



CSE P 501 – Compilers

Implementing ASTs (in Java)

Hal Perkins
Winter 2008



Agenda

- Representing ASTs as Java objects
- Parser actions
- Operations on ASTs
 - Modularity and encapsulation
- Visitor pattern

- This is a general sketch of the ideas – more details and sample code online for MiniJava



Review: ASTs

- An Abstract Syntax Tree captures the essential structure of the program, without the extra concrete grammar details needed to guide the parser
- AST:
- Example:

```
while ( n > 0 ) {  
    n = n - 1;  
}
```



Representation in Java

- Basic idea is simple: use small classes as records (or structs) to represent nodes in the AST
 - Simple data structures, not too smart
- But also use a bit of inheritance so we can treat related nodes polymorphically



AST Nodes - Sketch

```
// Base class of AST node hierarchy
public abstract class ASTNode {
    // constructors (for convenience)

    ...
    // operations

    ...
    // string representation
    public abstract String toString() ;
    // etc.
}
```

- Note: In a production compiler, we would put the node classes into a separate Java package. Use your own judgment for your project.



Some Statement Nodes

```
// Base class for all statements
public abstract class StmtNode extends ASTNode { ... }
// while (exp) stmt
public class WhileNode extends StmtNode {
    public ExpNode exp;
    public StmtNode stmt;
    public WhileNode(ExpNode exp, StmtNode stmt) {
        this.exp = exp; this.stmt = stmt;
    }
    public String toString() {
        return "While(" + exp + ") " + stmt;
    }
}
```

(Note on toString: most of the time we'll want to print the tree in a separate traversal, so this is mostly useful for limited debugging)



More Statement Nodes

```
// if (exp) stmt [else stmt]
public class IfNode extends StmtNode {
    public ExpNode exp;
    public StmtNode thenStmt, elseStmt;
    public IfNode(ExpNode exp, StmtNode thenStmt, StmtNode elseStmt) {
        this.exp=exp; this.thenStmt=thenStmt;this.elseStmt=elseStmt;
    }
    public IfNode(ExpNode exp, StmtNode thenStmt) {
        this(exp, thenStmt, null);
    }
    public String toString() { ... }
}
```



Java Style Note (1)

- Some “good housekeeping” reminders – use your own judgement about what to use in your project
 - Normally, any significant Java type should be defined by an interface

```
interface ASTNode { ... }
```
 - If there are at least some methods that will be used by most implementations of the interface, provide a default implementation

```
public class ASTNodeImpl { ... }
```
 - Similarly for subclasses and subinterfaces

```
interface Statement implements ASTNode { ... }
```

```
public class StatementIMPL implements Statement { ... }
```

or

```
public class StatementIMPL extends ASTNodeIMPL  
    implements Statement { ... }
```




Java Style Note (2)

- Method parameters and variables should use the interface names as types for maximum flexibility wherever possible
- Implementations of nodes can either extend some other class or directly implement an interface as appropriate
- Specific kinds of nodes that will not be extended can be defined directly – no interface needed
- These slides use inheritance only (historical laziness and it's more compact)



Expressions

```
// Base class for all expressions
public abstract class ExpNode extends ASTNode { ... }
// exp1 op exp2
public class BinExp extends ExpNode {
    public ExpNode exp1, exp2;    // operands
    public int op;                // operator (lexical token)
    public BinExp(Token op, ExpNode exp1, ExpNode exp2) {
        this.op = op; this.exp1 = exp1; this.exp2 = exp2;
    }
    public String toString() {
        ...
    }
}
```



More Expressions

```
// Method call: id(arguments)
public class MethodExp extends ExpNode {
    public ExpNode id;    // method
    public List args;    // list of argument expressions
    public BinExp(ExpNode id, List args) {
        this.id = id; this.args = args;
    }
    public String toString() {
        ...
    }
}
```



&c

- These examples are meant to give you some ideas, not necessarily to be used literally
 - E.g., you might find it much better to have a specific AST node for “argument list” that encapsulates the List of arguments
- You’ll also need nodes for class and method declarations, parameter lists, and so forth
 - Starter code on the [web](#) for MiniJava



