| I/O in Purely Functional Languages | Stream-Based I/O |
|---|--|
| Four centuries ago, Descartes pondered the mind-body problem: how can incorporeal minds interact with physical bodies? | The input and output are both (potentially infinite) streams of characters. |
| Designers of purely declarative languages (such as Haskell) face an analogous problem: how can virtual software interact with the physical world? | This works OK if input and output aren't interleaved but due to lazy evaluation for more complex interactive programs it becomes difficult to predict the program's behavior. |
| Or using fewer \$0.25 words: how can you do input/output in a purely functional language? | Example (from Miranda). In Miranda the value of a command level expression is a list of `system messages'. The system `prints' such a list of messages by reading it in order from left to right, evaluating and obeying each message in turn as it |
| If the computation consists of just applying a function to an argument and getting the result this is easy. | is encountered. |
| But what if you want interaction? | sys_message ::= Stdout [char] Stderr [char] Tofile [char] [char] Closefile [char] Appendfile [char] System [char] Exit num |
| Three main techniques: • stream-based I/O • continuation-based I/O | Stdout, for example, causes its string argument to be printed to standard out. |
| monads | |
| Alan Borning 1 CSE 505 | Alan Borning 2 CSE 505 |

| A Calculator Program (with a bug) | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| 'lines' takes a list of characters, and returns a list of lists of characters (breaking on newlines) | | |
| numval converts a string to a number; show converts any value to a string | | |
| calc = calcnums (lines \$-) | | |
| <pre>calcnums ns = [Stdout "first number: "] ++ [Stdout "second number: "] ++ [Stdout "sum: "] ++ [Stdout "sum: "] ++ [Stdout (show (numval a + numval b))] ++ [Stdout "\n"] ++ calcnums rest where a = hd ns b = hd (tl ns) rest = tl (tl ns)</pre> | | |

3

A Correct Calculator Program
seq a b forces the evaluation of a, then returns b
calc = calcnums (lines \$-)
calcnums ns = [Stdout "first number: "]
++ (seq a [])
++ [Stdout "second number: "]
++ (seq b [])
++ [Stdout "sum: "]
++ [Stdout (show (numval a + numval b))]
++ [Stdout "\n"]
++ calcnums rest
 where
 a = hd ns
 b = hd (t1 ns)
 rest = t1 (t1 ns)

4

CSE 505

Alan Borning

```
Continuation-Based I/O
                                                                            Monads
(example from the previous version of Haskell)
                                                                            The current preferred solution to Haskell's mind-body problem.
                                                                            Based on some mathematically intense ideas from category
                                                                               theory.
 s1 = "this is a test ..."
                                                                            Exposition mostly stolen from Phil Wadler's paper in Sept 1997
 main = writeFile "ReadMe" s1 exit (
                                                                                ACM Computing Surveys.
     readfile "ReadMe" exit (\s2->
     appendChan stdout
         (if s1==s2 then "contents match"
                                                                            IO (): the type of simple commands.
         else "something intervened!") exit
                                                                            (recall that () is the unit type, like void in C++)
     done))
                                                                            A term of type IO () denotes an action, but does not necessarily
type Name = String
                                                                                perform the action.
type StrCont = String -> Dialog
                                                                            Function to print a character:
writeFile :: Name -> String -> FailCont -> SuccCont -> Dialog
                                                                             putChar :: Char -> IO ()
readFile :: Name -> FailCont -> StrCont -> Dialog
appendChan :: Name -> String -> FailCont -> SuccCont ->
                                                                            Thus putChar '!' denotes the action that, if it is ever
   Dialog
                                                                               performed, will print an exclamation.
done :: Dialog
                                                                            done :: IO ()
                                                                            Thus done denotes the action that, if it is ever performed, will do
exit :: FailCont
                                                                               nothing (done is not built in -- we'll define it shortly).
(FailCont is the type failure continuation)
  Alan Borning
                              5
                                                      CSE 505
                                                                              Alan Borning
                                                                                                          6
```

More Monads

>> is a function to combine monads. If m and n are commands, then m>>n is the command that, if it is ever performed, will do m and then n.

