Experts and Multiplicative Weights

slides from Avrim Blum

Using "expert" advice

Say we want to predict the stock market.

- We solicit n "experts" for their advice. (Will the market go up or down?)
- We then want to use their advice somehow to make our prediction. E.g.,

Expt 1	Expt 2	Expt 3	neighbor's dog	truth
down	up	up	up	up
down	up	up	down	down
…	…	…	…	…

Basic question: Is there a strategy that allows us to do nearly as well as best of these in hindsight?

["expert" = someone with an opinion. Not necessarily someone who knows anything.]

Simpler question

- We have n "experts".
- One of these is perfect (never makes a mistake). We just don't know which one.
- Can we find a strategy that makes no more than lg(n) mistakes?

Answer: sure. Just take majority vote over all experts that have been correct so far.

➢Each mistake cuts # available by factor of 2.

➢Note: this means ok for n to be very large.

➢**What is no expert is perfect?**

What if no expert is perfect?

Intuition: Making a mistake doesn't completely disqualify an expert. So, instead of crossing off, just lower its weight.

Weighted Majority Alg:

- Start with all experts having weight 1.
- Predict based on weighted majority vote.
- Penalize mistakes by cutting weight in half.

					prediction	correct
weights	1	1	1	1		
predictions	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
weights	1	1	1	.5		
predictions	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y
weights	1	.5	.5	.5		

Analysis: do nearly as well as best expert in hindsight

- M = # mistakes we've made so far.
- m = # mistakes best expert has made so far.
- W = total weight (starts at n).
- After each mistake, W drops by at least 25%. So, after M mistakes, W is at most $n(3/4)^M$.
- Weight of best expert is $(1/2)^m$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}(1/2)^m &\leq n(3/4)^M \\ (4/3)^M &\leq n2^m \\ M &\leq 2.4(m + \lg n)\end{aligned}$$

So, if m is small, then M is pretty small too.

Randomized Weighted Majority

2.4(m + lg n) not so good if the best expert makes a mistake 20% of the time. Can we do better? Yes.

- Instead of taking majority vote, use weights as probabilities. (e.g., if 70% on up, 30% on down, then pick 70:30) Idea: smooth out the worst case.
- Also, generalize ½ to 1- ε.

Solves to: $M \leq \frac{-m\ln(1-\varepsilon)+\ln(n)}{\varepsilon} \approx (1+\varepsilon/2)m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\ln(n)$

M = expected #mistakes

$M \leq 1.39m + 2\ln n \quad \leftarrow \varepsilon = 1/2$

$M \leq 1.15m + 4\ln n \quad \leftarrow \varepsilon = 1/4$

$M \leq 1.07m + 8\ln n \quad \leftarrow \varepsilon = 1/8$

Analysis

- Say at time t we have fraction F_t of weight on experts that made mistake.
- So, we have probability F_t of making a mistake, and we remove an εF_t fraction of the total weight.
  - $W_{final} = n(1-\varepsilon F_1)(1 - \varepsilon F_2)\ldots$
  - $\ln(W_{final}) = \ln(n) + \sum_t [\ln(1 - \varepsilon F_t)] \leq \ln(n) - \varepsilon \sum_t F_t$ (using $\ln(1-x) < -x$)
    $= \ln(n) - \varepsilon M.$ ($\sum F_t = E[\#\text{ mistakes}]$)
- If best expert makes **m** mistakes, then $\ln(W_{final}) > \ln((1-\varepsilon)^m)$.
- Now solve: $\ln(n) - \varepsilon M > m \ln(1-\varepsilon)$.

$$M \leq \frac{-m \ln(1-\varepsilon) + \ln(n)}{\varepsilon} \approx (1+\varepsilon/2)m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\log(n)$$