

## Outline for the Evening

- DNA
- Approximate String Matching
- Approximate String Searching
- Dynamic Progamming
- Longest Common Subsequence
- DNA reconstruction
- Contiguous Ordering and PQ-trees


## Approximate Matching

- Two DNA sequences approximately match if one can be transformed into the other by a short sequence of replacements and insertions of gaps.
- Example:
- $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{AGCATG}$
- $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{AGATCGT}$
- Approximate matching
- is a gap
$-S^{\prime}=A G--C A T G$
$-T^{\prime}=A G A T C G T-$


## DNA

- DNA is a large molecule that can be abstractly defined as a sequence of symbols from the set, A, C, G, T, called nucleotides.
- The human genome has about 3 billion nucleotides.
- A huge percentage of the genome is shared by all humans.
- Some of the variation makes us different.
- Some of the variation is inconsequential.
- The human genome is still being discovered.


## Applications of Approximate Matching

- DNA string alignment.
- Given two similar DNA sequences find the best way to align them to the same length.
- DNA database searching.
- Find DNA sequences that are similar to the query.
- Approximate text matching for searching.
- agrep in unix
- Spell checking
- Find the words that most closely match the misspelled word.


## Scoring an Approximate Matching

- We need a way of scoring the quality of an approximate matching.
- A scoring function is a mapping $\sigma$ from $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T},-\}^{2}$ to integers.
- The quantity $\sigma(x, y)$ is the score of a pair of symbols, x and y .
- Example:
- $\sigma(x, y)=+2$ if $x=y$ and $x$ in $\{A, C, G, T\}$
- $\sigma(x, y)=-1$ otherwise


## Approximate String Matching Problem

- Input: Two strings S and T in an alphabet $\Sigma$ and a scoring function $\sigma$.
- Output: Two strings S' and T' in the alphabet $\Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma$ union $\{-\}$ with the properties:
$-S=S$ ' with the -'s removed.
$-\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}$ ' with the -'s removed.
$-\left|S^{\prime}\right|=\left|T^{\prime}\right|$
- The score $\sum_{i=1}^{\left|S^{\prime}\right|} \sigma\left(S^{\prime}[i], \mathrm{T}^{\prime}[i]\right)$ is maximized.


## Dynamic Programming for Approximate String Matching

- Assume $S$ has length $m$ and $T$ has length $n$.
- For all $i$ and $j, 0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, we find the maximum score for the sequences $\mathrm{S}[1 . . \mathrm{i}]$ and T[1..j].
- The "dynamic program" fills in a $(m+1) x(n+1)$ matrix $M$ in increasing order of $i$ and $j$ with these maximum values.
- Once the dynamic program has completed we can recover the optimal string S' and T' from the matrix M .


## Scoring Example

- Example:
- $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}=\mathrm{A}$ G - - CATG
$-\mathrm{T}^{\prime}=\mathrm{AGATCGT}-$
- Score $=4 \times 2+4 \times(-1)=4$
- Is this the best match between the two strings with this scoring function?
- $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{AGCATG}$
$-\mathrm{T}=$ AGATCGT


## Algorithms for Approximate String Matching

- $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{mn})$ time and storage algorithm (using dynamic programming) invented by Needleman and Wunch, 1970.
- Fischer and Paterson, 1974, invented a very similar algorithm for computing the minimum edit distance between two strings.


## Max Score Recurrence

- Define $M[i, j]=$ maximum score for a match between $\mathrm{S}[1 . . \mathrm{i}]$ and $\mathrm{T}[1 . . \mathrm{j}]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M[i, 0]= \sum_{k=1}^{i} \sigma(S[k],-) \quad \text { match of } S[1 . . i] \text { with empty string } \\
& M[0, j]= \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sigma(-, T[k]) \quad \text { match of } T[1 . . j] \text { with empty string } \\
& M[i, j]= \max \{ \\
& M[i-1, j-1]+\sigma(S[i], T[j]), \\
& M[i-1, j]+\sigma(S[i],-), \\
&M[i, j-1]+\sigma(-, T[j])\} \\
& \text { Lecture 9 - Dynamic Programming, PQ-trees }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dynamic Program Initialization

```
S = AGCATG }\quadl
```



Dynamic Program Example (1)

| $\mathrm{S}=$ AGCATG | scoring function |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}=$ AGATCGT | +2 for exact match |
|  | -1 otherwise |

