# CSE 505, Fall 2007, Midterm Examination 1 November 2007

# Please do not turn the page until everyone is ready.

Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, except for one side of one 8.5x11in piece of paper.
- Please stop promptly at 11:50.
- You can rip apart the pages, but please write your name on each page.
- There are **100 points** total, distributed **unevenly** among **4** questions (which have multiple parts).

Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit.
- The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. **Skip around.** In particular, make sure you get to all the problems.
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Name:\_\_\_\_

For your reference:

$$\begin{array}{rll} s & ::= & \mathsf{skip} \mid x := e \mid s; s \mid \mathsf{if} \ e \ s \ s \mid \mathsf{while} \ e \ s \\ e & ::= & c \mid x \mid e + e \mid e \ast e \\ (c & \in & \{ \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \} ) \\ (x & \in & \{ \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \dots \} ) \end{array}$$

$$H \ ; \ e \ \Downarrow \ c$$

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \begin{array}{c} \text{CONST} \\ \hline H \ ; \ c \ \psi \ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{VAR} \\ \hline H \ ; \ s \ \psi \ H(x) \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{ADD} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 & H \ ; \ e_2 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \\ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{MULT} \ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi \ c_2 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{MIT} \ H \ ; \ e_1 \ \psi \ e_1 \ \psi \ c_1 \ \psi$ 

 $e \rightarrow e'$ 

$$\frac{e_1 \to e'_1}{(\lambda x. \ e) \ v \to e[v/x]} \qquad \qquad \frac{e_1 \to e'_1}{e_1 \ e_2 \to e'_1 \ e_2} \qquad \qquad \frac{e_2 \to e'_2}{v \ e_2 \to v \ e'_2}$$

e[e'/x] = e''

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{x[e/x] = e} & \qquad \frac{e_1[e/x] = e'_1 \quad y \neq x \quad y \notin FV(e)}{(\lambda y. \ e_1)[e/x] = \lambda y. \ e'_1} \\ \frac{y \neq x}{y[e/x] = y} & \qquad \frac{e_1[e/x] = e'_1 \quad e_2[e/x] = e'_2}{(e_1 \ e_2)[e/x] = e'_1 \ e'_2} \end{aligned}$$

 $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash c: \mathsf{int}}{\Gamma \vdash c: \mathsf{int}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_1 \vdash e: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. \ e: \tau_1 \to \tau_2} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1: \tau_2 \to \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2: \tau_1}$$

- If  $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$  and  $e \to e'$ , then  $\cdot \vdash e' : \tau$ .
- If  $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ , then e is a value or there exists an e' such that  $e \to e'$ .
- If  $\Gamma, x: \tau' \vdash e : \tau$  and  $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash e[e'/x] : \tau$ .

Name:

1. In this problem, we consider an expression language that is like expressions in IMP except we remove multiplication and we add a *global counter*. Our syntax is:

$$e ::= c \mid x \mid e + e \mid \mathsf{next}$$

Informally, the next expression evaluates to the current counter-value and has the side-effect of incrementing the counter value.

- (a) (11 points) Give a large-step semantics for this expression language. The judgment should have the form  $H; c_1; e \downarrow c_2; c$  where:
  - H, e, and c are like in IMP.
  - $c_1$  is the value of the global counter before evaluation.
  - $c_2$  is the value of the global counter after evaluation.
- (b) (16 points) Prove this theorem: If  $H; c_1; e \Downarrow c_2; c$  and  $c'_1 > c_1$ , then there exist  $c'_2$  and c' such that  $H; c'_1; e \Downarrow c'_2; c'$  and  $c'_2 > c_2$ .
- (c) (7 points) Suppose we also extend IMP statement semantics to support the global counter (so the judgment has the form  $H; c; s \to H'; c'; s'$ ). Argue that this theorem is false: If  $H_1; c_1; s \to^* H_2; c_2; skip$  and  $c'_1 > c_1$ , then there exist  $H'_2$  and  $c'_2$  such that  $H; c'_1; s \to^* H'_2; c'_2; skip$  and  $c'_2 > c_2$ . You do not need to give the semantic rules for statements or show a full state sequence. Just give an example showing the theorem is false and explain why informally.

