CSE 517 Natural Language Processing Winter 2015

Phrase Based Translation

Yejin Choi

Slides from Philipp Koehn, Dan Klein, Luke Zettlemoyer

Phrase-Based Systems





Sentence-aligned corpus



Word alignments

cat ||| chat ||| 0.9 the cat ||| le chat ||| 0.8 dog ||| chien ||| 0.8 house ||| maison ||| 0.6 my house ||| ma maison ||| 0.9 language ||| langue ||| 0.9

Phrase table (translation model)

Phrase Translation Tables

- Defines the space of possible translations
 - each entry has an associated "probability"
- One learned example, for "den Vorschlag" from Europarl data

 This table is noisy, has errors, and the entries do not necessarily match our linguistic intuitions about consistency....

Phrase Translation Model

• Bayes rule

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{e}_{\mathsf{best}} &= \mathsf{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} \ p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) \\ &= \mathsf{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} \ p(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{e}) \ p_{\mathrm{LM}}(\mathbf{e}) \end{split}$$

- translation model $p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f})$
- language model $p_{\rm LM}({f e})$
- Decomposition of the translation model

$$p(\bar{f}_1^I | \bar{e}_1^I) = \prod_{i=1}^I \phi(\bar{f}_i | \bar{e}_i) \ d(start_i - end_{i-1} - 1)$$

- phrase translation probability ϕ
- reordering probability d

Distortion Model



phrase	translates	movement	distance
1	1–3	start at beginning	0
2	6	skip over 4–5	+2
3	4–5	move back over 4–6	-3
4	7	skip over 6	+1

Scoring function: $d(x) = \alpha^{|x|}$ — exponential with distance

Extracting Phrases

We will use word alignments to find phrases

Question: what is the best set of phrases?

Extracting Phrases

- Phrase alignment must
 - Contain at least one alignment edge
 - Contain all alignments for phrase pair

Extract all such phrase pairs!

Phrase Pair Extraction Example

(Maria, Mary), (no, did not), (slap, daba una bofetada), (a la, the), (bruja, witch), (verde, green) (Maria no, Mary did not), (no daba una bofetada, did not slap), (daba una bofetada a la, slap the), (bruja verde, green witch)

(Maria no daba una bofetada, Mary did not slap), (no daba una bofetada a la, did not slap the), (a la bruja verde, the green witch)

(Maria no daba una bofetada a la, Mary did not slap the), (daba una bofetada a la bruja verde, slap the green witch)

(Maria no daba una bofetada a la bruja verde, Mary did not slap the green witch)

Linguistic Phrases?

- Model is not limited to linguistic phrases
 (noun phrases, verb phrases, prepositional phrases, ...)
- Example non-linguistic phrase pair

```
spass am \rightarrow fun with the
```

- Prior noun often helps with translation of preposition
- Experiments show that limitation to linguistic phrases hurts quality

Phrase Size

Phrases do help

 But they don't need to be long

Why should this be?





Bidirectional Alignment

Maria no daba una bofetada a la bruja verde Mary Image: Structure st

english to spanish

spanish to english



intersection



Alignment Heuristics



Size of Phrase Translation Table

- Phrase translation table typically bigger than corpus
 - ... even with limits on phrase lengths (e.g., max 7 words)
- \rightarrow Too big to store in memory?
 - Solution for training
 - extract to disk, sort, construct for one source phrase at a time
 - Solutions for decoding
 - on-disk data structures with index for quick look-ups
 - suffix arrays to create phrase pairs on demand

Why not Learn Phrases w/ EM?

EM Training of the Phrase Model

- We presented a heuristic set-up to build phrase translation table (word alignment, phrase extraction, phrase scoring)
- Alternative: align phrase pairs directly with EM algorithm
 - initialization: uniform model, all $\phi(\bar{e},\bar{f})$ are the same
 - expectation step:
 - * estimate likelihood of all possible phrase alignments for all sentence pairs
 - maximization step:
 - * collect counts for phrase pairs (\bar{e}, \bar{f}) , weighted by alignment probability
 - * update phrase translation probabilties $p(\bar{e}, \bar{f})$
- However: method easily overfits (learns very large phrase pairs, spanning entire sentences)

Phrase Scoring

$$g(f, e) = \log \frac{c(e, f)}{c(e)}$$
$$g(\text{les chats, cats}) = \log \frac{c(\text{cats, les chats})}{c(\text{cats})}$$

1

n \



- Learning weights has been tried, several times:
 - [Marcu and Wong, 02]
 - [DeNero et al, 06]
 - ... and others
- Seems not to work well, for a variety of partially understood reasons
- Main issue: big chunks get all the weight, obvious priors don't help
 - Though, [DeNero et al 08]

Translation: Codebreaking?

