## 3 Announcements

We are releasing HW1 today

- It is due in 2 weeks ( $1 / 19$ at 23:59pm)
- The homework is long
- Requires proving theorems as well as coding
- Please start early


## Releasing Colab 0 and Colab 1 today

Recitation sessions:

- Spark Tutorial using Colab 0: Today, Jan 5, 3:30-5pm CSE2 371


# Frequent Itemset Mining \& Association Rules 

CS547 Machine Learning for Big Data
Tim Althoff
PAUL G. ALLEN SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE \& ENGINEERING

## Association Rule Discovery

Supermarket shelf management -Market-basket model:

- Goal: Identify items that are bought together by sufficiently many customers
- Approach: Process the sales data collected with barcode scanners to find dependencies among items
- A "classic" rule:
- If someone buys diaper and milk, then he/she is likely to buy beer
- Don't be surprised if you find six-packs next to diapers!


## The Market-Basket Model

Input:

- A large set of items
- e.g., things sold in a supermarket
- A large set of baskets
- Each basket is a small subset of items
- e.g., the things one customer buys on one day (or "cart")

| Basket | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bread, Coke, Milk |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Beer, Bread |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Beer, Coke, Diaper, Milk |
| 4 | Beer, Bread, Diaper, Milk |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Coke, Diaper, Milk |

Output:

## Rules Discovered:

\{Milk\} --> \{Coke\}
\{Diaper, Milk\} --> \{Beer\}

- Discover association rules:

People who bought $\{x, y, z\}$ tend to buy $\{v, w\}$

- Example applications: Amazon, Spotify, Walmart...


## More generally

- A general many-to-many mapping (association) between two kinds of things
" But we ask about connections among "items", not "baskets"
- Items and baskets are abstract:
- For example:
- Items/baskets can be products/shopping basket
- Items/baskets can be words/documents
- Items/baskets can be basepairs/genes
- Items/baskets can be drugs/patients


## Applications - (1)

- Items = products; Baskets = sets of products someone bought in one trip to the store
- Real market baskets: Chain stores keep TBs of data about what customers buy together
- Tells how typical customers navigate stores, lets them position tempting items:
- Apocryphal story of "diapers and beer" discovery
- Used to position potato chips between diapers and beer to enhance sales of potato chips
- Amazon's 'people who bought $X$ also bought $Y^{\prime}$


## Applications - (2)

- Baskets = sentences; Items = documents in which those sentences appear
- Items that appear together too often could represent plagiarism
" Notice items do not have to be "in" baskets
- Baskets = patients; Items = drugs \& side-effects
- Has been used to detect combinations of drugs that result in particular side-effects
- But requires extension: Absence of an item needs to be observed as well as presence


## Outline

First: Define
Frequent itemsets
Association rules:
Confidence, Support, Interestingness

## Then: Algorithms for finding frequent itemsets

Finding frequent pairs
A-Priori algorithm
PCY algorithm

## Frequent Itemsets

- Simplest question: Find sets of items that appear together "frequently" in baskets
- Support for itemset I: Number of baskets containing all items in $\boldsymbol{I}$
- (Often expressed as a fraction of the total number of baskets)
- Given a support threshold s,

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Bread, Coke, Milk |
| 2 | Beer, Bread |
| 3 | Beer, Coke, Diaper, Milk |
| 4 | Beer, Bread, Diaper, Milk |
| 5 | Coke, Diaper, Milk | then sets of items that appear in at least $s$ baskets are called

Support of
\{Beer, Bread\} $=2$ frequent itemsets

## Example: Frequent Itemsets

- Items = \{milk, coke, pepsi, beer, juice\}
- Support threshold $=3$ baskets

- Frequent itemsets: $\{m\},\{c\},\{b\},\{j\}$, $\{m, b\},\{b, c\},\{c, j\}$.


