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What is Data Integration

- **Providing**
  - Uniform (same query interface to all sources)
  - Access to (queries; eventually updates too)
  - Multiple (we want many, but 2 is hard too)
  - Autonomous (DBA doesn’t report to you)
  - Heterogeneous (data models are different)
  - Structured (or at least semi-structured)
  - Data Sources (not only databases).

The Problem: Data Integration

Uniform query capability across autonomous, heterogeneous data sources on LAN, WAN, or Internet

Motivation(s)

- **Enterprise** data integration; web-site construction.
- **WWW**:
  - Comparison shopping
  - Portals integrating data from multiple sources
  - B2B, electronic marketplaces
- **Science and culture**:
  - Medical genetics: integrating genomic data
  - Astrophysics: monitoring events in the sky.
  - Environment: Puget Sound Regional Synthesis Model
  - Culture: uniform access to all cultural databases produced by countries in Europe.

Discussion

- Why is it hard?
- How will we solve it?
Current Solutions

- Mostly ad-hoc programming: create a special solution for every case; pay consultants a lot of money.
- Data warehousing: load all the data periodically into a warehouse.
  - 6-18 months lead time
  - Separates operational DBMS from decision support DBMS. (not only a solution to data integration).
  - Performance is good; data may not be fresh.
  - Need to clean, scrub you data.

The Virtual Integration Architecture

- Leave the data in the sources.
- When a query comes in:
  - Determine the relevant sources to the query
  - Break down the query into sub-queries for the sources.
  - Get the answers from the sources, and combine them appropriately.
- Data is fresh.
- Challenge: performance.

Research Projects

- Garlic (IBM),
- Information Manifold (AT&T)
- Tsimmis, InfoMaster (Stanford)
- The Internet Softbot/Razor/Tukwila (UW)
- Hermes (Maryland)
- DISCO, Agora (INRIA, France)
- SIMS/Ariadne (USC/ISI)

Data Warehouse Architecture

- Relational database (warehouse)
- Data extraction programs
- Data cleaning/scrubbing
- User queries
- OLAP / Decision support/ Data cubes/ data mining

Virtual Integration Architecture

- Mediator:
  - Which data model?
- Reformulation engine
- Execution engine
- Optimizer
- Data source catalog
- Data source wrapper
- Data source
- Data source

Industry

- Nimble Technology
- Enosys Markets
- IBM starting to announce stuff
- BEA marketing announcing stuff too.
Dimensions to Consider

- How many sources are we accessing?
- How autonomous are they?
- Meta-data about sources?
- Is the data structured?
- Queries or also updates?
- Requirements: accuracy, completeness, performance, handling inconsistencies.
- Closed world assumption vs. open world?

Outline

- Wrappers
- Semantic integration and source descriptions:
  - Modeling source completeness
  - Modeling source capabilities
- Query optimization
- Query execution
- Peer-data management systems
- Creating schema mappings

Wrapper Programs

- Task: to communicate with the data sources and do format translations.
- They are built w.r.t. a specific source.
- They can sit either at the source or at the mediator.
- Often hard to build (very little science).
- Can be “intelligent”: perform source-specific optimizations.

Example

Transform:

```xml
<book>
  <title>Introduction to DB</title>
  <author>Phil Bernstein</author>
  <author>Eric Newcomer</author>
  <publisher>Addison Wesley</publisher>
  <year>1999</year>
</book>
```

into:

```xml
<book>
  <title>Introduction to DB</title>
  <author>Phil Bernstein</author>
  <author>Eric Newcomer</author>
  <publisher>Addison Wesley</publisher>
  <year>1999</year>
</book>
```

Data Source Catalog

- Contains all meta-information about the sources:
  - Logical source contents (books, new cars).
  - Source capabilities (can answer SQL queries)
  - Source completeness (has all books).
  - Physical properties of source and network.
  - Statistics about the data (like in an RDBMS)
  - Source reliability
  - Mirror sources
  - Update frequency.

Content Descriptions

- User queries refer to the mediated schema.
- Data is stored in the sources in a local schema.
- Content descriptions provide the semantic mappings between the different schemas.
- Data integration system uses the descriptions to translate user queries into queries on the sources.
Desiderata from Source Descriptions

- **Expressive power:** distinguish between sources with closely related data. Hence, be able to prune access to irrelevant sources.
- **Easy addition:** make it easy to add new data sources.
- **Reformulation:** be able to reformulate a user query into a query on the sources efficiently and effectively.

Reformulation Problem

- **Given:**
  - A query Q posed over the mediated schema
  - Descriptions of the data sources
- **Find:**
  - A query Q’ over the data source relations, such that:
    - Q’ provides only *correct answers* to Q, and
    - Q’ provides all possible answers from to Q given the sources.

Approaches to Specifying Source Descriptions

- **Global-as-view:** express the mediated schema relations as a set of views over the data source relations
- **Local-as-view:** express the source relations as views over the mediated schema.
- Can be combined with no additional cost.