"Also knowing nothing official about, but having guessed and inferred considerable about, the powerful new mechanized methods in cryptography—methods which I believe succeed even when one does not know what language has been coded—one naturally wonders if the problem of translation could conceivably be treated as a problem in cryptography.

When I look at an article in Russian, I say: 'This is really written in English, but it has been coded in some strange symbols. I will now proceed to decode.'



Warren Weaver (1955:18, quoting a letter he wrote in 1947)



zi	zhu	zhong	duan
自	助	终	端

self help terminal device

help oneself terminating machine





Examples from Liang Huang

2













or even...





4

Scoring:



- Basic approach, sum up phrase translation scores and a language model
 - Define $y = p_1 p_2 \dots p_L$ to be a translation with phrase pairs p_i
 - Define e(y) be the output English sentence in y
 - Let h() be the log probability under a tri-gram language model
 - Let g() be a phrase pair score (from last slide)
 - Then, the full translation score is:

$$f(y) = h(e(y)) + \sum_{k=1}^{L} g(p_k)$$

• Goal, compute the best translation

$$y^*(x) = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} f(y)$$

Phrase Scoring

$$g(f, e) = \log \frac{c(e, f)}{c(e)}$$
$$g(\text{les chats, cats}) = \log \frac{c(\text{cats, les chats})}{c(\text{cats})}$$

1

n \



- Learning weights has been tried, several times:
 - [Marcu and Wong, 02]
 - [DeNero et al, 06]
 - ... and others
- Seems not to work well, for a variety of partially understood reasons
- Main issue: big chunks get all the weight, obvious priors don't help
 - Though, [DeNero et al 08]

这	7人	中包括	来自	法国	和	俄罗斯	的	宇航	员	
the	7 people	including	by some		and	the russian	the	the astronauts	8	,
it	7 people incl	luded	by france		and the	the russian		international astronautical	of rapporteur .	
this	7 out	including the	from	the french	and the 1	ussian	the fifth	1		
these	7 among	including from		the french a	nd	of the russian	of	space	members	
that	7 persons	including from	the	of france	and to	russian	of the	aerospace	members .	
	7 include		from the	of france an	id russian			astronauts		. the
	7 numbers in	mbers include from france			and russian		of astro	ronauts who		. "
	7 populations include those from fran		ce and russian				astronauts .			
	7 deportees	included	come from	france	and rus	ssia	in	astronautical	personnel	;
-	7 philtrum	including those	e from	france and russia		a space	ce member			
		including repre	esentatives from	france and the russia		russia		astronaut		
		include	came from	france and russia			by cosmonauts			
		include represe	entatives from	french	and russia			cosmonauts		
		include	came from fran	æ	and russi	a 's		cosmonauts .		
		includes	coming from	french and		russia 's		cosmonaut	0	
			2	french and	russian	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	's	astronavigation	member .	
			5	french	and russia		astron	nauts		
		1	2 	÷	and russi	a 's			special rapporteur	
1					, and	russia		Î.	rapporteur	
					, and rus	sia			rapporteur .	
		j.			, and rus	sia			e reven	
		l.			or	russia 's				

Table 1: #11# the seven - member crew includes a stronauts from france and russia .

这	7人	中包括	来自	法国	和	俄罗斯	的	宇航	员	
the	7 people	including	by some		and	the russian	the	the astronauts		,
it	7 people incl	uded	by france		and the	the russian) 	international astronautical	of rapporteur .	
thic	7 cut	including the	from	the french	and the 1	russian	the fiftl	1		
these	7 among	including from		the french a	nd	of the russian	of	space	members	- 24
tnat	7 persons	including from	the	of france	and to	russian	of the	aerospace	members .	
	7 include		from the	of france an	of france and russian astronauts		astronauts			
	7 numbers in	mbers in lude from france			and russian			f astronauts who		
	7 populations include those from fran-		ce and russian				astronauts .			
2	7 deportees i	included	come from	france	ance and russia		in	astronautical	personnel	;
	7 philtrum	in luding those	e from	france an	rance and russia		a space	ce member		
i i i		including repre	sentatives from	france and the russia		russia		astronaut		
1		include	came from	france an	d russia	23. S	by cosn	cosmonauts		
1		menuae represe	ntatives from	french	and rus	ssia	6 84	cosmonauts		
		include	came from franc	xe	and russia 's			cosmonauts .		
		includes	coming from	french and		russia 's		cosmonaut	0	
				french and	russian	6	's	astronavigation	member .	
				french	and russia		astron	nauts		
		1		Ξ.	and russi	ia 's			special rapporteur	
					, and	russia			rapporteur	
. 1		1		5	, and rus	sia			rapporteur .	
				v 6	, and rus	sia			e dodo	
		l.			or	russia 's				