## Define: Association Rules

- Define: Association Rules:

If-then rules about the contents of baskets

- $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{j}$ means: "if a basket contains all of $i_{l}, \ldots, i_{k}$ then it is likely to contain $j^{\prime \prime}$
- In practice there are many rules, want to find significant/interesting ones!
- Confidence of association rule is the probability of $\boldsymbol{j}$ given $\boldsymbol{I}=\left\{\boldsymbol{i}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\}$

$$
\operatorname{conf}(I \rightarrow j)=\frac{\operatorname{support}(I \cup j)}{\operatorname{support}(I)}
$$

# Where confidence falls short 

\author{

What if everyone buys milk? <br> $\operatorname{conf}(\{$ Beer $\} \rightarrow$ Milk $)=1$ <br> $\operatorname{conf}(\{$ Bread $\} \rightarrow$ Milk $)=1$ <br> $\operatorname{conf}(\{$ Beer,Bread,Diapers $\} \rightarrow$ Milk $)=1$ <br> | Observations |
| :--- |
| Bread, Coke, Milk |
| Beer, Bread, Milk |
| Beer, Coke, Diapers, Milk |
| Beer, Bread, Diapers, Milk |
| Coke, Diapers, Milk |

}

We have 100\% confidence for $I \rightarrow$ milk, no matter what I we choose!

## Interesting Association Rules

- Not all high-confidence rules are interesting
- The rule $\boldsymbol{X} \rightarrow$ milk may have high confidence for many itemsets $\boldsymbol{X}$, because milk is just purchased very often (independent of $\boldsymbol{X}$ ) and the confidence will be high
- Interest of an association rule $I \rightarrow j$ : abs. difference between its confidence and the fraction of baskets that contain $\boldsymbol{j}$

$$
\operatorname{Interest}(I \rightarrow j)=|\operatorname{conf}(I \rightarrow j)-\operatorname{Pr}[j]|
$$

- Interesting rules are those with high positive or negative interest values (usually above 0.5 )


## Example: Confidence and Interest

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1}=\{m, c, b\} & B_{2}=\{m, p, j\} \\
B_{3}=\{m, b\} & B_{4}=\{c, j\} \\
B_{5}=\{m, p, b\} & B_{6}=\{m, c, b, j\} \\
B_{7}=\{c, b, j\} & B_{8}=\{b, c\}
\end{array}
$$

- Association rule: $\{m, b\} \rightarrow c$
- Support $=2$
- Confidence $=2 / 4=0.5$
- Interest $=|0.5-5 / 8|=1 / 8$
- Item c appears in 5/8 of the baskets
- The rule is not very interesting!


## Association Rule Mining

## Problem: Find all association rules with support $\geq s$ and confidence $\geq c$

- Note: Support of an association rule is the support of the set of items in the rule (left and right side)
- Hard part: Finding the frequent itemsets!
- If $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{j}$ has high support and confidence, then both $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}, j\right\}$ will be "frequent"

$$
\operatorname{conf}(I \rightarrow j)=\frac{\operatorname{support}(I \cup j)}{\operatorname{support}(I)}
$$

## Mining Association Rules

- Step 1: Find all frequent itemsets $I \operatorname{conf(I\rightarrow j)=\frac {\operatorname {support}(I\cup j)}{\operatorname {support}(I)}}$
- (we will explain this next)
- Step 2: Rule generation
- For every subset $\boldsymbol{A}$ of $\boldsymbol{I}$, generate a rule $\boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{I} \backslash \boldsymbol{A}$
- Since $\boldsymbol{I}$ is frequent, $\boldsymbol{A}$ is also frequent (monotonicity)
- Variant 1: Single pass to compute the rule confidence
- confidence $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{D})=\operatorname{support}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) / \operatorname{support}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$
- Variant 2:
- Observation: If $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is below confidence, so is $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$
- Can generate "bigger" rules from smaller ones!
- Output the rules above the confidence threshold


## Example

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1}=\{m, c, b\} & B_{2}=\{m, p, j\} \\
B_{3}=\{m, c, b, n\} & B_{4}=\{c, j\} \\
B_{5}=\{m, p, b\} & B_{6}=\{m, c, b, j\} \\
B_{7}=\{c, b, j\} & B_{8}=\{b, c\}
\end{array}
$$

