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## Basic 3D graphics

With affine matrices, we can now transform virtual 3D objects in their local coordinate systems into a global (world) coordinate system:


To synthesize an image of the scene, we also need to add light sources and a viewer/camera:


## Pinhole camera

To create an image of a virtual scene, we need to define a camera, and we need to model lighting and shading. For the camera, we use a pinhole camera.


The image is rendered onto an image plane (usually in front of the camera).

Viewing rays emanate from the center of projection (COP) at the center of the pinhole

The image of an object point $\mathbf{P}$ is at the intersection of the viewing ray through $\mathbf{P}$ and the image plane.
But is $P$ visible? This the problem of hidden surface removal (a.k.a., visible surface determination). We'll consider this problem later.


## Shading

Next, we'll need a model to describe how ligh
interacts with surfaces
Such a model is called a shading model
Other names:

- Lighting mode
- Light reflection model
- Local illumination model
- Reflectance model
- BRDF


## An abundance of photons

Given the camera and shading model, properly determining the right color at each pixel is extremely hard.

Look around the room. Each light source has different characteristics. Trillions of photons are pouring out every second.

These photons can:

- interact with molecules and particles in the air ("participating media")
strike a surface and
- be absorbed
- be reflected (scattered)
- cause fluorescence or phosphorescence.
- interact in a wavelength-dependent manner
- generally bounce around and around


## Our problem

We're going to build up to a approximations of reality called the Phong and Blinn-Phong illumination models.

They have the following characteristics:

- not physically correct
- gives a "first-order" approximation to physical light reflection
- very fast
- widely used

In addition, we will assume local illumination, i.e., light goes: light source -> surface -> viewer.

No interreflections, no shadows.

Setup...


Given:

- a point $\mathbf{P}$ on a surface visible through pixel $p$
- The normal $\mathbf{N}$ at $\mathbf{P}$
- The lighting direction, $\mathbf{L}$, and (color) intensity, $I_{L}$ at $\mathbf{P}$
- The viewing direction, $\mathbf{V}$, at $\mathbf{P}$
- The shading coefficients at $\mathbf{P}$

Compute the color, $I$, of pixel $p$.
Assume that the direction vectors are normalized:

$$
\|\mathbf{N}\|=\|\mathbf{L}\|=\|\mathbf{V}\|=1
$$

## "Iteration zero"

## "Iteration one"

Let's make the color at least dependent on the overall quantity of light available in the scene:

$$
I=k_{e}+k_{a} I_{L a}
$$

- $k_{a}$ is the ambient reflection coefficient.
- really the reflectance of ambient light
- "ambient" light is assumed to be equal in al directions
- $I_{L a}$ is the ambient light intensity.

Physically, what is "ambient" light?
"poor man's interreflection"
[Note: Shirley uses $c_{a}$ instead of $I_{L a}$.]

## Wavelength dependence

Really, $k_{e}, k_{a}$, and $I_{L a}$ are functions over all wavelengths $\lambda$.

deally, we would do the calculation on these functions. For the ambient shading equation, we would start with:

$$
I(\lambda)=k_{a}(\lambda) I_{L a}(\lambda)
$$


then we would find good RGB values to represent the spectrum $/(\lambda)$

Traditionally, though, $k_{a}$ and $I_{L a}$ are represented as RGB triples, and the computation is performed on
 each color channel separately:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{R} & =k_{a}^{R} I_{L a}^{R} \\
I^{G} & =k_{a}^{G} I_{L a}^{G} \\
I^{B} & =k_{a}^{B} I_{L a}^{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Diffuse reflectors

Emissive and ambient reflection don't model realistic lighting and reflection. To improve this, we will look at diffuse (a.k.a, Lambertian) reflection

Diffuse reflection can occur from dull, matte surfaces, like latex paint, or chalk

These diffuse reflectors reradiate light equally in all directions.

Picture a rough surface with lots of tiny microfacets.


## Diffuse reflectors

...or picture a surface with little pigment particles embedded beneath the surface (neglect reflection at the surface for the moment)


The microfacets and pigments distribute light rays in all directions.

Embedded pigments are responsible for the coloration of diffusely reflected light in plastics and paints.

Note: the figures in this and the previous slide are intuitive, but not strictly (physically) correct.

## Diffuse reflectors, cont.

The reflected intensity from a diffuse surface does not depend on the direction of the viewer. The incoming light, though, does depend on the direction of the light source:


## "Iteration two"

The incoming energy is proportional to $\underline{\cos \theta}$, giving the diffuse reflection equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =k_{e}+k_{a} I_{L a}+k_{d} I_{L} B \underline{\cos \theta} \\
& =k_{e}+k_{a} I_{L a}+k_{d} I_{L} B(N \cdot L)
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

- $k_{d}$ is the diffuse reflection coefficient
- $I_{L}$ is the (color) intensity of the light source
- $\mathbf{N}$ is the normal to the surface (unit vector)
- $\mathbf{L}$ is the direction to the light source (unit vector)
- B prevents contribution of light from below the surface:

$$
B= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L}>\mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \text { if } \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{0}\end{cases}
$$

[Note: Shirley uses $c_{r}$ and $c_{l}$ instead of $k_{d}$ and L.]

## Specular reflection

Specular reflection accounts for the highlight that you see on some objects.

