CSE P505, Autumn 2016, Homework 2 Due: Wednesday October 26, 11:00PM

- This assignment emphasizes implementing programming languages by interpretation or translation.
- Understand the course policies on academic integrity (see the syllabus) and challenge problems.
- Modify imp.ml and logo.ml, available on the course website, to produce your solution.
- Do *not* use mutation.
- To turn in your solution, follow the "Turn-in" link on the course website.
- If you do the challenge problems, put them in imp2.ml or logo2.ml as appropriate.
- The language for problem 2 is described in a separate document, logo.pdf, on the course website.
- 1. Modify the large-step IMP interpreter (provided in lecture 2; see imp.ml) to support saving and restoring entire heaps. In particular, implement two new statement forms:
 - saveheap str is like assignment except instead of putting an integer in str, it puts the (entire) current heap.
 - restoreheap str takes the heap stored in str and makes it the current heap.

A heap variable can store an int or a heap, so we need these "cheating rules":

- If x holds a heap, then the expression x evaluates to 0.
- If x holds an int, then the statement restoreheap x has no effect (it is like a skip).

Hints:

- So that heap elements can hold integers or other heaps, change the type heap to be mutually recursive with a new datatype you define. To define mutually recursive types in OCaml, use type t1 = ... and t2 =
- This problem does not require much programming. Add a few lines and change a few lines.
- 2. You will implement three semantics for the silly Logo language described in logo.pdf by completing the code skeletons in logo.ml. For what it's worth, the file containing the sample solution is about 135 lines.
 - (a) (Warmup)
 - i. Complete the OCaml type move such that move list is a good representation of a Logo program.
 - ii. Complete the OCaml function makePoly: int->float->move. It takes a *side-count* and a *side-length* and returns a move that makes the regular polygon with *side-count* sides and *side-length* side lengths. More precisely, the move (which should use a loop) does *side-count* forward and turn operations such that it visits each vertex of a regular polygon that starts at the current state and has one side "straight ahead from the current direction". The final state is the same as the start state (except for rounding errors).
 - iii. Complete the OCaml function scale: float -> move list -> move list. It takes a scaling factor and a Logo program and returns a Logo program that is just like its input except all forward moves anywhere in the program have lengths multiplied by the scaling factor.

(b) Complete the OCaml function interpLarge: move list -> (float * float) list, a large-step interpreter for Logo. It returns the list of places visited in the order they were visited. A home or forward operation always adds to this list; a turn operation never does.

Hints:

- Just complete the recursive helper function loop, which should produce the places-visited list in reverse order. loop takes the current move-list, the current state, and the reversed list of places already visited.
- A program starting with a for-loop with i > 0 can be evaluated by evaluating its body appended to the program where i is decremented.
- (c) Complete the OCaml function: interpSmall: move list -> (float * float) list, a small-step interpreter for Logo, by completing its helper functions loop and

interpSmallStep takes a move list and a current state (x then y then dir) and returns a new move list and new state by "taking one small step". It raises an exception if passed the empty move list. loop uses interpSmallStep to build the places-visited list in reverse order by checking if the returned state has a different x or y than the passed state (add to the places-visited list if and only if x or y changes).

Hints:

- Use the same "trick" for for-loops as in your large-step interpreter.
- interpSmallStep is not recursive.
- (d) In an OCaml *comment*, explain a reason that your small-step and large-step interpreters are *not* equivalent, i.e., the same Logo program may produce slightly different traces with the two interpreters. Include at least one example program in your explanation. **Hint:** Think of useless moves.
- (e) Complete the OCaml function

(* i.e., move list -> (float -> float -> float -> (float * float) list * float) *) a translational semantics for Logo (like we did for IMP). The returned function takes a program state and returns a list of places visited and the d in the resulting state. The returned function may use OCaml functions, lists, and arithmetic, but not the move type.

Hints:

- The empty move-list becomes a function that ignores two of its three arguments.
- A move-list starting with a non-loop becomes a function that "does the first move", passes the "new state" to the function that is the translation of the list tail, and then returns a (possibly-longer) trace and the tail's computed direction. We do *not* recommend building the trace in reverse order.
- For move-lists starting with for-loops, you should use a recursive OCaml function that takes an integer i and returns a function of type (float->float->float -> (float*float) list * float).
 If i = 0, it just uses the translation of the tail of the list, else it "composes" the translation of the loop-body with the recursive function applied to i 1.
 This "composing" (which we recommend putting in a helper function) is a little unusual: We
 - This "composing" (which we recommend putting in a helper function) is a little unusual: We need a function that takes two functions f1 and f2 and returns a new function that is the same as "running f1 and then f2". That means:
 - i. Running f2 using the direction produced by f1 and the last position in f1's trace
 - ii. Appending the traces
 - iii. Returning the direction that f2 returns

3. (Challenge Problems)

- (a) In a new file, further extend the large-step IMP interpreter to support the statement pop str. The values stored to str are conceptually in a stack and pop removes the shallowest stack element (so subsequent variable accesses will see the next stack element). In an OCaml comment, describe any corner cases not well explained by this definition and how you resolve them.
- (b) Write canonicalize: heap \rightarrow heap such that if heaps h_1 and h_2 are indistinguishable via lookups, updates, restores, and pops, then the results of calling canonicalize on them are structurally equivalent (OCaml's = operator returns true) and indistinguishable from h_1 and h_2 .
- (c) Hook any of your Logo implementations up to a graphics library so that you can draw traces produced by a program. Optionally, add a "set-color" primitive and/or a "set-thickness" primitive to the Logo language so that you can draw more interesting pictures.