$\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ A G A T C G T

0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 1 | $A$ | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $G$ | -2 |


| 3 | C | -3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

4 A -4


The Dynamic Programming Pattern

$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}+2$ if $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{t}$
=a-1 otherwise
$\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{c}-1$
$v=b-1$
$x=\max (d, h, v)$

Dynamic Program Example (2)

| $S=$ AGCATG | scoring function |
| :--- | :--- |
| $T=$ AGATCGT | +2 for exact match |
|  | -1 otherwise |

$\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ A G A T C G T


Dynamic Program Example (3)

| $\mathrm{S}=$ AGCATG | scoring function |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}=$ AGATCGT | +2 for exact match |
|  | -1 otherwise |

$\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$
A G A T C G T

| 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 A | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 G | -2 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 C | -3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 A | -4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 G | -6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Dynamic Program Example (4)

| $\mathrm{S}=$ AGCATG | scoring function |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}=$ AGATCGT | +2 for exact match |
|  | -1 otherwise |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6\end{array}$
A GA T C G T

$$
\begin{array}{cc|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
1 & A & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -4 \\
2 & G & -2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\cline { 3 - 8 } & & -3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 2
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l}
4 & \mathrm{~A} & -4 & -1 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\
5 & \mathrm{~T} & -5 & -2 & 1 & 4 & 7 & 6 & 5 & \\
\cline { 2 - 8 } & & -6 & -3 & 0 & 3 & 6 & 6 & &
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \\
& 6
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline-6 & -3 & 0 & 3 & 6 & 6 & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Dynamic Program Example (5)

$\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$



| 5 | $T$ | -5 | -2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ Max score for any



## Dynamic Programming Order

| By row |
| :--- |
| for $i=1$ to m do |
| for $j=1$ to $n$ do |
| $M[i, j]:=\ldots$ |

By column
for $j=1$ to
for $j=1$ to $n$ do
for $i=1$ to $m$ do
$\mathrm{M}[i, \mathrm{j}]:=$


Which order is best?


Computing the Matching Graph (1)
$\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$


Computing the Matching Graph (3)

|  | $\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ & A & G & A & T & C & G & T \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -7 |
| 1 A | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 |
|  | -2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 3 C | -3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |
| 4 A | -4 | -1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |
| 5 T | -5 | -2 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |
| 6 G | -6 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 |  |  |

Computing the Matching Graph

|  | $\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ & A & G & A & T & C & G & T \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -7 |
| 1 A | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 |
| 2 G | -2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 3 C | -3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 4 A | -4 | -1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 5 T | -5 | -2 | 1 | 4 | 7 |  | 5 | 5 |
| 6 G | -6 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 |  | -7 |

## Algorithm to find Matching

- Follow any path in the matching graph starting at ( $m, n$ ).
- The path will end up at $(0,0)$.
- Output each pair ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) visited to make a list of pairs forming a matching path.

Computing the Matching Path


| Algorithm to find Matching |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Follow any path in the matching graph |  |
| starting at ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ ). |  |
| - The path will end up at $(0,0)$. |  |
| - Output each pair ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ visited to make a list of |  |
| pairs forming a matching path. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Computing the Match |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $p=$ length of the matching path $P$ $\mathrm{i}:=1$; | P |
| $\mathrm{j}:=1$; | 0 (0,0) |
| for $k=1$ to $p$ do | $1(1,1)$ |
| if $P[k]$.first $=P[k-1]$.first then | $2(2,2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}[\mathrm{k}]:=-$; | 3 (3,2) |
| else | 4 (4,3) |
| $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}[\mathrm{k}]:=\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{i}]$; | $5(5,4)$ |
| $\mathrm{i}:=\mathrm{i}+1$; | 6 (5,5) |
| if $P[k]$.second $=P[k-1]$.second then | 7 (6,6) |
| T'[k] := - | $8(6,7)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { else } \\ & \quad T^{\prime}[k]:=T[j] ; \\ & j:=j+1 ; \end{aligned}$ |  |
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## Creating the Matching

| $P$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |
|  | $(0,0)$ |
| 1 | $(1,1)$ |
| 2 | $(2,2)$ |
| 3 | $(3,2)$ |
| 4 | $(4,3)$ |
| 5 | $(5,4)$ |
| 6 | $(5,5)$ |
| 7 | $(6,6)$ |
| 8 | $(6,7)$ |

$\quad \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ S & A & G & C & A & T & G \\ T & A & G & A & T & C & G\end{array}$

