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Agenda
- A short catalog of typical optimizing transformations

Role of Transformations
- Data-flow analysis discovers opportunities for code improvement
- Compiler must rewrite the code (IR) to realize these improvements
- A transformation may reveal additional opportunities for further analysis & transformation
- May also block opportunities by obscuring information
Organizing Transformations in a Compiler

- Typically middle end consists of many individual transformations that filter the IR and produce rewritten IR
- No systematic theory for the order to apply them
- Sometimes want to apply a single transformation repeatedly

A Taxonomy

- Machine Independent Transformations
  - Profitability may actually depend on machine architecture, but are typically implemented without considering this
- Machine Dependent Transformations
  - Most of the machine dependent code is in instruction selection & scheduling and register allocation
  - Some machine dependent code belongs in the optimizer

Machine Independent Transformations

- Dead code elimination
- Code motion
- Specialization
- Strength reduction
- Enable other transformations
- Eliminate redundant computations
- Value numbering, GCSE
Machine Dependent Transformations

- Take advantage of special hardware
- Expose instruction-level parallelism, for example
- Manage or hide latencies
- Improve cache behavior
- Deal with finite resources

Dead Code Elimination

- If a compiler can prove that a computation has no external effect, it can be removed
  - Useless operations
  - Unreachable operations
- Dead code often results from other transformations
  - Often want to do DCE several times

Dead Code Elimination

- Classic algorithm is similar to garbage collection
  - Pass I – Mark all useful operations
    - Start with critical operations – output, entry/exit blocks, calls to other procedures, etc.
    - Mark all operations that are needed for critical operations; repeat until convergence
  - Pass II – delete all unmarked operations
  - Note: need to treat jumps carefully
**Code Motion**

- Idea: move an operation to a location where it is executed less frequently
  - Classic situation: move loop-invariant code out of a loop and execute it once, not once per iteration
  - Lazy code motion: code motion plus elimination of redundant and partially redundant computations

**Specialization**

- Idea: Analysis phase may reveal information that allows a general operation in the IR to be replaced by a more specific one
  - Constant folding
  - Replacing multiplications and division by constants with shifts
  - Peephole optimizations
  - Tail recursion elimination

**Strength Reduction**

- Classic example: Array references in a loop
  
  for (k = 0; k < n; k++) a[k] = 0;

  - Simple code generation would usually produce address arithmetic including a multiplication (k*elements) and addition
Implementing Strength Reduction

- Idea: look for operations in a loop involving:
  - A value that does not change in the loop, the region constant, and
  - A value that varies systematically from iteration to iteration, the induction variable
- Create a new induction variable that directly computes the sequence of values produced by the original one; use an addition in each iteration to update the value

Enabling Transformations

- Already discussed
  - Inline substitution (procedure bodies)
  - Block cloning
- Some others
  - Loop Unrolling
  - Loop Unswitching

Loop Unrolling

- Idea: Replicate the loop body to expose inter-iteration optimization possibilities
  - Increases chances for good schedules and instruction level parallelism
  - Reduces loop overhead
- Catch – need to handle dependencies between iterations carefully
Loop Unrolling Example

- Original
  \[\text{for } i=1, i \leq n, i++\]
  \[a[i] = b[i];\]

- Unrolled by 4
  \[i=1;\]
  \[\text{while } (i+3 \leq n) \{\]
  \[a[i] = a[i]+b[i];\]
  \[a[i+1] = a[i+1]+b[i+1];\]
  \[a[i+2] = a[i+2]+b[i+2];\]
  \[a[i+3] = a[i+3]+b[i+3];\]
  \[a+=4\]
  \[}\]
  \[\text{while } (i \leq n) \{\]
  \[a[i] = a[i]+b[i];\]
  \[i++;\]
  \[}\]

Loop Unswitching

- Idea: if the condition in an if-then-else is loop invariant, rewrite the loop by pulling the if-then-else out of the loop and generating a tailored copy of the loop for each half of the new if

- After this transformation, both loops have simpler control flow – more chances for rest of compiler to do better

Summary

- This is just a sampler
- Hundreds of transformations in the literature
- Big part of engineering a compiler is to decide which transformations to use, in what order, and when to repeat them
  - Mostly based on tradition and best guess
  - Current research: using adaptive methods based on performance of specific programs to automate selection and sequencing of transformations