

# CSE 503: Project Proposal: Structured Delta

Instructed by *Michael Ernst*

Ji Luo (Student No. 1940053; UW NetID luoji)

16 April 2019

## Problem Statement

Version control systems (VCS) are ubiquitously adopted in modern software development for codebase tracking. A popular VCS, Git, uses directed acyclic graphs to track versions of a repository, where each revision (commit) is a vertex with parent vertices pointing to it. Coding by a programmer corresponds to creating vertices with one parent – the parent represents the version on which further coding is based, and the new vertex represents the updated version. Git can display the difference (diff) between any two vertices. When the software is developed by a team, team members contribute to the codebase concurrently, resulting in a diverging graph (in contrast to a chain). It is thus important to be able to consolidate diverging revisions, a.k.a. merge the changes.

Merging and computing difference are closely related. A widely used strategy (if not the only one) for merging is to compute the difference between the two vertices to be merged with their nearest common ancestor, then combine the differences to produce the result. Git diffs text files by line (and binary files as a whole), which naturally leads to line-based merging strategy. The method takes advantage of the fact that code files are often formatted so that each line roughly corresponds to a fine-grained part in the semantical hierarchy (both for semantics of the programming language and semantics to human). However, this is far from being perfect. First, lines do not always correspond to part of the semantical hierarchy, as many programming languages ignore the difference between a whitespace character and consecutive whitespace characters, i.e., line separators can be inserted or removed without changing the semantics of the program. Insertion and removal of line separators are often done for aesthetic reasons (for example, to keep each line shorter than 80 characters). Second, lines can be too coarse for interpretation. For example, changing a subexpression inside a huge expression results in the whole line being identified as changed, which blurs the focus to that particular subexpression. Third, lines can be too fine for interpretation, which becomes a problem (only) when the formatting of a line is contextual. For example, a chunk of code accomplishes some task, which is later revised to be needed only when a condition holds. This chunk of code will be wrapped inside some if statement in the new version, thus having one more level of indentation. They will all be identified as changed under line-based comparison, smudged with the real change – a new precondition.

This creates (at least) two problems. One is that developers read the differentiation to understand the changes made between the revisions, and line-based diffs might blur and smudge the relevant, “real”, changes with line artefacts. In fact, the interplay of having multiple changes inbetween might lead to less sensible diffs that forget the structure of the programming language (the change itself cannot be interpreted as well-structured non-terminals in the programming language). For example, accidental matching and mismatching of indentation levels of closing braces might result in a diff where logically grouped changes are displayed across scope boundaries. The other problem is that it naturally leads to bad merging results. See Figure 1 and Figure 2 for concrete examples.

<pre> 1 1 #include&lt;stdio.h&gt; 2 2 3 3 int main(int argc, char **argv) 4 4 { -5 puts("Hello, world!"); +5 if (argc == 1) +6 { +7     puts("Hello, world!"); +8 } 6 9     return 0; 7 10 } 8 11 </pre>	<pre> 1 1 #include&lt;stdio.h&gt; 2 2 3 3 int main(int argc, char **argv) 4 4 { +5     if (argc == 1) +6     { 5 7         puts("Hello, world!"); +8     } 6 9     return 0; 7 10 } 8 11 </pre>
--	---

(a) A bad diff.

(b) A good diff.

Figure 1: Example exhibiting undesired result from line-based diff. Created with <https://strcmp.cc/>.

<pre> 1 1 static void TimeoutFifoConnection() 2 2 { 3 3     Sleep(timeout); -4     if (!FifoConnected) +4     if (FifoConnected) 5 5     { -6         FifoStream fs = null; -7         try +6         return; +7     } +8     FifoStream fs = null; +9     try +10    { +11        if (direction == In) 8 12    { -9            if (direction == In) -10           { -11                fs = OpenFifoAsReader(); -12            } -13            else /* direction == Out */ -14            { -15                fs = OpenFifoAsWriter(); -16            } +13            fs = OpenFifoAsReader(); 17 14    } -18    catch +15    else /* direction == Out */ 19 16    { +17        fs = OpenFifoAsWriter(); 20 18    } -21    finally +19    } +20    catch +21    { +22    } +23    finally +24    { +25        if (fs != null) 22 26    { -23        if (fs != null) -24        { -25            try { fs.Close(); } catch { } -26        } +27        try { fs.Close(); } catch { } 27 28    } 28 29    } -29    DeleteFifo(); 30 30 } 31 31 </pre>	<div style="text-align: center; margin-bottom: 20px;"></div> <pre> 1 1 static void TimeoutFifoConnection() 2 2 { 3 3     Sleep(timeout); -4     if (!FifoConnected) +4     if (FifoConnected) 5 5     { +6         return; +7     } 6 8     FifoStream fs = null; 7 9     try 8 10    { ... 25 27        try { fs.Close(); } catch { } 26 28    } 27 29    } -28    } -29    DeleteFifo(); 30 30 } 31 31 </pre>
---	--

(a) A bad diff.

(b) A better diff.

Figure 2: Example exhibiting result that forgets language structure from line-based diff. The change is from deep nesting to early return. Note how the block delimiters for `if-else` and `try-catch-finally` are mingled.

## Vista to New Tool

Codes of most programming languages have a tree structure. Indeed, if the language is context-free and unambiguous, the parser already gives a unique parsing tree. Most programming languages are also insensitive to extra whitespace characters. With these combined, we can convert the code from textual representation to tree representation, track or find the differences between trees (instead of lines), and merge tree changes (instead of line changes).

The new tool to be developed should be capable to do the following:

- Compare two (sets of) code files and produce the difference (structured delta).
- Merge two sets of differences (perhaps only when both are created with the same referee) and serialize the result back to text files.
- Output the merge operations in a easy-to-understand way so that a human can inspect the merging to avoid silent and wrong merge results.
- Have its components replaced (e.g., different parsers for different languages).

Additionally, it is desired that the tool be able to heuristically partition a set of differences into logically grouped subsets, which will provide more semantical output for human to understand the difference.

The tool should parse the text into trees and operate directly over the tree representation, without serializing the tree with some encoding, invoking external programs and reparse the output. Such chaining is not necessary and it is wasteful to have this detour. It is also expected that this tool performs well on home computers.

The project has the following novelties:

- It roots the problem of merging on diffing, and extend from there on. The key observation is that once we have a good and well-structured diff result, we can do many things with it – exposition, merging, logical partitioning and perhaps more.
- It tries to improve efficiency by not using dedicated on-disk encoding.
- It tries to improve performance by operating over the trees (instead of conversion to and back from text).

## Evaluation Criteria

The following metrics (compared with other tools) are of interest:

- The speed of producing the difference.
- The length of the difference (implicitly compared with the necessary length of the difference).
- The speed of merging two sets of difference.
- The consistency of automatic merging results with manual merging results (the quality or reliability).
- The frequency and the size of merging conflicts.