Global-as-View

Mediated schema:
- Movie(title, dir, year, genre),
- Schedule(cinema, title, time).

Create View Movie AS

```sql
select * from S1 [S1(title, dir, year, genre)]
union
select * from S2 [S2(title, dir, year, genre)]
union
[S3(title, dir), S4(title, year, genre)]
```

select S3.title, S3.dir, S4.year, S4.genre
from S3, S4
where S3.title=S4.title

Global-as-View: Example 2

Mediated schema:
- Movie(title, dir, year, genre),
- Schedule(cinema, title, time).

Create View Movie AS

```sql
[S1(title, dir, year)]
```

select title, dir, year, NULL
from S1
union

```sql
[S2(title, dir, genre)]
```

select title, dir, NULL, genre
from S2

Global-as-View: Example 3

Mediated schema:
- Movie(title, dir, year, genre),
- Schedule(cinema, title, time).

Source S4: S4(cinema, genre)
Create View Movie AS

```sql
select NULL, NULL, NULL, genre
from S4
```

Create View Schedule AS

```sql
select cinema, NULL, NULL
from S4.
```

*But what if we want to find which cinemas are playing comedies?*
**Global-as-View Summary**

- Query reformulation boils down to view unfolding.
- Very easy conceptually.
- Can build hierarchies of mediated schemas.
- Adding sources is hard. Need to consider all other sources that are available.

**Local-as-View: example 1**

Mediated schema:
- Movie(title, dir, year, genre).
- Schedule(cinema, title, time).

Create Source S1 AS
select * from Movie

Create Source S3 AS [S3(title, dir)]
select title, dir from Movie

Create Source S5 AS
select title, dir, year from Movie
where year > 1960 AND genre="Comedy"

**Local-as-View: Example 2**

Mediated schema:
- Movie(title, dir, year, genre).
- Schedule(cinema, title, time).

Source S4: S4(cinema, genre)

Create Source S4
select cinema, genre from Movie m, Schedule s
where m.title=s.title

Now if we want to find which cinemas are playing comedies, there is hope!

**Local-as-View Summary**

- Very flexible. You have the power of the entire query language to define the contents of the source.
- Hence, can easily distinguish between contents of closely related sources.
- Adding sources is easy: they're independent of each other.
- Query reformulation: answering queries using views!

**The General Problem**

- Given a set of views V1,…..Vn, and a query Q, can we answer Q using only the answers to V1,…..Vn?
- Many, many papers on this problem.
- Great survey on the topic: (Halevy, 2001).

**Local Completeness Information**

- If sources are incomplete, we need to look at each one of them.
- Often, sources are locally complete.
- Movie(title, director, year) complete for years after 1960, or for American directors.
- Question: given a set of local completeness statements, is a query Q’ a complete answer to Q?
Example

- Movie(title, director, year) (complete after 1960).
- Show(title, theater, city, hour)
- Query: find movies (and directors) playing in Seattle:
  
  ```
  Select m.title, m.director 
  From Movie m, Show s 
  Where m.title=s.title AND city="Seattle"
  ```
- Complete or not?

Example #2

- Movie(title, director, year), Oscar(title, year)
- Query: find directors whose movies won Oscars after 1965:
  
  ```
  select m.director 
  from Movie m, Oscar o 
  where m.title=o.title AND m.year=o.year 
  AND o.year > 1965.
  ```
- Complete or not?

Query Optimization

- Very related to query reformulation!
- Goal of the optimizer: find a physical plan with minimal cost.
- Key components in optimization:
  - Search space of plans
  - Search strategy
  - Cost model

Optimization in Distributed DBMS

- A distributed database (2-minute tutorial):
  - Data is distributed over multiple nodes, but is uniform.
  - Query execution can be distributed to sites.
  - Communication costs are significant.
- Consequences for optimization:
  - Optimizer needs to decide locality
  - Need to exploit independent parallelism.
  - Need operators that reduce communication costs (semi-joins).

DDBMS vs. Data Integration

- In a DDBMS, data is distributed over a set of uniform sites with precise rules.
- In a data integration context:
  - Data sources may provide only limited access patterns to the data.
  - Data sources may have additional query capabilities.
  - Cost of answering queries at sources unknown.
  - Statistics about data unknown.
  - Transfer rates unpredictable.

