Reasoning About Object Affordances in a Knowledge Base Representation

Yuke Zhu, Alireza Fathi, and Li Fei-Fei ECCV14

Presented by Fereshteh Sadeghi fsadeghi@cs.washington.edu

Affordance

"Properties of an object [...] that determine what actions a human can perform on them" --Gibson 1979

Affordance

- Combination of :
 - An affordance label (e.g. edible)
 - A human pose representation of the action (e.g. skeleton form)
 - Relative position of the object with respect to human pose (e.g. next to)





Entity: Apple





Main Goal

- Predict affordances of unseen objects
- Infer richer information beyond visual similarity
- Knowledge based approach for reasoning and answering various types of questions

Knowledge Base (KB)



Nodes: Entities Edges: General rules to characterize relations

Knowledge Base (KB)



Nodes: Entities

- Visual attributes (e.g. round)
- Physical attributes (weight & size)
- Categorical attributes (e.g. apple)
- Affordance (e.g. edible)

Edges: General rules to characterize relations

Knowledge Base (KB)



Nodes: Entities

Edges: General rules to characterize relations

- attribute-attribute
- attribute-affordance
- human-object-interaction
 - attribute-pose, affordance-pose, attribute-location, affordance-location



System overview



- Seed KB with 40 objects & actions (Stanford 40 dataset)
- 14 affordance (Stanford 40 dataset)
- 100 images for each object (ImageNet)



- WordNet: hypernym hierarchy
- Freebase: animal synopsis
- Amazon & eBay: physical attributes (weight & size)



- WordNet: hypernym hierarchy
- Freebase: animal synopsis

chalk, pen, bottle, frisbee, toothbrush, can, handset, mobile phone, hand saw, food turner, fishing pole, umbrella, camera, cleaver, pitcher, carving knife, dustcloth, teapot, laptop, axe, dish, microscope, power saw, violin, guitar, telescope, mop, television, vacuum cleaner, desktop computer, small boat, car tire, chair, wheelbarrow, dog, bicycle, sofa, shopping cart, automobile engine, horse

10~100kg

>100 kg

1~10kg

<1kg

• Amazon & eBay: Physical attributes (weight & size)





Pose descriptor

Relative Locations



33 pre-trained visual attribute classifier Describe shape, material & parts of objects

Learning KB using Markov Logic

Schema	General Rules	Examples
hasAffordance(object, affordance)	Attribute-attribute relations	$isA(x, Vehicle) \Rightarrow isA(x, Animal)$
isA(object, category)		
hasVisualAttribute(<i>object, attribute</i>)	Attribute-affordance relations	hasVisualAttribute(x, Furry) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
hasWeight(<i>object, weight</i>)		hasWeight(x, W4) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, SitOn)
hasSize(<i>object, size</i>)		
locate(object, location)	Human-object-interaction relations	hasAffordance(x, Ride) <pre>^ locate(x, Below)</pre>
torso(object, torso_id)		isA(x, Animal) ∧ locate(x, Below)
upperBody(<i>object</i> , <i>ubody_id</i>)		hasAffordance(x, Push) <pre>^ torso(x, T1)</pre>
lowerBody(<i>object</i> , <i>lbody_id</i>)		isA(x, Vehicle) <pre>^ upperBody(x, U3)</pre>

- Markov Logic Network (MLN)
 - Unify MRF with first-order logic

$$P(X = x) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f_i(x_{\{i\}})\right)$$

Possible worlds

feature function

 $f_i(x_{\{i\}}) = 1$ if $F_i(x_{\{i\}})$ is true

Learning KB using Markov Logic

Schema	General Rules	Examples
hasAffordance(object, affordance)	Attribute-attribute relations	$isA(x, Vehicle) \Rightarrow isA(x, Animal)$
isA(object, category)		
hasVisualAttribute(object, attribute)	Attribute-affordance relations	hasVisualAttribute(x, Furry) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
hasWeight(<i>object</i> , <i>weight</i>)		hasWeight(x, W4) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, SitOn)
hasSize(object, size)		
locate(object, location)	Human-object-interaction relations	hasAffordance(x, Ride) <pre>^ locate(x, Below)</pre>
torso(object, torso_id)		isA(x, Animal) ∧ locate(x, Below)
upperBody(<i>object</i> , <i>ubody id</i>)		hasAffordance(x, Push) <pre>^ torso(x, T1)</pre>
lowerBody(<i>object</i> , <i>lbody</i> id)		isA(x, Vehicle) ^ upperBody(x, U3)

