
$S[a_{1,0}]$	$S[a_{1,1}]$	$S[a_{1,2}]$	$S[a_{1,3}]$
$S[a_{2,0}]$	$S[a_{2,1}]$	$S[a_{2,2}]$	$S[a_{2,3}]$
$S[a_{3,0}]$	$S[a_{3,1}]$	$S[a_{3,2}]$	$S[a_{3,3}]$

# Homework 7 – Answer 1

MixCol transforms a column as specified:  
 $[t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3]^T \rightarrow t_0 [2, 1, 1, 3]^T \oplus t_1 [3, 2, 1, 1]^T \oplus t_2 [1, 3, 2, 1]^T \oplus t_3 [1, 1, 3, 2]^T$

So after MixCol the column  $j$  of the state matrix (for 128 bit key, 128 bit block) is:  
 $S[a_{0,j}] [2, 1, 1, 3]^T \oplus S[a_{0,j-1}] [3, 2, 1, 1]^T \oplus S[a_{0,j-2}] [1, 3, 2, 1]^T \oplus S[a_{0,j-3}] [1, 1, 3, 2]^T$

Setting

$$T_0(a) = S[a] [2, 1, 1, 3]^T, T_1(a) = S[a] [3, 2, 1, 1]^T, T_2(a) = S[a] [1, 3, 2, 1]^T, T_3(a) = S[a] [1, 1, 3, 2]^T$$

The output state, expressed as four columns,  $b_j$ , resulting from an input state ,  $a_{ij}$ , and the key expressed as columns  $k_j$ , is:

$$b_j = k_j \oplus T_0(a_{0,j}) \oplus T_1(a_{1,j-1}) \oplus T_2(a_{2,j-2}) \oplus T_3(a_{3,j-3}) \quad \dots \quad \text{RoundTransform}$$

The  $T_i$  tables are 1KB each for a total of 4 KB. With these RoundTransform requires about 16 lookups on  $T_i$  plus about 15 index/lookup operations to obtain the  $a_{ij}$  and 4 32 bit Xors for about 35 operations (44 is more accurate). So each round requires about .04 microseconds and the 10 full rounds with loop testing etc requires about .5 microseconds to produce 128 bits of output for a predicted speed of about 32 MB/sec. This is pretty close to the benchmarked results we mentioned in the first lecture although that machine was slower.

Counter mode if it is to be safe, must be immune from an attack for which related input produces related output. The keystream can be computed both in parallel and in advance of actual use. ECB enables parallel encryption but not pre-computation of the bulk of the encryption.

# Homework 7 – Problem 2, 3

2. Show that  $f(x) = x^2 \pmod{pq}$  is a One-Way Function but is not Collision Resistant, where  $p$  and  $q$  are prime (you don't know  $p$  and  $q$ , just their product).
3. Linear Feedback Shift Registers Cryptosystem: Suppose  $X$  is a cryptosystem implemented by a 5 element linear feedback shift register which generates a psuedo random stream  $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots$  so
  - $s_{n+5} = a_4 s_{n+4} \oplus a_3 s_{n+3} \oplus a_2 s_{n+2} \oplus a_1 s_{n+1} \oplus a_0 s_n$If the first 10 output bits of the pseudo random generator are 1110100010, what are the next 3 bits? Assume  $n$  is the register length. About how many consecutive bits do you need to break a LFSR? How does this compare to a stream generator on an  $n$  bit state that is not linear?

# Homework 7 – Answer 2

If  $f(x) = x^2 \pmod{pq}$ , and  $p$  and  $q$  are not known [but  $pq$  is known], inverting requires finding a square root mod  $pq$ , the first lecture explained that this was hard; so  $f(x)$  is a OWF.

However  $f(x)=f(pq-x)$  so  $f$  is not collision resistant (or second pre-image resistant)

# Homework 7 – Answer 3

11101000100101,  $a_4 = a_2 = a_1 = a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_3 = 0$

Proof: Note  $S_{n+5} = a_4 S_{n+4} \oplus a_3 S_{n+3} \oplus a_2 S_{n+2} \oplus a_1 S_{n+1} \oplus a_0 S_n$

Eq	LHS	$a_4$	$a_3$	$a_2$	$a_1$	$a_0$
5	0	1	0	1	1	1
6	0	0	1	0	1	1,
7	0	0	0	1	0	1
8	1	0	0	0	1	0
9	0	1	0	0	0	1

- A: 5&6  $\rightarrow a_4 \oplus a_3 \oplus a_2 = 0$   
 B: 6&7  $\rightarrow a_3 \oplus a_2 \oplus a_1 = 0$   
 C: 7&8  $\rightarrow a_2 \oplus a_1 \oplus a_0 = 1$   
 D: 8&9  $\rightarrow a_4 \oplus a_1 \oplus a_0 = 1$
- A&B  $\rightarrow a_4 = a_1$   
 B&C  $\rightarrow a_0 = a_3 \oplus 1$   
 C&D  $\rightarrow a_4 = a_2$   
 So R:  $a_4 = a_1 = a_2$   
 and  $a_0 = a_3 \oplus 1$
- Plugging R into B,  
 we get  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_3 = 0$ .  
 Finally, plugging  
 this into 9, we get  
 $a_4 = a_0 = 1 = a_1 = a_2$

# Homework 7 – Answer 3

Thus the recurrence relation is:

$$S_{n+5} = S_{n+4} \oplus S_{n+2} \oplus S_{n+1} \oplus S_n$$

What are the next 3 bits?