- Support threshold $s=3$, confidence $c=0.75$
- Step 1) Find frequent itemsets:
- $\{b, m\}\{b, c\}\{c, m\}\{c, j\}\{m, c, b\}$
- Step 2) Generate rules:
- b-m: $c=4 / 6 \quad b \rightarrow c: c=5 / 6$
- $\mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}: c=4 / 5$
b, $c \rightarrow m: c=3 / 5$ $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}: c=3 / 4$ $b-c, m: c=3 / 6$


## Compacting the Output

- To reduce the number of rules, we can post-process them and only output:
- Maximal frequent itemsets:

No immediate superset (same set and one additional item) is frequent

- Gives more pruning Or
- Closed itemsets:

No immediate superset has the same support (>0)

- Stores not only frequent information, but exact supports/counts


## Example: Maximal/Closed

Support
Frequent ( $\mathrm{s}=3$ )

Maximal Closed Superset $A B$

|  | Support | Frequent $(s=3)$ | Maximal | Closed | Superset $A B$ also frequent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4 | Yes | No | No | Superset BC has same support |
| B | 5 | Yes | No | Yes |  |
| C | 3 | Yes | No | No |  |
| AB | 4 | Yes | Yes | Yes | ABC (only superset) not freq |
| AC | 2 | No | No | No |  |
| BC | 3 | Yes | Yes | Yes | - ABC (only superset) has smaller support |
| ABC | 2 | No | No | Yes |  |

# Step 1: <br> Finding Frequent Itemsets 

## Itemsets: Computation Model

- Back to finding frequent itemsets
- Typically, data is kept in flat files rather than in a database system:
- Stored on disk
- Stored basket-by-basket
- Baskets are small but we have many baskets and many items
- Expand baskets into pairs, triples, etc. as you read baskets
- Use $k$ nested loops to generate all sets of size $k$

Items are positive integers, and boundaries between baskets are -1 .

## Computation Model

- The true cost of mining diskresident data is usually the number of disk I/Os
- In practice, association-rule algorithms read the data in passes - all baskets read in turn

| Item |
| :--- |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
| Item |
|  |
| Etc. |
|  |

Items are positive integers, and boundaries between baskets are -1 .

## Main-Memory Bottleneck

- For many frequent-itemset algorithms, main-memory is the critical resource
- As we read baskets, we need to count something, e.g., occurrences of pairs of items
- The number of different things we can count is limited by main memory
- Swapping counts in/out is a disaster
- Swapping means having to push memory to/from disk because memory was too small.


## Finding Frequent Pairs

- The hardest problem often turns out to be finding the frequent pairs of items $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}$
- Why? Freq. pairs are common, freq. triples are rare
- Why? Probability of being frequent drops exponentially with size; number of sets grows more slowly with size
- Let's first concentrate on pairs, then extend to larger sets
- The approach:
- We always need to generate all the itemsets
- But we would only like to count (keep track) of those itemsets that in the end turn out to be frequent


## Naïve Algorithm

- Naïve approach to finding frequent pairs
- Read file once, counting in main memory the occurrences of each pair:
- From each basket of $\boldsymbol{n}$ items, generate its $n(n-1) / 2$ pairs by two nested loops
- Fails if (\#items) ${ }^{2}$ exceeds main memory
- Remember: \#items can be 100K (Wal-Mart) or 10B (Web pages)
- Suppose $10^{5}$ items, counts are 4-byte integers
- Number of pairs of items: $10^{5}\left(10^{5}-1\right) / 2 \approx 5^{*} 10^{9}$
- Therefore, $2 * 10^{10}$ ( 20 gigabytes) of memory is needed


## Counting Pairs in Memory

## Goal: Count the number of occurrences of each pair of items ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ):

- Approach 1: Count all pairs using a matrix
- Approach 2: Keep a table of triples $[i, j, c]=$ "the count of the pair of items $\{i, j\}$ is $c$."
- If integers and item ids are 4 bytes, we need approximately 12 bytes for pairs with count > 0
- Plus some additional overhead for the hashtable