It is particularly important for smooth, shiny surfaces, such as:

- metal
- polished stone
- plastics
- apples
- skin

Properties:

- Specular reflection depends on the viewing direction $\mathbf{V}$
- For non-metals, the color is determined solely by the color of the light.
- For metals, the color may be altered (e.g., brass)


## Specular reflection "derivation"



For a perfect mirror reflector, light is reflected about $\boldsymbol{N}$,
so

$$
I=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
I_{L} & \text { if } \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{R} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

For a near-perfect reflector, you might expect the highlight to fall off quickly with increasing angle $\phi$

## Also known as:

- "rough specular" reflection
- "directional diffuse" reflection
- "glossy" reflection


## Blinn-Phong specular reflection

A common alternative for specular reflection is the Blinn-Phong model (sometimes called the modified Phong model.)
We compute the vector halfway between $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ as:



Analogous to Phong specular reflection, we can compute the specular contribution in terms of (N.H), raised to a power $n_{s}$ :

$$
l_{\text {specular }} \sim B(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{H})_{+}^{n_{s}}
$$

where, again, $(x)_{+} \equiv \max (0, x)$.

## Phong specular reflection



One way to get this effect is to take ( $\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{V}$ ), raised to a power $n_{s}$.

As $n_{s}$ gets larger,

- the dropoff becomes \{more,less\} gradual
- gives a \{larger,smaller\} highlight
- simulates a \{more,less\} mirror-like surface

Phong specular reflection is proportional to:

$$
I_{\text {specular }} \sim B(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{V})_{+}^{n_{s}}
$$

where $(x)_{+} \equiv \max (0, x)$.

## Iteration three"

The next update to the Blinn-Phong shading model is then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =k_{e}+k_{a} I_{L a}+k_{d} I_{L} B(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L})+k_{s} I_{L} B(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{H})_{+}^{n_{s}} \\
& =k_{e}+k_{a} I_{L a}+I_{L} B\left[k_{d}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L})+k_{s}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{H})_{+}^{n_{s}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

- $k_{s}$ is the specular reflection coefficient
- $n_{s}$ is the specular exponent or shininess
- $\mathbf{H}$ is the unit halfway vector between $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ where $\mathbf{V}$ is the viewing direction
[Note: Shirley uses e, $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{h}, c_{p}$, and $p$ instead of $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{H}$, $k_{s}$, and $n_{s}$. Shirley also does not clamp $\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{H}$ to zero when negative, which is necessary when interpolating normals (discussed later).]


## Directional lights

The simplest form of lights supported by renderers are ambient, directional, and point. Spotlights are also supported often as a special form of point light.

We've seen ambient light sources, which are not really geometric.

Directional light sources have a single direction and intensity associated with them.


Using affine notation, what is the homogeneous coordinate for a directional light?

## Point lights

The direction of a point light sources is determined by the vector from the light position to the surface point


Physics tells us the intensity must drop off inversely with the square of the distance

$$
f_{\text {atten }}=\frac{1}{r^{2}}
$$

Sometimes, this distance-squared dropoff is considered too "harsh." A common alternative is:

$$
f_{\text {atten }}=\frac{1}{a+b r+c r^{2}}
$$

with user-supplied constants for $a, b$, and $c$.
Using affine notation, what is the homogeneous coordinate for a point light?

## Spotlights

We can also apply a directional attenuation of a point light source, giving a spotlight effect.


A common choice for the spotlight intensity is:

$$
f_{\text {spot }}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{e}}{a+b r+c r^{2}} & \alpha \leq \beta \\
0 & \text { otherwis }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

- $\mathbf{L}$ is the direction to the point light.
- $\mathbf{S}$ is the center direction of the spotlight.
- $\alpha$ is the angle between $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{S}$
- $\beta$ is the cutoff angle for the spotlight
- $e$ is the angular falloff coefficient

Note: $\alpha \leq \beta \Leftrightarrow \cos ^{-1}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \leq \beta \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \geq \cos \beta$.

## Choosing the parameters

Experiment with different parameter settings. To get you started, here are a few suggestions:

- Try $n_{s}$ in the range $[0,100]$
- Try $k_{a}+k_{d}+k_{s}<1$
- Use a small $k_{a}(\sim 0.1)$

|  | $n_{s}$ | $k_{d}$ | $k_{s}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Metal | large | Small, color <br> of metal | Large, color <br> of metal |
| Pastic | medium | Medium, <br> color of <br> plastic | Medium, <br> white |
| Planet | 0 | varying | 0 |

## BRDF

The diffuse+specular parts of the Blinn-Phong illumination model are a mapping from light to viewing directions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =I_{L} B\left[k_{d}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L})+k_{s} \mathbf{N} \cdot\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{V})}{\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{V}\|}\right)_{+}^{n_{s}}\right] \\
& =I_{L} f_{r}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{V})
\end{aligned}
$$

The mapping function $f_{r}$ is often written in terms of incoming (light) directions $\omega_{\text {in }}$ and outgoing (viewing) directions $\omega_{\text {out }}$ :

$$
f_{r}\left(\omega_{\text {in }}, \omega_{\text {out }}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad f_{r}\left(\omega_{\text {in }} \rightarrow \omega_{\text {out }}\right)
$$

This function is called the $\mathbf{B i}$-directional Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF)

Here's a plot with $\omega_{i n}$ held constant:


BRDF's can be quite sophisticated...

More sophisticated BRDF's


Cook and Torrance, 1982]


Anisotropic BRDFs [Westin, Arvo, Torrance 1992]


Artistics BRDFs [Gooch]

More sophisticated BRDF's (cont'd)


Hair illuminated from different angles [Marschner et al., 2003]


Wool cloth and silk cloth [Irawan and Marschner, 2012]


