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# Search thru a Problem Space / State Space 

- Input:
- Set of states
- Operators [and costs]
- Start state
- Goal state [test]
- Output:
- Path: start $\Rightarrow$ a state satisfying goal test
- [May require shortest path]
- [Sometimes just need state passing test]


# Heuristics 

It's what makes search actually work

## Traveling Salesman

- Input: undirected graph
- Output: connected path traversing each vertex exactly once
- As a search problem
- States?

Graphs w/ partial paths

- Operators?

Adding a edge to the path


## Traveling Salesman

- Input: undirected graph
- Output: connected path traversing each vertex exactly once
- As a search problem
- States? Graphs w/ partial paths
- Operators? Adding a edge to the path
- Heuristic estimate of cost to complete a path?
- What to relax?
- What is a path?
- Subgraph...
- Degree 2
- Min spanning tree
- O(n $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$



## Heuristics for eight puzzle

| 7 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 8 | 3 |  |


$\rightarrow$| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |  |

start

goal

- What can we relax?
h1 = number of tiles in wrong place h2 $=\Sigma$ distances of tiles from correct loc


## Relaxed Problem

- Can describe move as a Strips operator
- Predicates:
$\mathrm{On}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \quad$ tile x is on cell y
Clear(y) no tiles are on cell y
Adj( $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) cell y is adjacent to cell z
- States are conjunctions, eg initial state:

On(6,1-1), On(3, 2-1), ..., Clear(1-2), Adj(1-1, 1-2), Adj(...

- Move(x,y,z)
- Preconditions: on( $x, y$ ), clear( $z$ ), adti $(, z)$
- Add-list: on(x,z), clear(y)
- Delete-list: on(x,y), clear(z)


## Relaxed Problem

- Can describe move as a Strips operator
- Predicates:
$\mathrm{On}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \quad$ tile x is on cell y
Clear(y) no tiles are on cell y
Adj( $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) cell y is adjacent to cell z
- States are conjunctions, eg initial state:

On(6,1-1), On(3, 2-1), ..., Clear(1-2), Adj(1-1, 1-2), Adj(...

- Move(x,y,z)
- Preconditions: on ( $x, y$ ), clegr $(z), \operatorname{adj}(y, z)$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - Add-list: } & \text { on }(x, z), \text { clear(y) Sum of Manhattan distances } \\ \text { - Delete-list: } & \text { on }(x, y) \text {, clear( }(z)\end{array}$


## Importance of Heuristics

 h1 = number of tiles in wrong place| 7 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 1 | 6 |
| 8 | 5 |  | h2 $=\Sigma$ distances of tiles from correct loc


| D | IDS | A*(h1) | A*(h2) |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 10 | 6 | 6 |
| 4 | 112 | 13 | 12 |
| 6 | 680 | 20 | 18 |
| 8 | 6384 | 39 | 25 |
| 10 | 47127 | 93 | 39 |
| 12 | 364404 | 227 | 73 |
| 14 | 3473941 | 539 | 113 |
| 18 |  | 3056 | 363 |
| 24 |  | 39135 | 1641 |

Decrease effective branching factor

## Need More Power!

Performance of Manhattan Distance Heuristic

- 8 Puzzle < 1 second
- 15 Puzzle
- 24 Puzzle 65000 years

Need even better heuristics!

## Subgoal Interactions

- Manhattan distance assumes
- Each tile can be moved independently of others
- Underestimates because
- Doesn't consider interactions between tiles

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 6 | 5 |
| 7 | 8 |  |

## Pattern Databases

> [Culberson \& Schaeffer 1996]

- Pick any subset of tiles
- E.g., 3, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15
- (or as drawn)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 |  |

- Precompute a table
- Optimal cost of solving just these tiles
- For all possible configurations
- 57 Million in this case
- Use A* or IDA*
- State = position of just these tiles (\& blank)


## Using a Pattern Database

- As each state is generated
- Use position of chosen tiles as index into DB
- Use lookup value as heuristic, h(n)
- Admissible?
- Monotonic?


## Combining Multiple Databases

- Can choose another set of tiles
- Precompute multiple tables
- How combine table values?

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 |  |

- Min, Max, Sum, RandomlyChoose
- E.g. Optimal solutions to Rubik's cube
- First found w/ IDA* using pattern DB heuristics
- Multiple DBs were used (dif cubie subsets )
- Most problems solved optimally in 1 day
- Compare with 574,000 years for IDDFS


## Drawbacks of Standard Pattern DBs

- Since we can only take max
- Diminishing returns on additional DBs
- Would like to be able to add values


## Disjoint Pattern DBs

- Partition tiles into disjoint sets
- For each set, precompute table

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 |  |

- E.g. 8 tile DB has 519 million entries
- And 7 tile DB has 58 million
- During search
- Look up heuristic values for each set
- Can add values without overestimating!
- Manhattan distance is a special case of this idea where each set is a single tile


## Performance

- 15 Puzzle: 2000x speedup vs Manhattan dist
- IDA* with the two DBs shown previously solves 15 Puzzles optimally in 30 milliseconds
- 24 Puzzle: 12 million x speedup vs Manhattan
- IDA* can solve random instances in 2 days.
- Requires 4 DBs as shown
- Each DB has 128 million entries
- Without PDBs: 65,000 years



## Alternative Approach...

- Optimality is nice to have, but...
- Sometimes space is too vast! Find suboptimal solution using local search.

