Message Passing

- Threads communicate via `send` and `receive` along `channels` instead of `read` and `write` of references

- Not so different? (can implement references on top of channels and channels on top of references)

- *Synchronous* message-passing
  - `Block` until communication takes place
  - Encode asynchronous by “spawn someone who blocks”
Concurrent ML

- CML is synchronous message-passing with first-class synchronization events
  - Can wrap synchronization abstractions to make new ones
  - At run-time

- Originally done for ML and fits well with lambdas, type-system, and implementation techniques, but more widely applicable
  - Variants available in Racket, OCaml, Haskell, ...

- Very elegant and under-appreciated

- Think of threads as very lightweight
  - Creation/space cost about like a function call
The Basics

type 'a channel (* messages passed on channels *)
val new_channel : unit -> 'a channel

type 'a event (* when sync'ed on, get an 'a *)
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event
val sync : 'a event -> 'a

▶ Send and receive return "events" immediately
▶ Sync blocks until "the event happens"
▶ Separating these is key in a few slides
Simple version

Can define helper functions by trivial composition:

let sendNow ch a = sync (send ch a) (* block *)
let recvNow ch = sync (receive ch) (* block *)

“Who communicates” is up to the CML implementation

▶ Can be nondeterministic when there are multiple senders/receivers on the same channel
▶ Implementation needs collection of waiting senders xor receivers

Terminology note:

▶ Function names are those in OCaml’s Event library.
▶ In SML, the CML book, etc.:

  send  ⇞  sendEvt  sendNow  ⇞  send
  receive  ⇞  recvEvt  recvNow  ⇞  recv
Bank Account Example

See lec17code.ml

- First version: In/out channels are only access to private reference
  - In channel of type action channel
  - Out channel of type float channel

- Second version: Makes functional programmers smile
  - State can be argument to a recursive function
  - “Loop-carried”
  - Hints at deep connection between references and channels
    - Can implement the reference abstraction in CML
The Interface

The real point of the example is that you can abstract all the threading and communication away from clients:

```ml
type acct
val mkAcct : unit -> acct
val get : acct -> float -> float
val put : acct -> float -> float
```

Hidden thread communication:

- `mkAcct` makes a thread (the “this account server”)
- `get` and `put` make the server go around the loop once

Races naturally avoided: the server handles one request at a time

- CML *implementation* has queues for waiting communications
Streams

Another pattern/concept easy to code up in CML is a stream

- An infinite sequence of values, produced lazily (“on demand”)

Example in lec17code.ml: square numbers

Standard more complicated example: A network of streams for producing prime numbers. One approach:

- First stream generates 2, 3, 4, ...
- When the last stream generates a number \( p \), return it and dynamically add a stream as the new last stream
  - Draws input from old last stream but outputs only those that are not divisible by \( p \)

Streams also:

- Have deep connections to circuits
- Are easy to code up in lazy languages like Haskell
- Are a key abstraction in real-time data processing
Wanting choice

- So far just used `sendNow` and `recvNow`, hidden behind simple interfaces

- But these *block* until the *rendezvous*, which is insufficient for many important communication patterns

- Example: `add : int channel -> int channel -> int`
  - Must choose which to receive first; hurting performance if other provider ready earlier

- Example: `or : bool channel -> bool channel -> bool`
  - Cannot short-circuit

*This* is why we split out `sync` and have other primitives
Choose and Wrap

type 'a event (* when sync’ed on, get an 'a *)
val send : 'a channel -> 'a -> unit event
val receive : 'a channel -> 'a event
val sync : 'a event -> 'a

val choose : 'a event list -> 'a event
val wrap : 'a event -> ('a -> 'b) -> 'b event

▶ choose: when synchronized on, block until one of the events happen (cf. UNIX select, but more useful to have sync separate)
▶ wrap: an event with the function as post-processing
  ▶ Can wrap as many times as you want

Note: Skipping a couple other key primitives (e.g., withNack for timeouts)
Circuits

To an electrical engineer:

▶ send and receive are ends of a gate
▶ wrap is combinational logic connected to a gate
▶ choose is a multiplexer
▶ sync is getting a result out

To a programming-language person:

▶ Build up a data structure describing a communication protocol
▶ Make it a first-class value that can be by passed to sync
▶ Provide events in interfaces so other libraries can compose larger abstractions
What can’t you do

CML is by-design for point-to-point communication

- Provably impossible to do things like 3-way swap (without busy-waiting or higher-level protocols)
- Related to issues of common-knowledge, especially in a distributed setting
- Metamoral: Being a broad computer scientist is really useful
A note on implementation and paradigms

CML encourages using *lots* (100,000s) of threads

- Example: X Window library with one thread per widget

Threads should be cheap to support this paradigm

- SML N/J: about as expensive as making a closure!
  - Think “current stack” plus a few words
  - Cost no time when blocked on a channel (dormant)
- OCaml: Not cheap, unfortunately

A thread responding to channels is a lot like an *asynchronous object* (cf. *actors*)