$S^{\prime}=A$
$T^{\prime}=A$

## Example of Multiple Paths

|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 $T$ | Multiple matching with same score <br> - A C G C T G C A T G - T - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  | -2 | -3 | -4 | 4 | -5 |  |  |
| 1 A | ${ }_{-1}$ | -1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A C G C T G - } \\ & -\mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2 C | -2 | 1. | 0 | 0 | -1 |  | -2 |  |  |
| 3 G | -3 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A C G C T G - } \\ & -- \text { C A T G } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4 C | -4 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 5 T | -5 | -2 | -2 | 1. | 0 | 0 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} \text { score } & =3 \times 2+4 \times(-1) \\ & =2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6 G | -6 | -3 | -3 | 0 |  |  | -2 |  |  |
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## Exercise

- Find an optimal approximate matching for - A G T TC
- ACTATC

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | 6 |
| 1 A | -1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 G | -2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 T | -3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 T | -4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 C | -5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Search Algorithm

- We change the previous dynamic program slightly.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M[i, 0]=\sum_{k=1} \sigma(Q[k],-) \\
& M[0, j]=0 \quad \text { We don't care where the match begins in } T \\
& M[i, j]=\max \{ \\
& M[i-1, j-1]+\sigma(Q[i], T[j]) \text {, } \\
& M[i-1, j]+\sigma(Q[i],-), \\
& M[i, j-1]+\sigma(-, T[j])\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose all k such that $\mathrm{M}[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}] \geq \mathrm{r}$ where m is the length of Q .

## Recovering the Matchings



| Q | AGTA | Q | A--GTA- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T | AG-A 1-3 | T | ATCGTAG $3-9$ |
| Q | A--GTA | Q | AGTA |
| T | ATCGTA $3-8$ | T | AGT- 8-10 |

## FASTA and BLAST

- Two of best known approximate search algorithms for DNA database searching
- Both use the idea of exclusion search
- Parameter k for number of possible errors
- Exact search on $k+1$ substrings. At least one must succeed
$k=4 \quad$ search string

1. Find all the exact matches for at least one of the strings
2. For each such match do an approximate matching

## Dynamic Programming

- A strategy for designing algorithms.
- A technique, not an algorithm.
- The word "programming" is historical and predates computer programming.
- Ideal when the problem breaks down into recurring small sub-problems.


## LCS Algorithm

- Brute-force algorithm: $2^{m}$ subsequences of $x$ each takes $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ to search in $\mathrm{y}: \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{m}\right)$
- We can do better: for now, let's only worry about the problem of finding the length of the LCS
- When finished we will see how to backtrack from this solution back to the actual LCS.
- Notice LCS problem has optimal substructure
- Subproblems: LCS of pairs of prefixes of $x$ and $y$


## Finding LCS Length

- Define $c[i, j]$ to be the length of the LCS of $X_{i}=x[1 . . i]$ and $Y_{j}=y[1 . . j]$
- What is the length of LCS of x and y ? $\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}]$
- Theorem:
$c[i, j]= \begin{cases}c[i-1, j-1]+1 & \text { if } x[i]=y[j], \\ \max (c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$


## LCS Recurrence

$$
c[i, j]= \begin{cases}c[i-1, j-1]+1 & \text { if } x[i]=y[j], \\ \max (c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof: When calculating c[i,j], there are two cases to consider:

- First case: $x[i]=y[j]$ : one more symbol in strings $X$ and $Y$ matches, so the length of LCS $X_{i}$ and $Y_{j}$ equals to the length of LCS of smaller strings $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$, plus 1 .


## LCS recursive solution

$$
c[i, j]= \begin{cases}c[i-1, j-1]+1 & \text { if } x[i]=y[j], \\ \max (c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Why not just take the length of $\operatorname{LCS}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}-1}\right)$ ?
Answer: Let $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{abc} \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{db}$
$c[3,2]=\max (c[3,1], c[2,2])=\max (0,1)=1$
$c[3,2] \neq c[2,1]=0$

## Exercise: Create a Dynamic Program

- Design a dynamic program for knapsack problem.
- Input: $\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{s}_{2}, \mathrm{c}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{S}$
- Output: find a subset $X$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \in X} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{S} \text { and } \sum_{i \in X} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { is maximized }
$$

- Hint: For $\mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{S}$ recursively define

$$
c(i, k)=\max \left\{\sum_{i \in X} c_{j}: X \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, i\} \text { and } \sum_{j \in X} s_{j}=k\right\}
$$

## DNA Sequence Reconstruction

- DNA can only be sequenced in relatively small pieces, up to about 1,000 nucleotides.
- By chemistry a much longer DNA sequence can be broken up into overlapping sequences called clones. Clones are 10's of thousands of nucleotides long.



