CSE 505: Concepts of Programming Languages

Dan Grossman
Fall 2007
Lecture 2— Abstract Syntax

Finally, some content

For our first *formal language*, let's leave out functions, objects, records, threads, exceptions, ...

What's left: integers, assignment (mutation), control-flow

(Abstract) syntax using a common meta-notation:

"A program is a statement $oldsymbol{s}$ defined as follows"

$$s ::= skip \mid x := e \mid s; s \mid if \ e \ s \ s \mid while \ e \ s$$
 $e ::= c \mid x \mid e + e \mid e * e$
 $(c \in \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\})$
 $(x \in \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots, z_1, z_2, \ldots, s\})$

Syntax definition

```
s ::= skip \mid x := e \mid s; s \mid if \ e \ s \ s \mid while \ e \ s
e ::= c \mid x \mid e + e \mid e * e
(c \in \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\})
(x \in \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots, z_1, z_2, \ldots, s\})
```

- Blue is metanotation (::= "can be a", | "or")
- Metavariables represent "anything in the syntax class"
- Use parentheses to disambiguate, e.g., if x skip y := 0; z := 0

E.g.:
$$y := 1$$
; while $x (y := y * x; x := x - 1)$

Inductive definition

With care, our syntax definition is *not* circular!

$$s ::= skip \mid x := e \mid s; s \mid if \ e \ s \ s \mid while \ e \ s = c \mid x \mid e + e \mid e * e$$

Let $E_0=\emptyset$. For i>0, let E_i be E_{i-1} union "expressions of the form $c,\,x,\,e+e$, or e*e where $e\in E_{i-1}$ ". Let $E=\bigcup_{i\geq 0}E_i$. The set E is what we mean by our compact metanotation.

To get it: What set is E_1 ? E_2 ?

Explain statements the same way. What is S_1 ? S_2 ? Stop only when you're bored.

Proving Obvious Stuff

All we have is syntax (sets of abstract-syntax trees), but let's get the idea of proving things carefully...

Theorem 1: There exist expressions with three constants.

Our First Theorem

There exist expressions with three constants.

Pedantic Proof: Consider e=1+(2+3). Showing $e\in E_3$ suffices because $E_3\subseteq E$. Showing $2+3\in E_2$ and $1\in E_2$ suffices...

PL-style proof: Consider e=1+(2+3) and definition of \boldsymbol{E} .

Theorem 2: All expressions have at least one constant or variable.

Our Second Theorem

All expressions have at least one constant or variable.

Pedantic proof: By induction on i, show for all $e \in E_i$.

- ullet Base: i=0 implies $E_i=\emptyset$
- Inductive: i>0. Consider arbitrary $e\in E_i$ by cases:
 - $-e \in E_{i-1} \dots$
 - $-e=c\dots$
 - $-e=x\dots$
 - $-e=e_1+e_2$ where $e_1,e_2\in E_{i-1}$...
 - $-e=e_1*e_2$ where $e_1,e_2\in E_{i-1}$

A "Better" Proof

All expressions have at least one constant or variable.

PL-style proof: By $structural\ induction$ on (rules for forming an expression) e. Cases:

- *c* . . .
- ullet x
- \bullet $e_1 + e_2 \dots$
- \bullet $e_1 * e_2 \dots$

Structural induction invokes the induction hypothesis on *smaller* terms. It is equivalent to the pedantic proof, and the convenient way.