































Visualization rhetoric [Hullman & Diakopoulos 2011]

Using data and visualization to persuade users to adopt certain interpretations (explicitly or implicitly)

Framing effects: small changes in presentation of an issue result in significant changes in opinion

Method

- 51 professional produced narrative visualizations
- NYT, BBC, Economist, local news, political outlets
- Iterative qualitative coding, seeded scheme with semiotics, persuasion concepts



















Scope of narrative visualization

- Visualization genres that employ visual and narrative structures to guide attention
- Visualizations that use rhetorical devices to persuade

Discuss with 1 or 2 other people near you:

In your own research or papers you've read/cited, is narrative visualization occurring? How do persuasion and rhetoric occur in these contexts?









Story grammarce	
story grammars:	SUMMARY OF REWRITE RULES FOR A SIMPLE STORY GRAMMAR"
Models of parrative cognition	FABLE \rightarrow STORY AND MORAL STORY \rightarrow SETTING AND EVENT STRUCTURE
Wodels of harrauve cognition	STATE* (AND EVENT*)
based on systematic studies of	SETTING → { EVENT*
what imposts peoples' shility to	$STATE^* \rightarrow STATE ((AND STATE)^*)$
what impacts peoples ability to	THENTS FURNER () THEN FURNERS (AND STATEM
recall parts of a story	$event \rightarrow event (() Hen (CAUSE)) ((AND STATE)))$
	EVENT STRUCTURE \rightarrow EPISODE ((THEN EPISODE)*)
	EPISODE → BEGINNING CAUSE DEVELOPMENT CAUSE ENDING
	BEGINNING \rightarrow
Reader mentally indexes events by	(SIMPLE REACTION CAUSE ACTION
	$DEVELOPMENT \rightarrow \begin{cases} SIGFLE KERCHON CAUSE ACTION \\ COMPLEX PEACTION CAUSE COAL BATH \\ COMPLEX PEACTION COAL BATH \\ COMPLEX PE$
time, space, protagonist, causality,	SIMPLE REACTION → INTERNAL EVENT ((CAUSE INTERNAL EVENT)*)
intention [7waan 1995]	ACTION \rightarrow EVENT
intention [Zwaan 1775]	COMPLEX REACTION \rightarrow SIMPLE REACTION CAUSE GOAL
	$GOAL \rightarrow INTERNAL STATE$
	GOAL PATH →
	ATTEMPT \rightarrow EVENT*
	EVENT*
	EVENT* (AND EMPHASIS)
	ENDING → EMPHASIS EPISODE
	$EMPHASIS \rightarrow STATE$









PetteprosaTseropitéonceypes

Dialogue (e.g., question/answer) Causal Temporal (e.g., chronology, future) Hierarchy (general-to-specific) Comparative Data (Dimension walk, Measure walk) Spatial (e.g., cardinal directions)

A fix for base-rate neglect? 1 out of 100 1 the women had breast cancer at the time of the screening. 80 out of 100 Of those with breast cancer, 80% received a positive result on the mammogram. 15 out of 100 Of those without breast cancer, 15% received a positive result on the mammogram. All others received a negative result. Suppose a woman gets a positive result during a routine mammogram screening. Without knowing any other symptoms, what are the chances she has breast cancer? [Krynski and Tenenbaum 2007]

A fix for base-rate neglect?

1% of the women had breast cancer at the time of the screening.

Of those with breast cancer, 80% received a positive result on the mammogram.

30% of the women had a benign cyst at the time of the screening. Of those with a benign cyst, 50% received a positive result on the mammogram.

All others received a negative result.

Suppose a woman gets a positive result during a routine mammogram screening. Without knowing any other symptoms, what are the chances she has breast cancer? [Krynski and Tenenbaum 2007]

Automated Construction

Requirements

A model of effective visual narrative that can be operationalized algorithmically

Examples:

Automated support for chart reading operations Automated generation of annotated news visualizations Personalized text stories and graphics

Summary

Narrative visualizations blend communication / exploratory techniques

Messaging, metaphor, sequencing, and other suggestive strategies have a powerful impact on interpretation

Semiotics, narrative theory, causal reasoning are critical

Automated systems possible by formalizing features