## Tagging the Clones

- By chemistry the clones can be tagged by identifying a region of the DNA uniquely.

- Each clone is then tagged correspondingly.


## Problem to Solve

- Given a set of tagged clones, find a consistent ordering of the tags that determines a possible ordering of the DNA molecule.


## input

clone tag

1. $\{E, G\}$

| 2. | $\{\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}\}$ | output |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 3. | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{I}\}$ | EGFHAIBDC |
| 4. | $\{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ | 1 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 3. } & \{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{I}\} \\ \text { 4. } & \{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\} \\ \text { 5. } & \{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}\}\end{array}$
6. $\{A, H, I\}$
7. $\{B, D\}$
8. $\{\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}\}$
9. $\{A, B, D, I\}$
10. $\{C, D\}$
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## Contiguous Ordering Solutions



## Linear Time Algorithm

- Booth and Lueker, 1976, designed an algorithm that runs in time $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{s})$.
$-n$ is the size of the universe, $m$ is the number of sets, and s is the sum of the sizes of the sets.
- It requires a novel data structure called the $P Q$ tree that represents a set of orderings.
- PQ trees can also be used to test whether an undirected graph is planar.




## Orderings Defined by a PQ Tree

- Given a PQ tree T the orderings defined by T is



## PQ Tree Solution for the Contiguous Ordering Problem

- Input: A universe $U$ and a set $S=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{m}\right\}$ of subsets of $U$.
- Output: A PQ tree T with leaves $U$ with the property that $\mathrm{PQ}(\mathrm{T})$ is the set of all orderings of $U$ where each set in $S$ is contiguous in the ordering.


## PQ Tree Restriction

## High Level PQ tree Algorithm

- Input is $U=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$, and subsets $S_{1}, S_{2}$, ..., $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of U .
- Initialization:
$-T=P$ node with children $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$
- Calculate m restrictions:
$-\operatorname{for} \mathrm{j}=1$ to m do
$\mathrm{T}:=$ Restrict( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
- At the end of iteration k :
$-\mathrm{PQ}(\mathrm{T})=$ the set of ordering of $U$ where each set $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, $\mathrm{S}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are contiguous.


## Marking Nodes

- Given a set $S$ and $P Q$ tree $T$ we can mark nodes either full or partial.
- A leaf is full if it is a member of $S$.
- A node is full if all its children are full.
- A node is partial if either it has both full and nonfull children or it has a partial child.
- A node is doubly partial if it has two partial children.



## Restrict(T,S)

- Mark the full and partial nodes from the bottom up.
- In the process the marked leaves become contiguous.
- Locate the key node.
- Deepest node with the property that all the full leaves are descendents of the node.
- Restrict the key node.
- In the process of restricting the key node we will have to recursively direct partial nodes.
- Directing a node returns a sequence of nodes.


## Restricting a P Node with Partial Children
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Restricting a P node with no Partial Children
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## Exercise

- Restrict with to make $\{A, B, D, E, G\}$ contiguous



## Linear Number of Nodes Processed

- Let $n$ be the size of the universe, $m$ the number of sets, and $s$ the sum of the sizes of the sets.
- Number of full nodes processed $\leq 2 \mathrm{~s}$.
- Number of key nodes processed $=m$.
- Number of partial nodes with partial children processed below the key node $\leq m+n$.
- Number of partial nodes with no partial children $\leq 2 \mathrm{~m}$.
- Number of partial nodes processed above the key node $\leq m+n$.

Number of Processed Nodes Amortized


Partials with Partial Children Below the Key Node

- Amortized complexity argument.
- Consider the quantities:
- $q$ = number of $Q$ nodes, $\mathrm{cp}=$ number of children of P nodes.
- We examine the quantity $x=q+c p$
$-x$ is initially $n$ and never negative.
- Each restrict of a key node increases $x$ by at most 1 .
- Each direct of a partial node with a partial child decreases x by at least 1 .
- Since there are $m$ restricts of a key node then there are most $n+m$ directs of partials with partial children.
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Restricting a P node with no Partial Children
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Restricting a P Node with Partial Children


## PQ Tree Notes

- In algorithmic design only a linear number of nodes are ever processed.
- Designing the data structures to make the linear time processing a reality is very tricky.
- PQ trees solve the idealized DNA ordering problem.
- In reality, because of errors, the DNA ordering problem is NP-hard and other techniques are used.

Example of Data Structure Trick

- Linking the children of a Q node
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