Position Information in Nodes

- To produce useful error messages, it's helpful to record the source program location corresponding to a node in that node
 - Most scanner/parser generators have a hook for this, usually storing source position information in tokens
 - Would be nice in our projects, but not required (i.e., get the parser/AST construction working first)



AST Generation

- Idea: each time the parser recognizes a complete production, it produces as its result an AST node (with links to the subtrees that are the components of the production in its instance variables)
- When we finish parsing, the result of the goal symbol is the complete AST for the program

Example: Recursive-Descent AST Generation

```
// parse while (exp) stmt
WhileNode whileStmt() {
    // skip "while ("
    getNextToken();
    getNextToken();

    // parse exp
    ExpNode condition = exp();
    ...
}
```

```
// skip ")"
getNextToken();

// parse stmt
StmtNode body = stmt();

// return AST node for while
return
    new WhileNode
        (condition, body);
}
```



AST Generation in YACC/CUP

- A result type can be specified for each item in the grammar specification
- Each parser rule can be annotated with a semantic action, which is just a piece of Java code that returns a value of the result type
 - The semantic action is executed when the rule is reduced



YACC/CUP Parser Specification

■ Specification

non terminal StmtNode stmt, whileStmt;

non terminal ExpNode exp;

...

stmt ::= ...

| WHILE LPAREN exp:e RPAREN stmt:s

{: RESULT = new WhileNode(e,s); :}

;



ANTLR/JavaCC/others

- Integrated tools like these provide tools to generate syntax trees automatically
 - Advantage: saves work, don't need to define AST classes and write semantic actions
 - Disadvantage: generated trees might not have the right level of abstraction for what you want to do



Operations on ASTs

- Once we have the AST, we may want to
 - Print a readable dump of the tree (pretty printing)
 - Do static semantic analysis
 - Type checking
 - Verify that things are declared and initialized properly
 - Etc. etc. etc. etc.
 - Perform optimizing transformations on the tree
 - Generate code from the tree, or
 - Generate another IR from the tree for further processing (often flatten to a linear IR)



Where do the Operations Go?

- Pure “object-oriented” style
 - Really smart AST nodes
 - Each node knows how to perform every operation on itself

```
public class WhileNode extends StmtNode {  
    public WhileNode(...);  
    public typeCheck(...);  
    public StrengthReductionOptimize(...);  
    public generateCode(...);  
    public prettyPrint(...);  
    ...  
}
```



Critique

- This is nicely encapsulated – all details about a WhileNode are hidden in that class
- But it is poor modularity
- What happens if we want to add a new Optimize operation?
 - Have to open up every node class
- Furthermore, it means that the details of any particular operation (optimization, type checking) are scattered across the node classes



Modularity Issues

- Smart nodes make sense if the set of operations is relatively fixed, but we expect to need flexibility to add new kinds of nodes
- Example: graphics system
 - Operations: draw, move, iconify, highlight
 - Objects: textbox, scrollbar, canvas, menu, dialog box, plus new objects defined as the system evolves



Modularity in a Compiler

- Abstract syntax does not change frequently over time
 - \therefore Kinds of nodes are relatively fixed
- As a compiler evolves, it is common to modify or add operations on the AST nodes
 - Want to modularize each operation (type check, optimize, code gen) so its components are together
 - Want to avoid having to change node classes when we modify or add an operation on the tree

Two Views of Modularity

	Type check	Optimize	Generate x86	Flatten	Print
IDENT	X	X	X	X	X
exp	X	X	X	X	X
while	X	X	X	X	X
if	X	X	X	X	X
Binop	X	X	X	X	X
...					

	draw	move	iconify	highlight	transmogrify
circle	X	X	X	X	X
text	X	X	X	X	X
canvas	X	X	X	X	X
scroll	X	X	X	X	X
dialog	X	X	X	X	X
...					