0101

How many consecutive bits do you need to break a LFSR?

2n, if the equations are not redundant

How does this compare to a stream generator that is not linear?

Couldn't solve for internal state efficiently with a non-linear one.

Arbitrary stream generator with n bits of state requires seeing  $2^n$  bits before you can predict next bit.

# Homework 7 – Problem 4,5

4. Given  $i=64, j=245$  and  $S$  is as stated below, what are the next 4 bytes of output of RC4? Estimate the speed of encrypting the next 4 bytes of output of an RC4 cipher on a computer in which assignment addition and logical AND requires .001 microseconds.
5. Suppose two parties share a secret key  $k$  and wish to communicate a series of “yes/no” answers over a public channel without disclosing the answers. Design a protocol to do this using a MAC. Be careful to make sure the adversary cannot figure out all the answers if they know whether the “code” for a few of the yes/no answers.

# Homework 7 Problem 4 data

S[0...127]:

S[128...255]:

0x08	0xa5	0xe9	0x09	0x45	0xc0	0xed	0xf1	0x6d	0x15	0xc2	0xab	0x7a	0xa4	0x3f	0x00
0x5d	0xfd	0x34	0xc3	0x4e	0x7b	0x9d	0x96	0x48	0xa3	0xd1	0x4a	0x75	0xb7	0x85	0xd8
0x38	0x76	0x7c	0x49	0x8f	0xd9	0x35	0xcc	0xfb	0xfe	0xf2	0xe6	0x13	0x56	0xec	0xa7
0x99	0xb0	0x2d	0x97	0xe7	0x1d	0xa9	0x16	0x9a	0xee	0x64	0x53	0x5f	0x65	0xd3	0xc8
0x7d	0x10	0x8c	0x89	0x51	0xa1	0xd7	0x5b	0x68	0x74	0x02	0xdc	0x6f	0x43	0xe1	0x8b
0x3d	0x1c	0x23	0x1e	0xe0	0xb2	0x84	0xa8	0xbf	0xa2	0x2a	0x80	0xbb	0x6a	0x28	0x78
0xc5	0x24	0x86	0xb9	0x07	0xac	0xf0	0x52	0x17	0xf6	0xfc	0x67	0xb3	0x9e	0xcb	0x31
0x32	0x92	0xda	0x06	0xe4	0xd4	0x82	0xd5	0xf9	0xaa	0x9b	0x2b	0xb8	0x1a	0x3e	0xf8
0xdb	0xae	0x04	0x4c	0x36	0xc6	0x19	0x2e	0xd2	0x5c	0x20	0x11	0x4b	0x3b	0x0b	0x6e
0xb4	0x2c	0x69	0xc7	0xce	0x71	0x91	0xa6	0xaf	0xca	0x6b	0x60	0x94	0x5a	0x61	0x27
0xde	0x22	0x59	0xf4	0x54	0x25	0x42	0x0d	0xb5	0x7e	0x4d	0xbe	0x57	0x26	0xcf	0xef
0xff	0x03	0x0a	0x44	0x87	0x37	0x8e	0x12	0xbc	0x40	0x72	0x14	0x83	0x47	0xf7	0x1b
0x30	0x33	0x58	0x3a	0x81	0xf3	0x8d	0x9f	0x79	0x50	0x1f	0x3c	0x5e	0x0f	0xf5	0x62
0xbd	0xc4	0x95	0x73	0x93	0x55	0x41	0xb6	0x6c	0x21	0x70	0x4f	0xeb	0xea	0x98	0xfa
0x90	0x63	0x9c	0x18	0x77	0xdd	0xe3	0xc9	0xba	0x46	0x01	0xcd	0x88	0x0e	0x39	0xc1
0x8a	0xb1	0x7f	0xee	0xe5	0xad	0x05	0xa0	0xd0	0xdf	0x2f	0x0c	0x29	0x66	0xd6	0xe8

# Homework 7 --- Answer 4

Next bytes:

i	j	Out	Iteration
065,	163,	0xd1	1. $i = (i + 1) \bmod 256$
066,	167,	0xd8	2. $j = (j + S[i]) \bmod 256$
067,	243,	0xb0	3. swap $S[i]$ and $S[j]$
068,	041,	0x59	4. $t = (S[i] + S[j]) \bmod 256$
			5. Output $S[t]$

First step by hand.

1.  $i=65, S[65] = 0xae = 174$
2.  $j=245+174=419=163 \pmod{256}$
3. Swap  $S[65]=0xae$  and  $S[163]=0xdc$
4.  $S[65]+S[163]=174+220=138 \pmod{256}$
5. Output  $S[138] = 0xd1$

- There are about 10 operations (a closer estimate is about 16 operations) for each byte of output, so each byte takes about .01 microseconds for an output speed of 100 MB/sec. Using the more accurate .016 microseconds/byte, the speed is 60MB/sec which is amazingly close to benchmark result in lecture 4

# Homework 7 – Answer 5

Let  $\text{HMAC}_K(x) = \text{SHA-1}(k \oplus \text{outputpad} \parallel \text{SHA-1}(k \oplus \text{inputpad}) \parallel x)$ .

Lets say we want to transmit yes/no answers in the stream  $b_1, b_2, \dots$  with input  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  where say  $a_i = 1$  for a yes answer and 0 for a no answer.

$b_i = \text{HMAC}_K(i + a_i)$ . The sequence  $b_i$  satisfies the requirements. This assumes the “question number” is not subject to attack by an adversary. If you’re worried about question substitution, you should also hash in the question.