Table 1: #11# the seven - member crew includes a stronauts from france and russia .

这	7人	中包括	来自	法国	和	俄罗斯	的	宇航	员	
the	7 people	including	by some		and	the russian	the	the astronauts	6	
it	7 people incl	uded	by france		and the	the russian		international astronautical	of rapporteur .	
thic	7 cut	including the	from	the french	and the r	ussian	the fiftl	h		
these	7 among	including from		the french a	nd	of the russian	of	space	members	
unau	7 persons	including from	the	of france	and to	russian	of the	999000900	mombors	
	7 include		from the	of france an	d			astronauts		. the
	7 numbers in lude f om france		and russian			of astro	stronauts who			
	7 populations include those from fran-		ce and russian				astronauts .			
2	7 deportees i	included	come from	france and russia		in	astronautical	personnel	;	
	7 philtrum	in luding those	e from	france and russia		a space	ce member			
1		including repre	esentatives from	france and the russia			astronaut			
]		include	came from	france an	d russia	2). 20.	by cosn	cosmonauts		
		menuae represe	ntatives from	french	and rus	ssia	A. 1975.	cosmonauts		
1		include	came from franc	ce	and russia 's			cosmonauts .		
		includes	coming from	french and		russia 's	2	cosmonaut	0	
				french and	russian	6	's	astronavigation	member .	
				french	and rus	ssia	astro	nauts		
					and russi	a 's		2 <u>.</u>	special rapporteur	
					, and	russia			rapporteur	
. 1					, and rus	sia			rapporteur .	0
1		1		6 6	, and rus	sia	0		e veneer e	
		l			or	russia 's				

Table 1: #11# the seven - member crew includes a stronauts from france and russia .

这	7人	中包括	来自	法国	和	俄罗斯	的	宇航	员	
the	7 people	including	by some		and	the russian	the	the astronauts		,
it	7 people incl	uded	by france		and the	the russian) 	international astronautical	of rapporteur .	
thia	7 cut	including the	from	the french	and the 1	russian	the fiftl	h	×	
these	7 among	including from	1	the french	nd	of the russian	of	space	members	3 2
unau	7 persons	including from	the	of france	and to	russian	of the	99669969	members	
	7 include		from the	of france an	d	and the second		astronauts		. the
	7 numbers in	lude	f om france		and russi	an	of astro	Diauts who		. 1
	7 populations include chose from france		and russian			astronauts .				
: 8	7 deportees i	included	come from	france	and rus	ssia	in	astronautical	personnel	;
	7 philtrum	in luding those	e from	france an	d	russia	a space		member	
i li		including repre	esentatives from	france and t	the	russia		astronaut		
		include	came from	f ance an	d russia		by cost	nonauts		
		menuae represe	ntatives from	french	and russia			cossilonauts		
		include	came from franc	e	and russi	and russia 's		cosmonauts .		
]		includes	coming from	french and		russia 's		cosmonaut	o	
				.rench and	russian		's	astronavigation	member .	
1			5	french	and rus	ssia	astro	nauts		
				÷	and russi	a 's			special rapporteur	
					, and	russia			rapporteur	
					, and rus	sia			rapporteur .	
				6	, and rus	sia			e rententi in a	
					or	russia 's				

Table 1: #11# the seven - member crew includes a stronauts from france and russia .