Modeling Source Capabilities

- Negative capabilities:
  - A web site may require certain inputs (in an HTML form).
  - Need to consider only valid query execution plans.
- Positive capabilities:
  - A source may be an ODBC compliant system.
  - Need to decide placement of operations according to capabilities.
- Problem: how to describe and exploit source capabilities.
Example #1: Access Patterns

Mediated schema relation: Cites(paper1, paper2)

Create Source S1 as
select *
from Cites
given paper1
Create Source S2 as
select paper1
from Cites

Query: select paper1 from Cites where paper2="Hal00"

Example #1: Continued

Create Source S1 as
select *
from Cites
given paper1
Create Source S2 as
select paper1
from Cites

Select p1
From S1, S2
Where S2.paper1=S1.paper1 AND S1.paper2="Hal00"

Example #2: Access Patterns

Create Source S1 as
select *
from Cites
given paper1
Create Source S2 as
select paperID
from UW-Papers
Create Source S3 as
select paperID
from AwardPapers
given paperID

Query: select * from AwardPapers

Example #2: Solutions

• Can’t go directly to S3 because it requires a binding.
• Can go to S1, get UW papers, and check if they’re in S3.
• Can go to S1, get UW papers, feed them into S2, and feed the results into S3.
• Can go to S1, feed results into S2, feed results into S2 again, and then feed results into S3.
• Strictly speaking, we can’t a priori decide when to stop.
• Need recursive query processing.

Handling Positive Capabilities

• Characterizing positive capabilities:
  – Schema independent (e.g., can always perform joins, selections).
  – Schema dependent: can join R and S, but not T.
  – Given a query, tells you whether it can be handled.
• Key issue: how do you search for plans?
• Garlic approach (IBM): Given a query, STAR rules determine which subqueries are executable by the sources. Then proceed bottom-up as in System-R.

Matching Objects Across Sources

• How do I know that A. Halevy in source 1 is the same as Alon Halevy in source 2?
• If there are uniform keys across sources, no problem.
• If not:
  – Domain specific solutions (e.g., maybe look at the address, ssn).
  – Use Information retrieval techniques (Cohen, 98). Judge similarity as you would between documents.
  – Use concordance tables. These are time-consuming to build, but you can then sell them for lots of money.
Optimization and Execution

- **Problem:**
  - Few and unreliable statistics about the data.
  - Unexpected (possibly bursty) network transfer rates.
  - Generally, unpredictable environment.
- **General solution:** (research area)
  - Adaptive query processing.
  - Interleave optimization and execution. As you get to know more about your data, you can improve your plan.

---

Double Pipelined Join (Tukwila)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hash Join</th>
<th>Double Pipelined Hash Join</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Partially pipelined: no output until inner read</td>
<td>4 Outputs data immediately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Asymmetric (inner vs. outer) — optimization requires source behavior knowledge</td>
<td>4 Symmetric — requires less source knowledge to optimize</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Tukwila Data Integration System

Novel components:
- Event handler
- Optimization-execution loop

---

Piazza: A Peer-Data Management System

**Goal:** To enable users to share data across local or wide area networks in an ad-hoc, highly dynamic distributed architecture.

- Peers share data, mediated views.
- Peers act as both clients and servers.
- Rich semantic relationships between peers.
- Ad-hoc collaborations (peers join and leave at will).

---

Extending the Vision to Data Sharing

---

The Structure Mapping Problem

- **Types of structures:**
  - Database schemas, XML DTDs, ontologies, …,
- **Input:**
  - Two (or more) structures, $S_1$ and $S_2$
  - (perhaps) Data instances for $S_1$ and $S_2$
- **Background knowledge**
- **Output:**
  - A mapping between $S_1$ and $S_2$
    - Should enable translating between data instances.
Semantic Mappings between Schemas

- Source schemas = XML DTDs

Why Matching is Difficult

- Structures represent same entity differently
  - different names => same entity:
    - area & address => location
  - same names => different entities:
    - area => location or square feet
- Intended semantics is typically subjective!
  - IBM Almaden Lab = IBM?
- Schema, data and rules never fully capture semantics!
  - not adequately documented, certainly not for machine consumption.
  - Often hard for humans (committees are formed!)

Desiderata from Proposed Solutions

- Accuracy, efficiency, ease of use.
- Realistic expectations:
  - Unlikely to be fully automated. Need user in the loop.
  - Some notion of semantics for mappings.
- Extensibility:
  - Solution should exploit additional background knowledge.
  - “Memory”, knowledge reuse:
    - System should exploit previous manual or automatically generated matchings.
    - Key idea behind LSD

Learning for Mapping

- Context: generating semantic mappings between a mediated schema and a large set of data source schemas.
- Key idea: generate the first mappings manually, and learn from them to generate the rest.
- Technique: multi-strategy learning (extensible!)
- L(earning) S(ource) D(escriptions) [SIGMOD 2001].

Data Integration (a simple PDMS)

Find houses with four bathrooms priced under $500,000

Query reformulation and optimization

Learning from the Manual Mappings

- If “fantastic” & “great” occur frequently in data instances => description
- If “office” occurs in the name => office-phone

Applications: WWW, enterprises, science projects
Techniques: virtual data integration, warehousing, custom code.
Multi-Strategy Learning

- Use a set of base learners:
  - Name learner, Naïve Bayes, Whirl, XML learner
- And a set of recognizers:
  - County name, zip code, phone numbers.
- Each base learner produces a prediction weighted by confidence score.
- Combine base learners with a meta-learner, using stacking.

The Semantic Web

- How does it relate to data integration?
- How are we going to do it?
- Why should we do it? Do we need a killer app or is the semantic web a killer app?