(>>) :: IO () -> IO () -> IO ()

We can now define a function puts to put a string:

```
puts :: String -> IO ()
puts [] = done
puts (c:s) = putc c >> puts s
```

```
Therefore puts 'hi' is equivalent to
```

```
putc 'h' >> (putc 'i' >> done)
```

```
Alan Borning
```

CSE 505

7

| Performing Commands | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| But, you cry, how happen?? | v does anything | g ever actually |
| <pre>1) We can have a dist following type main :: IO ()</pre> | inguished top-level v | ariable main with the |
| When we use "runhug 'main' will be run. | | s") the command |
| <pre>main = puts "has (If you want to try this,</pre> | | .ng/505/hello.hs |
| 2) We can start Haske variable as a comr | ell as usual using "hu mand, then invoke it. | gs". We define any |
| In a file: test = puts "ha: then invoke it using test | skell lives!" | |
| Alan Borning | 8 | CSE 505 |

```
Monads and Equational Reasoning: ML (2)
Monads and Equational Reasoning: ML
Consider the following ML expression:
                                                                        This does work in ML if we abstract a function:
print "hi there";
                                                                         let fun
                                                                          f () =(print "ha!")
This has value () : unit and as a side effect prints to
                                                                         in f (); f () end;
   standard out.
                                                                        this prints "ha!ha!"
print "ha!"; print("ha!");
prints "ha!ha!"
                                                                        and finally:
However, if we try to abstract this:
                                                                         let fun
 let val
                                                                           f () =(print "ha!")
  x =(print "ha!")
                                                                         in 3+4 end;
 in x ; x end;
                                                                        this evaluates to 7 (and doesn't guffaw)
then the laugh is on us ...
Or consider:
 let val
  x =(print "ha!")
 in 3+4 end;
  Alan Borning
                            9
                                                   CSE 505
                                                                          Alan Borning
                                                                                                    10
                                                                                                                           CSE 505
```

```
Monads and Equational Reasoning: Haskell
                                                                         Commands that Yield Values
                                                                          getChar :: IO Char
Now consider the same examples in Haskell.
puts "ha!" >> puts ("ha!");
                                                                            buffer.
is the command that, if it is ever executed, prints "ha!ha!"
                                                                          return :: a -> IO a
We can abstract this:
 let
  x =(puts "ha!")
 in x >> x
                                                                            the type would be wrong.)
to give the command that, if it is ever executed, prints "ha!ha!"
                                                                            as
Further:
                                                                          done :: IO ()
 let.
                                                                          done = return ()
  x =(puts "ha!")
 in 3+4
if evaluated, has value 7 (as expected)
  Alan Borning
                            11
                                                   CSE 505
                                                                           Alan Borning
                                                                                                     12
```

```
if the input buffer contains ABC and we perform the getChar
   command then the command yields 'A', leaving BC in the
The return command does nothing and returns a value.
(We need this so that we can return values from commands -- if
   we just tried to include the value in a sequence of commands
The done command isn't actually built in -- but we can define it
```

```
Primitives for Combining Commands that Yield
                                                                          An Analog of Let
Values
                                                                          Rather than
 (>>=) :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b
                                                                          m>>=n
Thus if m and n are commands, then
m>>=n
                                                                          we can write
is the command that, if it is performed, first performs m, which
                                                                           do x <- m
   should yield a value x. It then performs n, passing x as a
                                                                              nх
   parameter. The value returned by n is the value of the whole
   command m>>=n
                                                                          This is the command, that if it is ever performed, first performs
Example:
                                                                             m and binds the resulting value to x. It then performs n,
getChar >>= putChar
                                                                             passing x as a parameter. The value returned by n is the
                                                                             value of the whole command.
gets a character and then puts it.
                                                                          Caution regarding layout rules: the version above works, but the
We can use this to define a command to get n characters from
                                                                             following doesn't:
   the input:
 gets 0
              = return []
                                                                           do x <- m
                                                                              n x
 gets (i+1) = getChar >>= \c ->
                 gets i >>= \s ->
                                                                          Thus:
                 return (c:s)
                                                                           do c <- getChar
                                                                              putChar c
main = (gets 10) >>= puts
  Alan Borning
                             13
                                                    CSE 505
                                                                            Alan Borning
                                                                                                       14
                                                                                                                              CSE 505
```

```
Some built-in I/O commands in Haskell:
Output Functions
These functions write to the standard output device (this is
   normally the user's terminal).
 putChar :: Char -> IO ()
 putStr
              :: String -> IO ()
 putStrLn :: String -> IO () -- adds a newline
 print
              :: Show a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow IO ()
The print function outputs a value of any printable type to the
   standard output device (this is normally the user's terminal).
   Printable types are those that are instances of class Show;
   print converts values to strings for output using the show
   operation and adds a newline.
For example, a program to print the first 20 integers and their
   powers of 2:
 main = print ([(n, 2<sup>n</sup>) | n <- [0..19]])</pre>
```