- Markov Logic Network (MLN)
 - Unify MRF with first-order logic

$$P(X = x) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f_i(x_{\{i\}})\right)$$

Likelihood of the formulae being true L-BFGS Optimization





- 0.8232 hasVisualAttribute(x, Saddle) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, SitOn)
- 0.7467 hasVisualAttribute(x, Pedal) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Lift)
- 0.7155 hasVisualAttribute(x, Screen) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Fix)
- 0.7012 hasVisualAttribute(x, Head) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
- 0.6540 hasVisualAttribute(x, Furry) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
 - (a) Top positive attributes (Visual)
 - 5.4734 isA(x, Animal) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
 - 3.3196 isA(x, Vehicle) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Ride)
 - 3.2436 isA(x, Vehicle) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Row)
 - 2.7976 isA(x, Container) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, PourFrom)
 - 2.6208 isA(x, Animal) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, SitOn)

(c) Top positive rules

- -1.0682 hasVisualAttribute(x, Metal) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
- -1.0433 hasVisualAttribute(x, Shiny) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
- -1.0115 hasVisualAttribute(x, Boxy_3D) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
- -0.8317 hasVisualAttribute(x, Wheel) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
- -0.7987 hasVisualAttribute(x, Text) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)

(b) Top negative attributes (Visual)

- -3.8636 isA(x, Animal) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Fix)
- -2.2209 isA(x, Seat) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Push)
- -1.8066 isA(x, Vehicle) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Lift)
- -1.7254 isA(x, Instrumentality) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Feed)
- -1.3258 isA(x, Instrumentality) \Rightarrow hasAffordance(x, Fix)

(d) Top negative rules





Base features ↓ Visual attributes , Base features ↓ logistic regression classifier categorical attributes P





Base features Visual attributes, Base features logistic regression classifier categorical attributes

First-order inference to predict affordances

Method	L1-LR [9]	χ^2 -SVM [25]	Ours
base features (BF)	0.7858	_	_
visual attributes (VA)	0.7525	0.7533	0.7432
categorical & physical (CP)	0.7919	0.7924	0.8234
combined (VA+CP)	0.8006	0.7985	0.8409

KB models complex general rules

Classifiers fail to take correlations into account

Estimating human pose

T Pose: (torso, lower body, upper body)

 $hamming(\mathcal{T}) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{P}_o \cup \hat{P}_o \\ \downarrow}} \sum_{i=1..3} \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{T}_i = \mathcal{T}'_i)$

Set of ground-truth poses of the canonical affordance of the object

Method	nearest neighbor	attributes	affordances	attributes+affordances
Distance	0.928	1.027	0.630	0.527

Question Answering

Question	Evidence	Query	Top Answers
What do animals look like?	isA(N1, Animal)	hasVisualAttribute(N1, x)	hasVisualAttribute(N1, Leather) hasVisualAttribute(N1, Head) hasVisualAttribute(N1, Tail) hasVisualAttribute(N1, Furry)
I saw something shiny and metallic. What is it?	hasVisualAttribute(N1, Shiny) hasVisualAttribute(N1, Metal)	isA(N1, x)	isA(N1, Instrumentality) isA(N1, Device) isA(N1, Container) isA(N1, Computer)
Here is a vehicle and it's quite heavy. What can I do with it?	isA(N1, Vehicle) hasWeight(N1, W4) (> 100 kg)	hasAffordance(N1, x)	hasAffordance(N1, Ride) hasAffordance(N1, Row) hasAffordance(N1, SitOn) hasAffordance(N1, Fix)
Tell me how heavy and large a wooden musical instrument is.	isA(N1, Musical_instrument) hasVisualAttribute(N1, Wood)	hasWeight(N1, x) hasSize(N1, x)	hasSize(N1, D2) (10-100 in) hasWeight(N1, W2) (1-10 kg)

MLN infers the probability or the most likely state of each query from the evidence

why KB



Fig. 12: **Performance variations against partial observation.** The x-axis denotes the percentage of unobserved evidence. The y-axis denotes the performance (mAUC). The top two curves correspond to our method. The bottom two are the classification-based method. In comparison, the knowledge base representation is more robust against partial observation.