## Comparing the 2 Approaches



Triangular Matrix


Triples

## Comparing the two approaches

- Approach 1: Triangular Matrix
- $\mathbf{n}$ = total number items
- Count pair of items $\{i, j\}$ only if $i<j$
- Keep pair counts in lexicographic order:


Item i - $\{1,2\},\{1,3\}, \ldots,\{1, n\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\}, \ldots,\{2, n\},\{3,4\}, \ldots$

- Pair $\{i, j\}$ is at position: $[n(n-1)-(n-i)(n-i+1)] / 2+(j-i)$
- Total number of pairs $n(n-1) / 2$; total bytes= $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Triangular Matrix requires 4 bytes per pair
- Approach 2 uses 12 bytes per occurring pair (but only for pairs with count >0)
- Approach 2 beats Approach 1 if less than 1/3 of possible pairs actually occur


## Comparing the two approaches

- Approach 1: Triangular Matrix
- $\mathbf{n}$ = total number items
- Cor
- K

Problem is if we have too

- $\mathrm{P}=$ many items so the pairs
)1/2 $+(\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{i})$ - Tr do not fit into memory.
- Apr Can we do better? air (but
- Approach 2 beats Approach 1 if less than $\mathbf{1 / 3}$ of possible pairs actually occur


## A-Priori Algorithm

- Monotonicity of "Frequent"
- Notion of Candidate Pairs
- Extension to Larger Itemsets


## A-Priori Algorithm - (1)

- A two-pass approach called A-Priori limits the need for main memory
- Key idea: monotonicity
- If a set of items $\boldsymbol{I}$ appears at
 least $\boldsymbol{s}$ times, so does every subset $\boldsymbol{J}$ of $\boldsymbol{I}$
- Contrapositive for pairs:

If item $\boldsymbol{i}$ does not appear in $\boldsymbol{s}$ baskets, then no pair including $\boldsymbol{i}$ can appear in $\boldsymbol{s}$ baskets

- So, how does A-Priori find freq. pairs?


## A-Priori Algorithm - (2)

- Pass 1: Read baskets and count in main memory the \# of occurrences of each individual item
- Requires only memory proportional to \#items
- Items that appear $\geq s$ times are the frequent items
- Pass 2: Read baskets again and keep track of the count of only those pairs where both elements are frequent (from Pass 1)
- Requires memory proportional to square of frequent items only (for counts)
- Plus a list of the frequent items (so you know what must be counted)


## Main-Memory: Picture of A-Priori



Green box represents the amount of available main memory. Smaller boxes represent how the memory is used.

## Detail for A-Priori

- You can use the triangular matrix method with $n=$ number of frequent items
- May save space compared with storing triples
- Trick: re-number frequent items 1,2,... and keep a table relating new numbers to original


Pass 1 item numbers

## Frequent Triples, Etc.

- For each $k$, we construct two sets of $k$-tuples (sets of size $k$ ):
- $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=$ candidate $\boldsymbol{k}$-tuples = those that might be frequent sets (support $\geq \mathbf{s}$ ) based on information from the pass for $\boldsymbol{k} \mathbf{- 1}$
- $\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=$ the set of truly frequent $\boldsymbol{k}$-tuples


Example
baskets
$\{m, c, b\}$
$\{m, p, j\}$
$\{m, c, b, n\}$ $\{c, j\}$
$\{m, p, b\}$
$\{m, c, b, j\}$
$\{c, b, j\}$ $\{b, c\}$

$$
s=3
$$

** In order for a triple to be frequent, the three pairs it contains must all be frequent.

Supports: $\{b\} \rightarrow 6, \quad\{c\} \rightarrow 6, \quad\{j\} \rightarrow 4$, $\{m\} \rightarrow 5,\{n\} \rightarrow 1,\{p\} \rightarrow 2$

$$
L \_1=\{\{b\},\{c\},\{j\},\{m\}\}
$$

$$
C \_2=\{\{b, c\},\{b, j\},\{b, m\},\{c, j\},\{c, m\},\{j, m\}\}
$$

Supports: $\{b, c\} \rightarrow 5,\{b, j\} \succ 2,\{b, m\} \rightarrow 4$ $\{c, j\} \rightarrow 3, \quad\{c, m\} \rightarrow 3, \quad\{j, m\} \rightarrow 2$

$$
L \_2=\{\{b, c\},\{b, m\},\{c, j\},\{c, m\}\}
$$

$$
C_{-} 3=\{\{b, c, m\}, \underbrace{\{b, c, j\},\{b, m, j\},\{c, m, j\}}_{* *}\}
$$