#### Solution:

(a)

$$\frac{\text{CONST}}{H;c_1;c_2 \Downarrow c_1;c_2} \qquad \frac{\text{VAR}}{H;c_1;x \Downarrow c_1;H(x)} \qquad \frac{\overset{\text{ADD}}{H;c;e_1 \Downarrow c';c_1} \qquad H;c';e_2 \Downarrow c'';c_2}{H;c;e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow c'';c_1 + c_2}$$
$$\frac{\overset{\text{NEXT}}{H;c;\operatorname{next} \Downarrow c+1;c}}{H;c;\operatorname{next} \Downarrow c+1;c}$$

- (b) By induction on the derivation of  $H; c_1; e \Downarrow c_2; c$ :
  - If the derivation ends with CONST, then  $c_2 = c_1$  and we can use CONST to derive  $H; c'_1 \Downarrow c'_1; c$ . Since  $c'_1 > c_1 = c_2$ , letting  $c'_2 = c'_1$  (and c' = c) suffices.
  - If the derivation ends with VAR, then  $c_2 = c_1$ , and we can use VAR to derive  $H; c'_1 \Downarrow c'_1; c$ . Since  $c'_1 > c_1 = c_2$ , letting  $c'_2 = c'_1$  (and c' = c) suffices.
  - If the derivation ends with ADD, then  $e = e_1 + e_2$  and there exists some  $c_3$ ,  $c_4$ , and  $c_5$  such that  $H; c_1; e_1 \Downarrow c_3; c_4$  and  $H; c_3; e_2 \Downarrow c_2; c_5$ . So by induction on the derivation for  $e_1$  there exist  $c'_3 > c_3$  and  $c'_4$  such that  $H; c'_1; e_1 \Downarrow c'_3; c'_4$ . Since  $c'_3 > c_3$ , by induction on the derivation for  $e_2$  there exist  $c'_2 > c_2$  and  $c'_5$  such that  $H; c'_1; e_1 \Downarrow c'_3; e_2 \Downarrow c'_2; c'_5$ . So using ADD with  $H; c'_1; e_1 \Downarrow c'_3; c'_4$  and  $H; c'_3; e_2 \Downarrow c'_2; c'_5$  we can derive  $H; c'_1; e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow c'_2; c'_4 + c'_5$  where  $c'_2 > c_2$ .
  - If the derivation ends with NEXT, then  $c_2 = c_1 + 1$  and we can use NEXT to derive  $H; c'_1; \text{next} \Downarrow c'_1 + 1; c'_1$ . Since  $c'_1 > c_1$ , we know  $c'_1 + 1 > c_1 + 1 = c_2$ .
- (c) The essence of the problem is conditionals (or loops). For example, consider s = if next skip next. If  $c_1 = 0$  and  $c'_1 = 1$ , then  $H; c_1; s \to^* H; 2$ ; skip and  $H; c'_1; s \to^* H; 2$ ; skip, but  $2 \ge 2$ .

Name:\_\_\_\_\_(*This page intentionally blank*)

Name:

- 2. (10 points) In this problem we extend IMP statements with the construct repeat c s. Informally, the idea is to execute s c times. Here are two *separate* ways one might add rules to the semantics:
  - First way:

$$\frac{c > 0}{H; \texttt{repeat } c \ s \to H; (s; \texttt{repeat } (c-1) \ s)} \qquad \qquad \frac{c \le 0}{H; \texttt{repeat } c \ s \to H; \texttt{skip}}$$

• Second way:

$$H$$
; repeat  $c \ s \to H$ ;  $(s; if (c-1) (repeat (c-1) s) skip)$ 

One of these ways is *wrong* (in some situations) according to the informal description.

- (a) Which way is wrong? Explain why it is wrong.
- (b) Show how to change the wrong way to make it correct.

### Solution:

- (a) The second way is wrong; it always executes s at least once. If  $c \leq 0$ , it should not execute s any times.
- (b) We can still use the idea of unrolling to an if-statement; we just cannot assume s executes at least once. This simpler approach works fine, just like for while-statements:

 $\overline{H}$ ; repeat  $c \ s \to H$ ; if  $c \ (s;$  repeat  $(c-1) \ s)$  skip

Name:\_\_

- 3. (18 points) Note there is a part (a) and part (b) to this problem.
  - (a) For each Caml function below (q1, q2, and q3):
    - Describe in 1–2 English sentences what the function computes.
    - Give the type of the function. (Hint: For all three functions, the type has one type variable.)

```
let q1 x =
    let rec g x y =
        match x with
        [] -> y
        | hd::tl -> g tl (hd::y)
        in g x []
let rec q2 f lst =
        match lst with
        [] -> []
        | hd::tl -> if f hd then hd::(q2 f tl) else q2 f tl
let q3 x g = g (g x)
```

(b) Consider this purposely complicated code that uses q3 as defined above.

let x = q3 2let y z = z+zlet z = 9let x = x y

After evaluating this code, what is **x** bound to?