16

CSE 505

Alan Borning

Input Functions

These functions read input from the standard input device (normally the user's terminal).

| getChar | :: IO Char |
|-------------|--------------------------------|
| getLine | :: IO String |
| getContents | :: IO String |
| interact | :: (String -> String) -> IO () |
| readIO | :: Read a => String -> IO a |
| readLine | :: Read a => IO a |

Both getChar and getLine raise an exception on end-of-file.

- The getContents operation returns all user input as a single string, which is read lazily as it is needed.
- The interact function takes a function of type String->String as its argument. The entire input from the standard input device (normally the user's terminal) is passed to this function as its argument, and the resulting string is output on the standard output device.

17

(From Section 7 of the Haskell Report).

Read and Show

show :: (Show a) => a -> String

```
example:
show (3.2+4.1) => "7.3"
```

read :: (Read a) => String -> a

example:

read "3.2" + 10.0 => 13.2 (however, the type system will be unhappy with just read "3.2")

Alan Borning

18

The Monadic Calculator

Alan Borning

```
-- Haskell calculator (demonstrates a simple
-- interactive program using monads)
```

-- see ~borning/505/calc.hs

Other Useful Functions for I/O lines -- break up a string into substrings at the newline chars lines :: String -> [String] unlines -- put it back together unlines :: [String] -> String lex -- gets the first token from a string, returning a tuple with the token and the remaining part of the string lex "3*x+2*y" => ("3", "*x+2*y")

CSE 505

20

Showing

```
Consider the Tree type: (see the file ~borning/Tree.hs)
 data Tree a = Leaf a | Branch (Tree a) (Tree a)
 We can write a show function as follows:
 showTree :: (Show a) => Tree a -> String
 showTree (Leaf x) = show x
 showTree (Branch l r) =
    "<" ++ showTree l ++ "|" ++ showTree r ++ ">"
 sample = (Branch (Branch (Leaf 1) (Leaf 2))
                    (Leaf 3))
Then showTree sample => "<<1|2>|3>"
                        21
                                            CSE 505
```

```
ReadS and ShowS
showTree ends up doing extra concatenation -- we can avoid
  this by passing along an accumulator:
 -- version of showTree that uses an accumulator
 showsTree :: (Show a) => Tree a->String->String
 showsTree (Leaf x) s = shows x s
 showsTree (Branch l r) s = '<' :
    showsTree l (`|' : showsTree r (`>' : s))
Then showsTree sample "" => "<<1 | 2> | 3>"
 -- and a version using functional composition:
 showsTree2 :: (Show a) => Tree a -> ShowS
 showsTree2 (Leaf x) = shows x
 showsTree2 (Branch l r) = (`<`:) . showsTree l</pre>
  . (`|':) . showsTree r . (`>':)
showsTree2 sample "" => "<<1|2>|3>"
 Alan Borning
                        22
                                             CSE 505
```

Object Level to Function Level

from the Gentle Introduction:

Alan Borning

"Something more important than just tidying up the code has come about by this transformation: We have raised the presentation from an object level (in this case, strings) to a function level. We can think of the typing as saying that showsTree maps a tree into a showing function. Functions like ('<' :) or ("a string" ++) are primitive showing functions, and we build up more complex functions by function composition."