Supports: $\{b, c, m\} \rightarrow 3$

$$
L \_3=\{\{b, c, m\}\}
$$



## A-Priori for All Frequent Itemsets

- One pass for each $\boldsymbol{k}$ (itemset size)
- Needs room in main memory to count each candidate $\boldsymbol{k}$-tuple
- For typical market-basket data and reasonable support (e.g., 1\%), $\boldsymbol{k}=\mathbf{2}$ requires the most memory
- Many possible extensions:
- Association rules with intervals:
- For example: Men over 65 have 2 cars
- Association rules when items are in a taxonomy
- Bread, Butter $\rightarrow$ FruitJam
- BakedGoods, MilkProduct $\rightarrow$ PreservedGoods
- Lower the support s as itemset gets bigger


## PCY (Park-Chen-Yu) Algorithm

- Improvement to A-Priori
- Exploits Empty Memory on First Pass
- Frequent Buckets


## PCY (Park-Chen-Yu) Algorithm

- Observation:

In pass 1 of A-Priori, most memory is idle

- We store only individual item counts
- Can we use the idle memory to reduce memory required in pass 2?
- Pass 1 of PCY: In addition to item counts, maintain a hash table with as many buckets as fit in memory
- Keep a count for each bucket into which pairs of items are hashed
- For each bucket just keep the count, not the actual pairs that hash to the bucket!


## Hash Functions

- A hash function maps items to buckets
- Collisions
- \# buckets < \# possible pairs
- A collision occurs when $h$ maps multiple items to the same bucket

Bucket 1 contains counts for $\{c, j\}$ only,
but bucket 2 contains counts for both
$\{b, c\}$ and $\{c, m\}$
Frequent pair Frequent bucket

| Not frequent |
| :---: |
| bucket |
| Frequent bucket |

## PCY Algorithm - First Pass

FOR (each basket) :
FOR (each item in the basket) :

$$
\text { add } 1 \text { to item's count; }
$$

New
$\operatorname{in}$
$P C Y$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { FOR (each pair of items) : } \\ \text { hash the pair to a bucket; } \\ \text { add } 1 \text { to the count for that bucket; }\end{array}\right.$

- Few things to note:
- Pairs of items need to be generated from the input file; they are not present in the file
- We are not just interested in the presence of a pair, but we need to see whether it is present at least $s$ (support) times


## Observations about Buckets

- Observation: If a bucket contains a frequent pair, then the bucket is surely frequent
- However, even without any frequent pair, a bucket can still be frequent $:-$
- So, we cannot use the hash to eliminate any member (pair) of a "frequent" bucket
- But, for a bucket with total count less than $s$, none of its pairs can be frequent ()
- Pairs that hash to this bucket can be eliminated as candidates (even if the pair consists of 2 frequent items)
- Pass 2:

Only count pairs that hash to frequent buckets

## PCY Algorithm - Between Passes

- Replace the buckets by a bit-vector:
- 1 means the bucket count exceeded the support $s$ (call it a frequent bucket); $\mathbf{0}$ means it did not
- 4-byte integer counts are replaced by bits, so the bit-vector requires $1 / 32$ of memory
- Also, decide which items are frequent and list them for the second pass


## PCY Algorithm - Pass 2

Count all pairs $\{i, j\}$ that meet the conditions for being a candidate pair:

1. A-priori: Both $\boldsymbol{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{j}$ are frequent items
2. PCY: The pair $\{i, j\}$ hashes to a bucket whose bit in the bit vector is $\mathbf{1}$ (i.e., a frequent bucket)