## Solution:

- (a) q1 takes a list and returns its reverse. It has type 'a list -> 'a list.
  - q2 takes a function and a list and returns the list containing all the elements from the input list (in order) for which the function applied to the element returns true. (It's a filter.) It has type ('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list.
  - q3 returns the result of applying its second argument to the result of applying its second argument to its first argument. It has type 'a -> ('a -> 'a) -> 'a.

(b) 8

Name:\_

4. In this problem, we consider a call-by-value lambda-calculus with very basic support for profiling: In addition to computing a value, it computes how many times an expression of the form count e is evaluated. Here is the syntax and operational semantics:

$$e ::= \lambda x. \ e \mid x \mid e \mid e \mid c \mid c$$
ount  $e \mid c$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline c; e \to c'; e' \\ \hline \hline c; (\lambda x. \ e) \ v \to c; e[v/x] \\ \hline \hline c; (count \ v \to c+1; v \\ \hline \hline c; count \ v \to c+1; v \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline c; e_1 \to c'; e_1' \\ \hline c; e_1 \ e_2 \to c'; e_1' \ e_2 \\ \hline \hline c; e_2 \to c'; v \ e_2' \\ \hline \hline c; count \ e \to c'; count \ e' \\ \hline \end{array}$ 

Given a source program e, our initial state is 0; e (i.e., the count starts at 0). A program state c; e type-checks if e type-checks (i.e., the count can be anything).

- (a) (6 points) Give a typing rule for count e that is sound and not unnecessarily restrictive.
- (b) (13 points) State an appropriate Preservation Lemma for this language. Prove just the case(s) directly involving count e expressions.
- (c) (13 points) State an appropriate Progress Lemma for this language. Prove just the case(s) directly involving count e expressions.
- (d) (6 points) Give an example program that terminates in our language *and* would terminate if we changed function application to be call-by-name *but* under call-by-name it would produce a different resulting count. (Hint: This should not be difficult.)

#### Solution:

(a)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{count} \ e : \tau}$$

(b) If  $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$  and  $c; e \to c'; e'$ , then  $\cdot \vdash e' : \tau$ . We can prove this by induction on the derivation of  $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ . In the case we're asked to prove, the bottom of the derivation looks like:

$$\frac{\cdot \vdash e_0 : \tau}{\cdot \vdash \mathsf{count} \ e_0 : \tau}$$

There are two possible ways c; count  $e_0$  can step to some e'. If  $e_0$  is a value, then  $e' = e_0$  and the assumed derivation's hypothesis  $\cdot \vdash e_0 : \tau$  suffices. If  $e_0$  is not a value, then  $e' = \text{count } e'_0$  where  $c; e_0 \to c'; e'_0$ . So using  $\cdot \vdash e_0 : \tau$  and induction,  $\cdot \vdash e'_0 : \tau$ , so we can derive  $\cdot \vdash \text{count } e'_0 : \tau$ .

(c) If  $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ , then e is a value or there exists an e' and c' such that  $c; e \to c; e'$ . In the case we're asked to prove the bottom of the derivation looks like:

$$\frac{\cdot \vdash e_0 : \tau}{\cdot \vdash \mathsf{count} \ e_0 : \tau}$$

So using  $\cdot \vdash e_0 : \tau$ , by induction either  $e_0$  is a value or  $c; e_0 \to c'; e'_0$  for some c' and  $e'_0$ . If  $e_0$  is a value, then c; count  $e_0 \to c+1; e_0$ . If  $c; e_0 \to c'; e'_0$ , then we can derive c; count  $e_0 \to c';$  count  $e'_0$ . (d) One of an infinite number of examples is  $(\lambda x. 0)$ (count 0). Name:\_\_\_\_\_(*This page intentionally blank*)