Visitor Pattern

- Idea: Package each operation in a separate class
 - One method for each AST node kind
- Create one instance of this **visitor** class
 - Sometimes called a “function object”
- Include a generic “accept visitor” method in every node class
- To perform the operation, pass the “visitor object” around the AST during a traversal
 - This object contains separate methods to process each AST node type



Avoiding instanceof

- Next issue: we'd like to avoid huge if-elseif nests to check the node type in the visitor

```
void checkTypes(ASTNode p) {  
    if (p instanceof WhileNode) { ... }  
    else if (p instanceof IfNode) { ... }  
    else if (p instanceof BinExp) { ... } ...
```
- Solution: Include an overloaded "visit" method for each node type and get the node to call back to the correct operation for that node(!)
 - "Double dispatch"



One More Issue

- We want to be able to add new operations easily, so the nodes shouldn't know anything specific about the actual visitor class(es)
- Solution: an abstract Visitor interface
 - AST nodes include "accept visitor" method for the interface
 - Specific operations (type check, code gen) are implementations of this interface



Visitor Interface

```
interface Visitor {  
    // overload visit for each AST node type  
    public void visit(WhileNode s);  
    public void visit(IfNode s);  
    public void visit(BinExp e);  
    ...  
}
```

- Aside: The result type can be whatever is convenient, doesn't have to be void



Specific class TypeCheckVisitor

```
// Perform type checks on the AST
public class TypeCheckVisitor implements Visitor {
    // override operations for each node type
    public void visit(BinExp e) {
        e.exp1.accept(this); e.exp2.accept(this);
        // do additional processing on e before or after
    }
    public void visit(WhileNode s) { ... }
    public void visit(IfNode s) { ... }
    ...
}
```

Add Visitor Method to AST Nodes



- Add a new method to class ASTNode (base class or interface describing all AST nodes)

```
public abstract class ASTNode {  
    ...  
    // accept a visit from a Visitor object v  
    public abstract void accept(Visitor v);  
    ...  
}
```

Override Accept Method in Each Specific AST Node Class

- Example

```
public class WhileNode extends StmtNode {  
    ...  
    // accept a visit from a Visitor object v  
    public void accept(Visitor v) {  
        v.visit(this); // dynamic dispatch on "this" (WhileNode)  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

- Key points

- Visitor object passed as a parameter to WhileNode
- WhileNode calls visit, which dispatches to visit(WhileNode) automatically – i.e., the correct method for this kind of node



Encapsulation

- A visitor object often needs to be able to access state in the AST nodes
 - \therefore May need to expose more state than we might do to otherwise
 - Overall a good tradeoff – better modularity
 - (plus, the nodes are relatively simple data objects anyway)



Composite Objects

- If the node contains references to subnodes, we often visit them first (i.e., pass the visitor along in a depth-first traversal of the AST)

```
public class WhileNode extends StmtNode {  
    ...  
    // accept a visit from Visitor object v  
    public void accept(Visitor v) {  
        this.exp.accept(v);  
        this.stmt.accept(v);  
        v.visit(this);  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

- Other traversals can be added if needed



Visitor Actions

- A visitor function has a reference to the node it is visiting (the parameter)
 - `::` can access subtrees via that node
- It's also possible for the visitor object to contain local instance data, used to accumulate information during the traversal
 - Effectively “global data” shared by visit methods

```
public class TypeCheckVisitor extends NodeVisitor {
    public void visit(WhileNode s) { ... }
    public void visit(IfNode s) { ... }
    ...
    private <local state>;
}
```



Responsibility for the Traversal

- Possible choices
 - The node objects (as done above)
 - The visitor object (the visitor has access to the node, so it can traverse any substructure it wishes)
 - Some sort of iterator object
- In a compiler, the first choice will handle many common cases



References

- For Visitor pattern (and many others)
Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software
Gamma, Helm, Johnson, and Vlissides
Addison-Wesley, 1995
- Specific information for MiniJava AST and visitors in Appel textbook & online



Coming Attractions

- Static Analysis
 - Type checking & representation of types
 - Non-context-free rules (variables and types must be declared, etc.)
- Symbol Tables
- & more