

# Online Learning & Margins

*Instructor: Sham Kakade*

## 1 Introduction

There are two common models of study:

**Online Learning** No assumptions about data generating process. Worst case analysis. Fundamental connections to Game Theory.

**Statistical Learning** Assume data consists of independently and identically distributed examples drawn according to some fixed but *unknown* distribution.

Our examples will come from some space  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ . Given a *data set*

$$\{(x_t, y_t)\}_{t=1}^T \in (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})^T,$$

our goal is to predict  $y_{T+1}$  for a new point  $x_{T+1}$ . A *hypothesis* is simply a function  $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ . Sometimes, a hypothesis will map to a set  $\mathcal{D}$  (for decision space) larger than  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Depending on the nature of the set  $\mathcal{Y}$ , we get special cases of the general prediction problem. Here, we examine the case of binary classification where  $\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, +1\}$ .

A set of hypotheses is often called a *hypotheses class*.

In the online learning model, learning proceeds in rounds, as we see examples one by one. Suppose  $\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, +1\}$ . At the beginning of round  $t$ , the learning algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  has the hypothesis  $h_t$ . In round  $t$ , we see  $x_t$  and predict  $h_t(x_t)$ . At the end of the round,  $y_t$  is revealed and  $\mathcal{A}$  makes a mistake if  $h_t(x_t) \neq y_t$ . The algorithm then updates its hypothesis to  $h_{t+1}$  and this continues till time  $T$ .

Suppose the labels were actually produced by some function  $f$  in a given hypothesis class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then it is natural to bound the total number of mistakes the learner commits, no matter how long the sequence. To this end, define

$$\text{mistake}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) := \max_{f \in \mathcal{C}, T, x_{1:T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}[h_t(x_t) \neq f(x_t)].$$

## 2 Linear Classifiers and Margins

Let us now look at a concrete example of a hypothesis class. Suppose  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  and we have a vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We define the hypothesis,

$$h_w(x) = \text{sgn}(w \cdot x),$$

where  $\text{sgn}(z) = 1$  if  $z$  is positive and  $-1$  otherwise. With some abuse of terminology, we will often speak of “the hypothesis  $w$ ” when we actually mean “the hypothesis  $h_w$ ”. The class of *linear classifiers* in the (uncountable) hypothesis class

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{lin}} := \{h_w \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

Note that  $w$  and  $\alpha w$  yield the same linear classifier for any scalar  $\alpha > 0$ .

Suppose we have a data set that is *linearly separable*. That is, there is a  $w_*$  such that,

$$\forall t \in [T], y_t = \text{sgn}(w_* \cdot x_t). \quad (1)$$

Separability means that  $y_t(w_* \cdot x_t) > 0$  for all  $t$ . The minimum value of this quantity over the data set is referred to as the *margin*. Let us make the assumption that the margin is lower bounded by 1.

**Assumption M.** (*Margin of 1*) Without loss of generality suppose  $\|x_t\| \leq 1$ . Suppose there exists a  $w_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for which (1) holds. Further assume that

$$\min_{t \in [T]} y_t(w_* \cdot x_t) \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

Note the choice of 1 is arbitrary.

Note that the above implies that:

$$\min_{t \in [T]} y_t \left( \frac{w_*}{\|w_*\|} \cdot x_t \right) \geq \frac{1}{\|w_*\|}.$$

In other words, the width of the strip separating the positives from the negatives is of size  $\frac{2}{\|w_*\|}$ . Sometimes the margin is define this way (where we assume that instead  $\|w_*\| = 1$  and that the margin is some positive value rather than 1).

## 2.1 The Perceptron Algorithm

---

### Algorithm 1 PERCEPTRON

---

```

 $w_1 \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$ 
for  $t = 1$  to  $T$  do
  Receive  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 
  Predict  $\text{sgn}(w_t \cdot x_t)$ 
  Receive  $y_t \in \{-1, +1\}$ 
  if  $\text{sgn}(w_t \cdot x_t) \neq y_t$  then
     $w_{t+1} \leftarrow w_t + y_t x_t$ 
  else
     $w_{t+1} \leftarrow w_t$ 
  end if
end for

```

---

The following theorem gives a dimension independent bound on the number of mistakes the PERCEPTRON algorithm makes.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose Assumption M holds. Let*

$$M_T := \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}[\text{sgn}(w_t \cdot x_t) \neq y_t]$$

*denote the number of mistakes the PERCEPTRON algorithm makes. Then we have,*

$$M_T \leq \|w_*\|^2.$$

Second, if we had instead assumed that  $\|x_t\| \leq X_+$ , then the above would be:

$$M_T \leq \cdot X_+^2 \|w_*\|^2.$$

*Proof.* Define  $m_t = 1$  if a mistake occurs at time  $t$  and 0 otherwise. We have that:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + m_t y_t x_t$$

Now observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{t+1} - w_*\|^2 &= \|w_t + m_t y_t x_t - w_*\|^2 \\ &= \|w_t - w_*\|^2 - 2m_t y_t x_t w_* + m_t^2 y_t^2 \|x_t\|^2 \\ &= \|w_t - w_*\|^2 - 2m_t y_t x_t w_* + m_t \|x_t\|^2 \\ &= \|w_t - w_*\|^2 - 2m_t y_t x_t w_* + m_t \|x_t\|^2 \\ &\leq \|w_t - w_*\|^2 - 2m_t + m_t \\ &\leq \|w_t - w_*\|^2 - m_t \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that:

$$m_t \leq \|w_t - w_*\|^2 - \|w_{t+1} - w_*\|^2$$

This implies:

$$M_T = \sum_{t=1}^T m_t \leq \|w_1 - w_*\|^2 - \|w_{T+1} - w_*\|^2 \leq \|w_*\|^2$$

which completes the proof. □

### 3 SVMs

The SVM loss function can be viewed as a relaxation to the classification loss. The *hinge* loss on a pair  $(x, y)$  is defined as:

$$\ell((x, y), w) = \max\{0, 1 - yw^\top x\}$$

In other words, we penalize with a linear loss when  $yw^\top x$  is 1 or less. Note that we could actually penalize when we have a correct prediction (if  $0 \leq yw^\top x \leq 1$  then our prediction is correct and we are still penalized). In this latter case, we call this a 'margin' mistake.

Note that the gradient of this loss is:

$$\nabla \ell((x, y), w) = -yx \text{ if } yw^\top x < 1$$

and the gradient is 0 otherwise.

The SVM seeks to minimize the following objective:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \max\{0, 1 - y_i w^\top x_i\} + \lambda \|w\|^2$$

As usual, the algorithm can be kernelized.