Both conditions are necessary for the pair to have a chance of being frequent

## Main-Memory: Picture of PCY



Pass 1
Pass 2

## More Extensions to A-Priori

- The MMDS book covers several other extensions beyond the PCY idea: "Multistage" and "Multihash"
- For reading on your own, Sect. 6.4 of MMDS
- Recommended video (starting about 10:10): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AGAkNiQnbjY


# Frequent Itemsets <br> in $\leq 2$ Passes (for pairs) 

- Simple Algorithm
- Savasere-Omiecinski- Navathe (SON) Algorithm
- Toivonen's Algorithm


## Frequent ltemsets in $\leq 2$ Passes

- A-Priori, PCY, etc., take $k$ passes to find frequent itemsets of size $k$
- Can we use fewer passes?
- Use 2 or fewer passes for all sizes, but may miss some frequent itemsets
- Random sampling
- Do not sneer; "random sample" is often a cure for the problem of having too large a dataset.
- SON (Savasere, Omiecinski, and Navathe)
- Toivonen


## Random Sampling (1)

- Take a random sample of the market baskets
- Run a-priori or one of its improvements like PCY in main memory
- So we don't pay for disk I/O each time we increase the size of itemsets
- Reduce support threshold proportionally to match the sample size

Copy of sample baskets

Space for
counts

- Example: if your sample is $1 / 100$ of the baskets,
 use $s / 100$ as your support threshold instead of $s$.


## Random Sampling (2)

- To avoid false positives: Optionally, verify that the candidate pairs are truly frequent in the entire data set by a second pass
- But you don't catch sets frequent in the whole but not in the sample (false negative)
- Smaller threshold, e.g., s/125, helps catch more truly frequent itemsets ( $\mathrm{s} / 125$ < $\mathrm{s} / 100$ )
- But requires more space
- Note that the choice of 125 is arbitrary


## SON Algorithm - (1)

- SON Algorithm: Repeatedly read small subsets of the baskets into main memory and run an in-memory algorithm to find all frequent itemsets
- Note: we are not sampling, but processing the entire file in memory-sized chunks
- An itemset becomes a candidate if it is found to be frequent in any one or more subsets of the baskets.


## SON Algorithm - (2)

- On a second pass, count all the candidate itemsets and determine which are frequent in the entire set
- Key "monotonicity" idea: An itemset cannot be frequent in the entire set of baskets unless it is frequent in at least one subset


## Toivonen's Algorithm: Intro

## Pass 1:

- Start with a random sample, but lower the threshold slightly for the sample:
- Example: if the sample is $1 \%$ of the baskets, use $s / 125$ as the support threshold rather than $s / 100$
- Find frequent itemsets in the sample
- Add to the itemsets that are frequent in the sample the negative border of these itemsets:
- Negative border: An itemset is in the negative border if it is not frequent in the sample, but all its immediate subsets are
- Immediate subset = "delete exactly one element"


## Example: Negative Border

$\{A, B, C, D\}$ is in the negative border if and only if:

1. It is not frequent in the sample, but
2. All of $\{A, B, C\},\{B, C, D\},\{A, C, D\}$, and $\{A, B, D\}$ are.

Negative Border
tripletons
doubletons
singletons


## Toivonen's Algorithm

- Pass 1:
- Start with the random sample, but lower the threshold slightly for the subset
- Add to the itemsets that are frequent in the sample the negative border of these itemsets
- Pass 2:
- Count all candidate frequent itemsets from the first pass, and also count sets in their negative border
- Key: If no itemset from the negative border turns out to be frequent, then we found $a l /$ the frequent itemsets.
- What if we find that something in the negative border is frequent?
- We must start over again with another sample!
- Try to choose the support threshold so the probability of failure is low, while the number of itemsets checked on the second pass fits in mainmemory.


## If Something in the Negative Border Is

 Frequent...

## Summary

- Frequent Itemset Mining
- Association Rules
- A Priori Algorithm: Dynamic Programming
- PCY: Improvement using Hashing
- Announcements:
- Spark Tutorial Today!
- HW1 posted today - start early
- Ed - Search for Teammates!

