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Message Passing

- Threads communicate via send and receive along channels instead of read and write of references

- Not so different? (can implement references on top of channels and channels on top of references)

- **Synchronous** message-passing
  - Block until communication takes place
  - Encode asynchronous by “spawn someone who blocks”
Concurrent ML

- CML is synchronous message-passing with *first-class synchronization events*
  - Can wrap synchronization abstractions to make new ones
  - At run-time

- Originally done for ML and fits well with lambdas, type-system, and implementation techniques, but more widely applicable
  - Available in Racket, OCaml, Haskell, ...

- Very elegant and under-appreciated

- Think of threads as *very lightweight*
  - Creation/space cost about like a function call
The Basics

type 'a channel (* messages passed on channels *)
val new_channel : unit -> 'a channel

type 'a event (* when sync’ed on, get an 'a *)
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event
val sync : 'a event -> 'a

- Send and receive return “events” immediately
- Sync blocks until “the event happens”
- Separating these is key in a few slides
Simple version

Can define helper functions by trivial composition:

```ocaml
let sendNow ch a = sync (send ch a) (* block *)
let recvNow ch = sync (receive ch) (* block *)
```

“Who communicates” is up to the CML implementation

- Can be nondeterministic when there are multiple senders/receivers on the same channel
- Implementation needs collection of waiting senders xor receivers

Terminology note:

- Function names are those in OCaml’s Event library.
- In SML, the CML book, etc.:
  ```ocaml
  send  ~>  sendEvt  sendNow  ~>  send
  receive  ~>  recvEvt  recvNow  ~>  recv
  ```
Bank Account Example

See lec21code.ml

- First version: In/out channels are only access to private reference
  - In channel of type action channel
  - Out channel of type float channel

- Second version: Makes functional programmers smile
  - State can be argument to a recursive function
  - “Loop-carried”
  - Hints at deep connection between references and channels
    - Can implement the reference abstraction in CML
The Interface

The real point of the example is that you can abstract all the threading and communication away from clients:

```haskell
type acct
val mkAcct : unit -> acct
val get  : acct -> float -> float
val put : acct -> float -> float
```

Hidden thread communication:
- `mkAcct` makes a thread (the “this account server”)
- `get` and `put` make the server go around the loop once

Races naturally avoided: the server handles one request at a time
- CML *implementation* has queues for waiting communications
Streams

Another pattern/concept easy to code up in CML is a *stream*

- An infinite sequence of values, produced lazily (“on demand”)

Example in `lec21code.ml`: square numbers

Standard more complicated example: A network of streams for producing prime numbers. One approach:

- First stream generates 2, 3, 4, ...
- When the last stream generates a number $p$, return it and dynamically add a stream as the new last stream
  - Draws input from old last stream but outputs only those that are not divisible by $p$

Streams also:

- Have deep connections to *circuits*
- Are easy to code up in lazy languages like Haskell
- Are a key abstraction in real-time data processing
Wanting choice

- So far just used `sendNow` and `recvNow`, hidden behind simple interfaces

- But these block until the *rendezvous*, which is insufficient for many important communication patterns

- Example: `add : int channel -> int channel -> int`
  - Must choose which to receive first; hurting performance if other provider ready earlier

- Example: `or : bool channel -> bool channel -> bool`
  - Cannot short-circuit

*This* is why we split out `sync` and have other primitives
Choose and Wrap

type 'a event (* when sync’ed on, get an 'a *)
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event
val sync : 'a event -> 'a

val choose : 'a event list -> 'a event
val wrap : 'a event -> ('a -> 'b) -> 'b event

▶ choose: when synchronized on, block until one of the events happen (cf. UNIX select, but more useful to have sync separate)
▶ wrap: an event with the function as post-processing
  ▶ Can wrap as many times as you want

Note: Skipping a couple other key primitives (e.g., withNack for timeouts)
Circuits

To an electrical engineer:

▶ send and receive are ends of a gate
▶ wrap is combinational logic connected to a gate
▶ choose is a multiplexer
▶ sync is getting a result out

To a programming-language person:

▶ Build up a data structure describing a communication protocol
▶ Make it a first-class value that can be by passed to sync
▶ Provide events in interfaces so other libraries can compose larger abstractions
What can’t you do

CML is by-design for point-to-point communication

➤ Provably impossible to do things like 3-way swap (without busy-waiting or higher-level protocols)

➤ Related to issues of common-knowledge, especially in a distributed setting

➤ Metamoral: Being a broad computer scientist is really useful
A note on implementation and paradigms

CML encourages using *lots* (100,000s) of threads
▶ Example: X Window library with one thread per widget

Threads should be cheap to support this paradigm
▶ SML N/J: about as expensive as making a closure!
  ▶ Think “current stack” plus a few words
  ▶ Cost no time when blocked on a channel (dormant)
▶ OCaml: Not cheap, unfortunately

A thread responding to channels is a lot like an *asynchronous object* (cf. *actors*)