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## 1 The moment methods

The moment method is used to bound the probability that a random variable fluctuates far from its mean, by using its moments. These are used to prove properties about probabilistic structures, such as random graphs.

### 1.1 First Moment Method

The first moment method uses the simple fact that if a random variable $X$ has expected value $E(X)$, then it takes some value $\geq E(X)$ and some value $\leq E(X)$.

Now, if $X \geq 0$ is an integer valued function, using the Markov Inequality, we can say that $\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq 1)<$ $E(X)$. Thus, if $E(X) \ll 1$, we can say that $X=0$ with high probability. This simple application of the Markov inequality is known as the First Moment method.

Note however, that if we are told that $E(X) \gg 1$, it is not possible to prove that $\operatorname{Pr}(X \geq 1)$ is large using the first moment method. This is because X taking an enormous value with low probability is not ruled out by the first moment method.

### 1.2 Second Moment Method

The second moment method overcomes the limitations of the first moment method by using $E\left(X^{2}\right)$ instead of $E(X)$.

One version of the Second Moment Method is Chebychev's inequality, which states that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall \lambda & >0 \\
\operatorname{Pr}(|X-\mu| \geq \lambda \sigma) & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a non negative integer valued random variable X
Another version states that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X=0) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{(E(X))^{2}}
$$

Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(X=0) & \leq \operatorname{Pr}(|X-\mu| \geq \mu) \\
& \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{(E(X))^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1. If $\operatorname{Var}(X)=o\left(E(X)^{2}\right)$, then $\operatorname{Pr}(X>0)=1-o(1)$

Another second moment inequality states the following:
Theorem 2. $\operatorname{Pr}(X>0) \geq \frac{(E[X])^{2}}{E\left[X^{2}\right]}$.
Proof For non-negative valued random variables $X, Y$ with $E\left[X^{2}\right]>0$ and $E\left[Y^{2}\right]>0$. Let $U=$ $\frac{X}{\sqrt{E\left[X^{2}\right]}}, V=\frac{Y}{\sqrt{E\left[Y^{2}\right]}}$. That $2|U V| \leq U^{2}+V^{2}$ implies

$$
2|E[U V]| \leq 2 E[|U V|] \leq E\left[U^{2}\right]+E\left[V^{2}\right]=2
$$

which implies $(E[U V])^{2} \leq 1$, or equivalently, $(E[X Y])^{2} \leq E\left[X^{2}\right] E\left[Y^{2}\right]$.
Set $Y=\mathbf{1}_{X>0}$, and we have

$$
(E[X])^{2} \leq E\left[X^{2}\right] E\left[\mathbf{1}_{X>0}^{2}\right]=E\left[X^{2}\right] \operatorname{Pr}(X>0)
$$

from which $\operatorname{Pr}(X>0) \geq \frac{(E[X])^{2}}{E\left[X^{2}\right]}$ immediately follows.

## 2 Covariance in a Binomial Random Variable

For a bionomial random variable

$$
X=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}
$$

Claim 3. $\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)+\sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E(X Y)-E(X) E(Y)$.
Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)^{2}\right]-\left(E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right]\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(E\left[X_{i}^{2}\right]-E\left[X_{i}\right]^{2}\right)+\sum_{i \neq j} E\left[X_{i} X_{j}\right]-E\left[X_{i}\right] E\left[X_{j}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)+\sum_{j \neq i} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Often, the $X_{i}$ 's are indicator random variables, with $\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=1\right)=p_{i}$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=0\right)=1-p_{i}$. In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) & =p_{i}\left(1-p_{i}\right) \\
& \leq p_{i} \\
& =E\left(X_{i}\right) \\
\Longrightarrow \operatorname{Var}(X) & \leq E(X)+\sum_{i \neq j} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Random Graphs

### 3.1 4-cliques in random graphs

Definition 4. Let $G_{n, p}$ be the random graph with $n$ vertices and each edge independently present with probability $p$.
Claim 5. $G_{n, p}$ almost surely does not have a clique with 4 or more vertices for $p=o\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)$ and almost surely does for $p=\omega\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)$.
Proof There are $\binom{n}{k}$ possible 4 -cliques, which we enumerate as $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{\binom{n}{4}}$. Let $X_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots,\binom{n}{4}$ be indicator random variables for each of the cliques. Then,

$$
X=\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{4}} X_{i}
$$

is a random variable for the number of 4 -cliques with

$$
E[X]=\binom{n}{4} p^{6} \approx \frac{n^{4} p^{6}}{4!}
$$

If $p=o\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)$, then by the first moment method $E[X]=o(1)$.
If $p=\omega\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)$, then $E[X] \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\operatorname{Var}(X)=o\left(E\left[X^{2}\right]\right)$, then by the second moment method,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}(X=0) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{E\left(X^{2}\right)} \leq 0
$$