The Pharaoh Decoder

Maria	no	dio	una	bofetada	a	la	bruja	verde
Mary	not no	give	aslap a_slap slap		<u>to</u> <u>the</u> <u>by</u> <u>to</u> the		witch green	green witch
	did_no	t give	-		t	o		
			sl	ap		the	witch	

Maria	no	dio una bofetada	a la	bruja	verde
Mary	did not	slap	the	green	witch

Scores at each step include LM and TM

The Pharaoh Decoder



Space of possible translations

- Phrase table constrains possible translations
- Output sentence is built left to right
 - but source phrases can match any part of sentence
- Each source word can only be translated once
- Each source word must be translated

Scoring:



- In practice, much like for alignment models, also include a distortion penalty
 - Define $y = p_1 p_2 \dots p_L$ to be a translation with phrase pairs p_i
 - Let s(p_i) be the start position of the foreign phrase
 - Let t(p_i) be the end position of the foreign phrase
 - Define η to be the distortion score (usually negative!)
 - Then, we can define a score *with distortion penalty*:

$$f(y) = h(e(y)) + \sum_{k=1}^{L} g(p_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} \eta \times |t(p_k) + 1 - s(p_{k+1})|$$

Goal, compute the best translation

$$y^*(x) = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} f(y)$$

Hypothesis Expansion

Hypothesis Explosion!

- Q: How much time to find the best translation?
 - Exponentially many translations, in length of source sentence
 - NP-hard, just like for word translation models
 - So, we will use approximate search techniques!

Hypothesis Lattices



Can recombine if:

- Last two English words match
- Foreign word coverage vectors match

Decoder Pseudocode

Initialization: Set beam $Q = \{q_0\}$ where q_0 is initial state with no words translated

For i=0 ... n-1 [where n in input sentence length] •For each state $q \in beam(Q)$ and phrase $p \in ph(q)$

- 1. q'=next(q,p) [compute the new state]
- 2. Add(Q,q',q,p)

[add the new state to the beam]

Notes:

 ph(q): set of phrases that can be added to partial translation in state q

•next(q,p): updates the translation in q and records which words have been translated from input

•Add(Q,q',q,p): updates beam, q' is added to Q if it is in the top-n overall highest scoring partial translations

Decoder Pseudocode

Initialization: Set beam $Q=\{q_0\}$ where q_0 is initial state with no words translated

For i=0 ... n-1[where n in input sentence length]•For each state $q \in beam(Q)$ and phrase $p \in ph(q)$ 1. q'=next(q,p)2. Add(Q,q',q,p)[add the new state to the beam]

Possible State Representations:

•Full: q = (e, b, α), e.g. ("Joe did not give," 11000000, 0.092)

- e is the partial English sentence
- b is a bit vector recorded which source words are translated
- α is score of translation so far

Decoder Pseudocode

Initialization: Set beam $Q = \{q_0\}$ where q_0 is initial state with no words translated

For i=0 ... n-1[where n in input sentence length]•For each state $q \in beam(Q)$ and phrase $p \in ph(q)$ 1. q'=next(q,p)2. Add(Q,q',q,p)[add the new state to the beam]

Possible State Representations:

Full: q = (e, b, α), e.g. ("Joe did not give," 11000000, 0.092)
Compact: q = (e₁, e₂, b, r, α),

- e.g. ("not," "give," 11000000, 4, 0.092)
- e_1 and e_2 are the last two words of partial translation
- r is the length of the partial translation

•Compact representation is more efficient, but requires back pointers to get the final translation

Pruning



- Problem: easy partial analyses are cheaper
 - Solution 1: separate bean for each number of foreign words
 - Solution 2: estimate forward costs (A*-like)

Stack Decoding

Stacks



- Hypothesis expansion in a stack decoder
 - translation option is applied to hypothesis
 - new hypothesis is dropped into a stack further down

Stack Decoding

Stack Decoding Algorithm

1:	place empty hypothesis into stack 0
2:	for all stacks $0n-1$ do
3:	for all hypotheses in stack do
4:	for all translation options do
5:	if applicable then
6:	create new hypothesis
7:	place in stack
8:	recombine with existing hypothesis if possible
9:	prune stack if too big
10:	end if
11:	end for
12:	end for
13:	end for

Decoder Pseudocode (Multibeam)

```
Initialization:

•set Q_0 = \{q_0\}, Q_i = \{\} for I = 1 \dots n [n is input sent length]

For i=0 ... n-1

•For each state q \in beam(Q_i) and phrase p \in ph(q)

1. q'=next(q,p)

2. Add(Q_i,q',q,p) where j = len(q')
```

Notes:

- Q_i is a beam of all partial translations where i input words have been translated
- len(q) is the number of bits equal to one in q (the number of words that have been translated)

The "Fundamental Equation of Machine Translation" (Brown et al. 1993)

ê = argmax P(e | f) e

e

= argmax P(e) × P(f | e) / P(f) e

```
= argmax P(e) \times P(f | e)
```

```
What StatMT people do in the
     privacy of their own homes
argmax P(e | f) =
  e
argmax P(e) \times P(f \mid e) / P(f) =
  e
argmax P(e)^{1.9} \times P(f | e) ... works better!
  е
```

Which model are you now paying more attention to?

```
What StatMT people do in the
       privacy of their own homes
argmax P(e | f) =
   e
argmax P(e) \times P(f | e) / P(f)
   e
argmax P(e)^{1.9} \times P(f \mid e) \times 1.1^{\text{length}(e)}
   e
                            Rewards longer hypotheses, since
                            these are 'unfairly' punished by P(e)
```

What StatMT people do in the privacy of their own homes

```
argmax P(e)^{1.9} \times P(f | e) \times 1.1^{length(e)} \times KS^{3.7} \dots
```

е

Lots of knowledge sources vote on any given hypothesis. Each has a weight

"Knowledge source" = "feature function" = "score component".

Log-linear feature-based MT

- $\operatorname{argmax}_{e} 1.9 \times \log P(e) + 1.0 \times \log P(f | e) +$
 - 1.1× log length(e) + 3.7×KS + ...
- = $\operatorname{argmax}_{e} \Sigma_{i} w_{i} f_{i}$
- So, we have two things:
 - "Features" f, such as log language model score
 - A weight *w* for each feature that indicates how good a job it does at indicating good translations

No Data like More Data!



Discussed for LMs, but can new understand full model!

Tuning for MT

- Features encapsulate lots of information
 - Basic MT systems have around 6 features
 - P(e|f), P(f|e), lexical weighting, language model
- How to tune feature weights?
- Idea 1: Use your favorite classifier

Why Tuning is Hard

- Problem 1: There are latent variables
 - Alignments and segementations
 - Possibility: forced decoding (but it can go badly)



Why Tuning is Hard

- Problem 2: There are many right answers
 - The reference or references are just a few options
 - No good characterization of the whole class



 BLEU isn't perfect, but even if you trust it, it's a corpus-level metric, not sentence-level

Linear Models: Perceptron

The perceptron algorithm

- Iteratively processes the training set, reacting to training errors
- Can be thought of as trying to drive down training error
- The (online) perceptron algorithm:
 - Start with zero weights
 - Visit training instances (x_i,y_i) one by one
 - Make a prediction

$$y^* = \arg\max_y w \cdot \phi(x_i, y)$$

- If correct (y*==y_i): no change, goto next example!
- If wrong: adjust weights

$$w = w + \phi(x_i, y_i) - \phi(x_i, y^*)$$

Perceptron training

For each training example (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) : [Collins '02]

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_t) \qquad \mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{y} \\ -\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_p) \qquad \mathbf{y}_p = \text{Decode}(\mathbf{x})$$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} & +\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{h}_t) \\ & -\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_p, \mathbf{h}_p) \end{array} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{h}_t &= \reomega \\ \mathbf{y}_p, \mathbf{h}_p &= \operatorname{DECODE}(\mathbf{x}) \end{vmatrix}$

 $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathsf{t}}, \mathbf{h}_{\mathsf{t}}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathsf{p}}, \mathbf{h}_{\mathsf{p}})$

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{t}, \mathbf{h}_{t}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{p}, \mathbf{h}_{p})$$



$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{t}, \mathbf{h}_{t}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{p}, \mathbf{h}_{p})$$





$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathsf{t}}, \mathbf{h}_{\mathsf{t}}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{\mathsf{p}}, \mathbf{h}_{\mathsf{p}})$$



Why Tuning is Hard

Problem 3: Computational constraints

- Discriminative training involves repeated decoding
- Very slow! So people tune on sets much smaller than those used to build phrase tables

Minimum Error Rate Training

- Standard method: minimize BLEU directly (Och 03)
 - MERT is a discontinuous objective
 - Only works for max ~10 features, but works very well then
 - Here: k-best lists, but forest methods exist (Machery et al 08)



MERT: Convex Upper Bound of BLEU