Hence it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Var}(X)=o\left(E\left[X^{2}\right]\right)$. For pairs of possible cliques $C_{i}, C_{j}$, we have the following cases:
Case 1: $C_{i} \cap C_{j}=\emptyset . \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=0$.
Case 2: $\left|C_{i} \cap C_{j}\right|=1 . \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=0$.
Case 3: $\left|C_{i} \cap C_{j}\right|=2 . \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \leq E\left[X_{i} X_{j}\right]=p^{11}$.
Case 4: $\left|C_{i} \cap C_{j}\right|=3 . \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \leq E\left[X_{i} X_{j}\right]=p^{9}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & \leq E[X]+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \\
& \leq\binom{ n}{k} p^{6}+\underbrace{\binom{n}{6}\binom{6}{2,2,2} p^{11}}_{\text {case } 3}+\underbrace{\binom{n}{5}\binom{5}{3,1,1} p^{9}}_{\text {case } 4} \\
& \leq c\left(n^{4} p^{4}+n^{6} p^{1} 1+n^{5} p^{9}\right)=o\left((E[X])^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

since $(E[X])^{2}=\left(\binom{n}{4} p^{6}\right)^{2}=\theta\left(n^{8} p^{12}\right), p=\omega\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)$.

### 3.2 Evaluation of random graphs

Theorem 6. The following hold almost surely, or in other words, with probability going to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- If $p=o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, then the graph has no cycles.
- If $p \leq \frac{c}{n}, c<1$, then the longest connected component has size $\theta(\log n)$.
- If $p=\frac{1}{n}$, then the longest connected component has size $\theta\left(n^{2 / 3}\right)$.
- If $p \geq \frac{c}{n}$, then the longest connected component has size $\theta(n)$.
- If $p=\frac{\log }{n}$, then the graph is connected and has a Hamiltonian cycle.


### 3.3 First order theory of random graphs

Let us define a language where variables $(x, y, z, \ldots)$ represent vertices, $x=y$ denotes equality of $x$ and $y$, $x y$ denotes adjacency, and $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \forall, \exists$ denote logical and, logical or, logical not, universal quantifier, and existential quantifier respectively. Call this the first-order language. Below are examples:

- $G$ contains a triangle: $\exists x \exists y \exists z x \sim y \wedge x \sim z \wedge y \sim z$.
- no isolated point: $\forall x \exists y(x y)$.
- diameter equals 2: $\forall x \forall y \exists z((x=y) \vee(x \sim y) \vee(x \sim z \wedge y \sim z))$.
$G$ is Hamiltonian, $G$ is connected are not first order theory properties. That is, they cannot be expressed using the first order language. Given that $A$ is a first-order property, let

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(G(n, p) \mid=A) \equiv \operatorname{Pr}(\text { random graph from } G(n, p) \text { has property } A)
$$

Theorem 7 (Fagin; Glebskii, Kogan, Liagonkii, and Talanov). For all fixed p, $0<p<1$, and any first order graph property,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}(G(n, p) \mid=A)=0 \text { or } 1
$$

Theorem 8 (Shelah and Spencer). For all irrational $\alpha \in(0,1)$, setting $p=p(n)=n^{-\alpha}$ and for any first order property $A$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}(G(n, p) \mid=A)=0 \text { or } 1
$$

### 3.4 Percolation on a tree

Theorem 9. Given the random complete binary tree of depth $n$ where each edge is present with probability $p$, let $X_{i}$ be a random indicator variable for the reachability of the ith leaf from the root and $X=\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} X_{i}$, the number of leaves reachable from the root. Then, if $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$

$$
\frac{2}{n+2} \leq \operatorname{Pr}(X>0)
$$

Proof We have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n} \cdot p^{n}= \begin{cases}\infty & p>\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & p=\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & p<\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

By the second moment method,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X>0) \geq \frac{(E[X])^{2}}{E\left[X^{2}\right]}
$$

We have

$$
X^{2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} X_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} X_{i}^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2^{n}} X_{i} X_{j}
$$

Let $k(i, j)$ denote the depth of the node from which the paths from the root to the $i$ th and $j$ th leafs diverge. Then,

$$
E\left[X_{i} X_{j}\right]=p^{2 n-k(i, j)}
$$

and for $p>\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2^{n}} X_{i} X_{j} & =\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2^{n}} p^{2 n-k(i, j)} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k} 2^{n-k} 2^{n-k-1} p^{2 n-k} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^{2 n} \frac{1}{2^{k}} p^{2 n} \frac{1}{p^{k}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(2 p)^{2 n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(2 p)^{k}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(2 p)^{2 n} \cdot \frac{1-\frac{1}{(2 p)^{n}}}{1-\frac{1}{2 p}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(2 p)^{2 n} \frac{2 p}{2 p-1} \\
& =\frac{p}{2 p-1}(2 p)^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
E\left[X^{2}\right] \leq \frac{p}{2 p-1}(2 p)^{2 n}[1+o(1)]
$$

from which follows

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X>0) \geq \frac{(E[X])^{2}}{E\left[X^{2}\right]} \geq \frac{2 p-1}{p}(1-o(1))>c
$$

for $p>\frac{1}{2}$. For $p=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2^{n}} X_{i} X_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k} 2^{n-k} 2^{n-k-1} p^{2 n-k}=\frac{n}{2}
$$

and

$$
E\left[X^{2}\right]=1+\frac{n}{2},(E[X])^{2}=1
$$

from which follows

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X>0) \geq \frac{(E[X])^{2}}{E\left[X^{2}\right]} \geq \frac{2}{n+2}
$$

which proves our lower bound.

